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#### Abstract

This pre-preprint will eventually be part of a paper on "Superdiffusive homogenisation". It is being made available now for easy reference in other work.


## 1 Introduction

Tyran-Kamińska [14, 15] initiated the study of convergence to stable Lévy processes for deterministic dynamical systems. In particular, necessary and sufficient conditions for convergence in the Skorohod $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ topology were given in the setting of Gibbs-Markov maps (uniformly expanding maps with a countable alphabet). This was extended in Jung, Péne \& Zhang [8] to the case of dynamical systems that are nonuniformly hyperbolic with exponential tails in the sense of Young [17]. Vector-valued observables of Gibbs-Markov maps were considered by Chevyrev et al. [7].

The results in [8] are restricted to scalar observables. Also, their results are formulated specifically for dispersing billiards with flat cusps. In this paper, we extend to the case of vector-valued observables. At the same time, we formulate the results in an abstract setting to facilitate future applications.

Notation We write $a_{n} \ll b_{n}$ if there are constants $C>0, n_{0} \geq 1$ such that $a_{n} \leq C b_{n}$ for all $n \geq n_{0}$. As usual, $a_{n}=o\left(b_{n}\right)$ means that $a_{n} / b_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and and $a_{n} \sim b_{n}$ means that $a_{n} / b_{n} \rightarrow 1$.

Define the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}:|x|=1\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ where $|\mid$ denotes Euclidean distance. We denote by $B_{a}(c)$ the open ball of radius $a$ centred at $c$.

## 2 Setup

We consider dynamical systems $(f, \Sigma, \mu)$ that are nonuniformly hyperbolic with exponential tails in the sense of Young [17]. In particular, $\Sigma$ is a metric space with

Borel probability measure $\mu$, and $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a mixing measure-preserving transformation. Moreover, there is an inducing set $Y \subset \Sigma$ with $\mu(Y)>0$ and a return time $\tau: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$(not necessarily the first return time) such that $F=f^{\tau}$ maps $Y$ into $Y$ and the tail probabilities $\mu(\tau>n)$ decays exponentially with $n$. In addition, there is an at most countable partition $\left\{Y_{j}: j \geq 1\right\}$ such that $Y_{j}$ is a union of stable leaves and that the quotient map $\bar{F}: \bar{Y} \rightarrow \bar{Y}$ is a full-branch Gibbs-Markov map with respect to the corresponding partition $\left\{\bar{Y}_{j}\right\}$.

We are interested in proving a statistical limit law for vector-valued observables satisfying certain properties described below.

First, we suppose that there is a function $R: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$constant on sets of the form $f^{k} Y_{j}$ for all $j \geq 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq\left(\left.\tau\right|_{Y_{j}}\right)-1$. We require that $R$ is regularly varying with exponent $\alpha \in(1,2)$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(R>t) \sim \ell(t) t^{-\alpha} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell:(0, \infty) \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ is a slowly varying function.
Introduce $b_{n}>0$ such that $b_{n}^{\alpha} \sim n \ell\left(b_{n}\right)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Given $e>0$, define $D_{n}^{e}=$ $\left\{b_{n}^{-1} R>e\right\}$. We require that for every $e>0$, there exist $\theta_{1}>1$ and $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(D_{n}^{e} \cap f^{-j} D_{n}^{e}\right) \leq C n^{-\theta_{1}} \quad \text { for all } 1 \leq j \leq n \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This assumption means that large values of $R$ are not too clustered.
Let $\left\{\Sigma_{i}, i \in \mathcal{I}\right\}$ be a finite collection of disjoint subsets of $\Sigma$ such that the $\Sigma_{i}$ are unions of sets $f^{k} Y_{j}$ as above. We suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu\left(R 1_{\Sigma_{i}}>t\right) \sim c_{i} \ell(t) t^{-\alpha} \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i \in \mathcal{I}$, where $c_{i}>0$, and $\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} c_{i}=1$.
Next, fix vectors $\omega_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}$ for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Define

$$
Z: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}, \quad Z=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \omega_{i} R 1_{\Sigma_{i}}
$$

We assume without loss that the $\omega_{i}$ are distinct. (Otherwise, combine the $\Sigma_{i}$ and add the $c_{i}$ corresponding to a common value of $\omega_{i}$.) Then $Z$ is regularly varying with spectral measure $\nu$ on $\mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ given by

$$
\nu=\left(\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} c_{i}\left|\omega_{i}\right|^{\alpha}\right)^{-1} \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} c_{i}\left|\omega_{i}\right|^{\alpha} \delta_{\hat{\omega}_{i}}
$$

where $\hat{\omega}_{i}=\omega_{i} / \omega_{i} \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu(|Z|>r t, Z /|Z| \in E)}{\mu(|Z|>t)}=r^{-\alpha} \nu(E) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r>0$ and all Borel sets $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ with $\nu(\partial E)=0$.
Let $G_{\alpha}$ be the corresponding $d$-dimensional $\alpha$-stable law with characteristic function

$$
\mathbb{E} e^{i s \cdot G_{\alpha}}=\exp \left\{-\int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}}|s \cdot x|^{\alpha}\left(1-i \operatorname{sgn}(s \cdot x) \tan \frac{\pi \alpha}{2}\right) \cos \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \Gamma(1-\alpha) d \nu(x)\right\}
$$

for $s \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then $Z$ is in the domain of attraction of $G_{\alpha}$. That is, if $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \ldots$ are i.i.d. copies of $Z$, then $b_{n}^{-1}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} Z_{j}-n \int_{\Sigma} Z d \mu\right) \rightarrow_{d} G_{\alpha}$.

Let $\widetilde{Z}=Z-\int_{\Sigma} Z d \mu$. We define the sequence of processes

$$
W_{n}^{Z}(t)=b_{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{[n t]-1} \widetilde{Z} \circ f^{j}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

on $(\Sigma, \mu)$. Let $L_{\alpha}$ denote the $\alpha$-stable Lévy process corresponding to the stable law $G_{\alpha}$. We regard $W_{n}^{Z}$ and $L_{\alpha}$ as random elements in the càdlàg space $D\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$. The strong $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ Skorohod topology $[13,16]$ on $D\left([0,1], \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is metrized by

$$
d\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\inf _{\lambda}\left(\sup _{[0,1]}\left|u_{1} \circ \lambda-u_{2}\right|+\sup _{[0,1]}|\lambda-\mathrm{Id}|\right)
$$

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $f: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ is mixing and nonuniformly hyperbolic with exponential tails in the sense of Young [17], and that conditions (2.1) to (2.3) are satisfied. Then $W_{n}^{Z} \rightarrow_{w} L_{\alpha}$ in the strong $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ topology.
Remark 2.2. For a more general class of observables, we can consider integrable observables $V: \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\int_{\Sigma} V d \mu=0$ such that $H=V-\widetilde{Z}$ has the property that

$$
b_{n}^{-1} \max _{k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} H \circ f^{j}\right| \rightarrow_{p} 0 \quad \text { on }(X, \mu) .
$$

Define

$$
W_{n}^{V}(t)=b_{n}^{-1} \sum_{j=0}^{[n t]-1} V \circ f^{j}, \quad t \in[0,1]
$$

Then it is immediate that $W_{n}^{V} \rightarrow_{w} L_{\alpha}$ in the strong $\mathcal{J}_{1}$ topology.
It is standard, see for example $[3,7,10,11]$, that in many situations of interest, Hölder observables lead to a first return observable $V$ satisfying these assumptions.

## 3 Preliminaries about Gibbs-Markov maps and Young towers

Recall that $F=f^{\tau}: Y \rightarrow Y$ is a full-branch Gibbs-Markov map with ergodic invariant probability measure $\mu_{Y}$. For standard facts about Gibbs-Markov maps, we refer to [1, 2].

Proposition 3.1. Let $g \in L^{2}(Y)$ with $\int_{Y} g d \mu_{Y}=0$, and suppose that $g$ is constant on partition elements. Then

$$
\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} g \circ F^{j}| |_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq C n^{1 / 2}|g|_{L^{2}(Y)}
$$

where $C>0$ is a constant independent of $g$ and $n$.
Proof. Let $\left\{Y_{j}: j \geq 1\right\}$ be the partition for $F$. For $\theta \in(0,1)$, we define the symbolic metric $d_{\theta}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)=\theta^{s\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)}$ where $s\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ is the least integer $n \geq 0$ such that $F^{n} y$ and $F^{n} y^{\prime}$ lie in distinct partition elements. Given $v: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, we define $\|v\|_{\theta}=\|v\|_{\infty}+|v|_{\theta}$ where $|v|_{\theta}=\sup _{y \neq y^{\prime}}\left|v(y)-v\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| / d_{\theta}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$.

Define the transfer operator $P: L^{1}(Y) \rightarrow L^{1}(Y)$ (so $\int_{Y} P v w d \mu_{Y}=\int_{Y} v w \circ F d \mu_{Y}$ for $\left.v \in L^{1}(Y), w \in L^{\infty}(Y)\right)$. Then $(P v)(y)=\sum_{j} p\left(y_{j}\right) v\left(y_{j}\right)$ where $y_{j}$ is the unique preimage of $y$ under $F \mid Y_{j}$. There exists $\theta \in(0,1)$, fixed from now on, and $C>0$, such that $0<p(y) \leq C \mu\left(Y_{j}\right)$ and $\left|p(y)-p\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq C \mu\left(Y_{j}\right) d_{\theta}\left(y, y^{\prime}\right)$ for all $y, y^{\prime} \in Y_{j}$, $j \geq 1$. It follows easily that $\|P g\|_{\theta} \ll|g|_{L^{1}(Y)} \leq|g|_{L^{2}(Y)}$.

There exist constants $\gamma \in(0,1), C>0$ such that $\left\|P^{n} v\right\|_{\theta} \ll \gamma^{n}\|v\|_{\theta}$ for all continuous $v: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\int_{Y} v d \mu_{Y}=0$ and all $n \geq 1$. Define $\chi=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P^{n} g$. Then $\|\chi\|_{\infty} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|P^{n-1} P g\right\|_{\theta} \ll\|P g\|_{\theta} \ll|g|_{L^{2}(Y)}$.

Now define the martingale-coboundary decomposition

$$
g=m+\chi \circ F-\chi
$$

where $|m|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll|g|_{L^{2}(Y)}$. Since $m \in$ ker $P$, it follows easily that $\| \sum_{j<n} m \circ$ $\left.F^{j}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}=n^{1 / 2} \|\left. m\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}$. Moreover, $\left\{m \circ F^{j}, j \geq 0\right\}$ is a sequence of reverse martingale differences, so by Doob's inequality, $\left.\left|\max _{k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j<k} m \circ F^{j}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq 2 n^{1 / 2}|m|_{L^{2}(Y)}$. Hence

$$
\left|\max _{k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j<k} g \circ F^{j}| |_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq 2 n^{1 / 2}|m|_{L^{2}(Y)}+2|\chi|_{L^{\infty}(Y)} \ll n^{1 / 2}|g|_{L^{2}(Y)}
$$

as required.
Define the Young tower $f_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$,

$$
\Delta=\{(y, \ell) \in Y \times \mathbb{Z}: 0 \leq \ell<\tau(y)\}, \quad f_{\Delta}(y, \ell)= \begin{cases}(y, \ell+1) & \ell \leq \tau(y)-2 \\ (F y, 0) & \ell=\tau(y)-1\end{cases}
$$

with ergodic $f_{\Delta}$-invariant probability measure $\mu_{\Delta}=\left(\mu_{Y} \times\right.$ counting $) / \bar{\tau}$ where $\bar{\tau}=$ $\int_{Y} \tau d \mu_{Y}$.

For $n \geq 1$, define the lap number $N_{n}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$to be the integer satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(x)-1} \tau\left(F^{j} y\right) \leq n+\ell<\sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(x)} \tau\left(F^{j} y\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x=(y, \ell) \in \Delta$.

Proposition 3.2. There exists $C>0$ such that $\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| N_{k}-k \bar{\tau}^{-1} \|_{L^{2}(\Delta)} \leq C n^{1 / 2}$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Write $\tau_{k}=\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \tau \circ F^{j}$. Then, for $x=(y, \ell) \in \Delta$,
$\tau_{N_{n}(x)}(y)-\tau(y) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(x)-1} \tau\left(F^{j} y\right)-\ell \leq n \leq \sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(x)} \tau\left(F^{j} y\right)-\ell \leq \tau_{N_{n}(x)}(y)+\tau\left(F^{N_{n}(x)} y\right)$.
Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|n \bar{\tau}^{-1}-N_{n}(x)\right| & \leq \bar{\tau}^{-1}\left|\tau_{N_{n}(x)}(y)-\bar{\tau} N_{n}(x)\right|+\bar{\tau}^{-1} \max \left\{\tau(y), \tau\left(F^{N_{n}(x)} y\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \bar{\tau}^{-1} \max _{0 \leq k \leq n}\left|\tau_{k}(y)-k \bar{\tau}\right|+\bar{\tau}^{-1} \max _{0 \leq k \leq n} \tau\left(F^{k} y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used that $N_{n} \leq n$. Now, $\left|\max _{0 \leq k \leq n} \tau\left(F^{k} y\right)\right|_{L^{2}(\Delta)} \leq n^{1 / 2}|\tau|_{L^{2}(\Delta)}$. Applying Proposition 3.1 with $g=\tau-\bar{\tau}$,

$$
\left|\max _{k \leq n}\right| \tau_{N_{k}(x)}(y)-\bar{\tau} N_{k}(x)| |_{L^{2}(\Delta)} \ll n^{1 / 2}
$$

The result follows.
Let $\mathcal{A}$ consist of subsets of $\Sigma$ that are unions of partition elements. Let $\mathcal{B}$ consist of subsets of $\Sigma$ that are unions of local stable leaves (in other words $1_{B}$ is constant along local stable leaves for such subsets $B$ ). Clearly, $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}$.

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $f$ is mixing. Then there exist constants $C>0, \gamma \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\left|\mu\left(A \cap f^{-n} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right| \leq C \gamma^{n}
$$

for all $A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}, n \geq 1$.
Proof. In general, the Young towers associated to $f$ are mixing only up to a finite cycle. However, since $f$ is mixing, we can reduce by [5, Section 10] (see also [4, Section 4.1]) to the case when $f_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is mixing.

The observables $1_{A}$ and $1_{B}$ on $\Sigma$ lift to observables on the two-sided tower. Since $A, B \in \mathcal{B}$, the observables project to observables on the one-sided tower obtained by quotienting stable leaves. Moreover, $1_{A}$ is dynamically Hölder. By Young [17],

$$
\left|\mu\left(A \cap f^{-n} B\right)-\mu(A) \mu(B)\right| \ll\left\|1_{A}\right\|\left|1_{B}\right|_{\infty} \gamma^{n} .
$$

The result follows since $\left\|1_{A}\right\|=\left|1_{A}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1$ and $\left|1_{B}\right|_{\infty} \leq 1$.

## 4 Proof of Theorem 2.1

In this section, we prove Theorem 2.1. We largely follow the approach in [8, Section 4] which was written specifically for billiards with flat cusps in the case $d=1$. Our verification of Condition II below is more dynamical than the probabilistic argument in [8].

Let $\left.\mathcal{N}_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \delta_{\left(\frac{j}{n}, b_{n}^{-1}\right.} \widetilde{Z}_{\circ} f^{j-1}\right)$, where $\widetilde{Z}=Z-\int_{\Sigma} Z d \mu$. Then $\mathcal{N}_{n}$ is a random point process on $(0, \infty) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.

The Lévy measure $\Pi$ corresponding to the Lévy process $L_{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\Pi(B)=\alpha \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d}-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} 1_{B}(r x) r^{-\alpha-1} d r d \nu(x)
$$

Let $\mathcal{N}$ be the Poisson point process on $(0, \infty) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ with mean measure Leb $\times \Pi$.
By [14, Theorem 4.1] (see also [15, Theorem 1.2] and [8, Proposition 4.4]), to prove Theorem 2.1 it is enough to verify two conditions:

Condition I (Point process convergence). $\mathcal{N}_{n} \rightarrow_{w} \mathcal{N}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the space of point measures defined on $(0, \infty) \times\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{0\}\right)$.

Condition II (Vanishing small values). For every $\gamma>0$,

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\widetilde{Z} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<b_{n} \epsilon\right\}}\right) \circ f^{j}-k \int_{\Sigma} \widetilde{Z} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<b_{n} \epsilon\right\}} d \mu\right|>b_{n} \gamma\right)=0
$$

These conditions are verified in the next two subsections.

### 4.1 Point process convergence

In this subsection, we verify Condition I. We follow [8, Section 4.5] using [12, Theorem 2.1]. It is enough to prove convergence of $\mathcal{N}_{n}$ to $\mathcal{N}$ on

$$
(0, \infty) \times U, \quad U=\mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash \overline{B_{a_{0}}(0)}
$$

for each fixed $a_{0}>0$.
Fix $a_{0}$ and let

$$
A_{n}=\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|>a_{0} b_{n}\right\} .
$$

Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the ring of subsets of $U$ generated by sets of the type $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: a<|x|<\right.$ $\left.a^{\prime}, x /|x| \in E\right\}$, where $a_{0}<a<a^{\prime}$ and $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is open with $\nu(\partial E)=0$. Note that $\mathcal{W}$ generates the Borel sigma-algebra on $U$ and that $\Pi(\partial W)=0$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$.

For a collection $\mathcal{F}$ of measurable subsets of $\Sigma$, define

$$
\mathcal{Q}_{p}(\mathcal{F})=\sup _{\substack{A \in \mathcal{F} \\ B \in \sigma\left(\cup_{j \geq p} f^{-j} \mathcal{F}\right)}}|\mu(A \cap B)-\mu(A) \mu(B)|
$$

Let $J_{n}=b_{n}^{-1} \widetilde{Z}$. By [12, Theorem 2.1], to prove Condition I it suffices to prove the following:

Lemma 4.1. (a) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(J_{n}^{-1} W \mid A_{n}\right)=\Pi(W \mid U)$ for all $W \in \mathcal{W}$.
(b) $\mathcal{Q}_{1}\left(J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)=o\left(\mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$ for every finite subset $\mathcal{W}_{0}$ of $\mathcal{W}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.1(a). Without loss, we may suppose that $W=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}: a<\right.$ $\left.|x|<a^{\prime}, x /|x| \in E\right\}$ where $a_{0}<a<a^{\prime}$ and $E \subset \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$ is open with $\nu(\partial E)=0$. Since $Z-\widetilde{Z}$ is constant, it follows from (2.4) and the definition of $\Pi$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu\left(J_{n}^{-1} W \mid A_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu\left(|\widetilde{Z}| \in\left(a b_{n}, a^{\prime} b_{n}\right), \widetilde{Z} /|\widetilde{Z}| \in E\right)}{\mu\left(|\widetilde{Z}|>a_{0} b_{n}\right)} \\
& \quad=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu\left(|Z| \in\left(a b_{n}, a^{\prime} b_{n}\right), Z /|Z| \in E\right)}{\mu\left(|Z|>a_{0} b_{n}\right)}=a_{0}^{\alpha}\left(a^{-\alpha}-\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) \nu(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\Pi(W \mid U)=\frac{\Pi(W)}{\Pi(U)}=\frac{\left(a^{-\alpha}-\left(a^{\prime}\right)^{-\alpha}\right) \nu(E)}{a_{0}^{-\alpha}}
$$

so the result is proved.
Next, we prove Lemma 4.1(b).
Proposition 4.2. There is a constant $C>0$ such that $\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \sim C n^{-1}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
Proof. Since $Z-\widetilde{Z}$ is constant, it suffices to redefine $A_{n}=\left\{|Z|>a_{0} b_{n}\right\}$.
For $i \in \mathcal{I}$, let $a_{i}=a_{0} /\left|\omega_{i}\right|$ and $c_{i}^{\prime}=c_{i} a_{i}^{-\alpha}$. By (2.3) and using that $\ell$ is slowly varying,

$$
\mu\left(R 1_{\Sigma_{i}}>a_{i} b_{n}\right)=c_{i}^{\prime} \ell\left(a_{i} b_{n}\right) b_{n}^{-\alpha} \sim c_{i}^{\prime} \ell\left(a_{i} b_{n}\right) \ell\left(b_{n}\right)^{-1} n^{-1} \sim c_{i} n^{-1}
$$

Hence $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} \mu\left(R 1_{\Sigma_{i}}>a_{i} b_{n}\right) \sim C n^{-1}$ with $C=\sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}} c_{i}^{\prime}$.
Let $\theta_{1}>1$ be as in (2.2). Without loss, we can suppose that $\theta_{1} \in(1,2)$.
Proposition 4.3. There exists $C>0$ such that $\mu\left(A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \leq C n^{-\theta_{1}}$ for all $k, n \geq 1$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, $\left|\mu\left(A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n}\right)-\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{2}\right| \ll \gamma^{k}$ for all $k \geq 1$. By Proposition 4.2, $\mu\left(A_{n}\right)^{2} \ll n^{-2} \leq n^{-\theta_{1}}$. Hence $\mu\left(A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \ll n^{-\theta_{1}}$ uniformly in $k \geq n$.

Set $e=a_{0} /\left(2 \sum_{i \in \mathcal{I}}\left|\omega_{i}\right|\right)$. Then

$$
A_{n} \subset\left\{2|Z|>a_{0} b_{n}\right\} \subset\left\{R>e b_{n}\right\}=D_{n}^{e}
$$

with $D_{n}^{e}$ as in (2.2). Hence $A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n} \subset D_{n}^{e} \cap f^{-k} D_{n}^{e}$ and, by (2.2), $\mu\left(A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \ll$ $n^{-\theta_{1}}$ uniformly in $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Combining the estimates for $k \leq n$ and $k \geq n$ yields the desired result.

Now fix $\mathcal{W}_{0} \subset \mathcal{W}$ finite, and let $\mathcal{Q}_{n, p}=\mathcal{Q}_{p}\left(J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$.
Proposition 4.4. $\mathcal{Q}_{n, p} \leq C \gamma^{p}$ for all $n, p \geq 1$.
Proof. Let $A \in J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}, B \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \geq p} f^{-j} J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$. Write $B=f^{-p} B^{\prime}$ where $B^{\prime} \in$ $\sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 0} f^{-j} J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$. Then $|\mu(A \cap B)-\mu(A) \mu(B)| \ll \gamma^{p}$ by Theorem 3.3.

Let $\tau_{A_{n}}(x)=\min \left\{n \geq 1: f^{n}(x) \in A_{n}\right\}$ for $x \in \Sigma$.
Lemma 4.5. $\mathcal{Q}_{n, 1} \leq \mathcal{Q}_{n, p+1}+\mu\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right\}\right)+\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \mu\left(\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right)$ for all $n, p \geq 1$.
Proof. This is identical to [8, Lemma 4.9]. We give the short argument for completeness.

Let $A \in J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}$ and $B \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \geq 1} f^{-j} J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)$. Note that $A \subset A_{n}$ by definition of $\mathcal{W}$.

Suppose that $\mathcal{W}_{0}=\left\{W_{1}, \ldots, W_{K}\right\}$. Observe that there exists a function $g$ : $\left(\{0,1\}^{K}\right)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow\{0,1\}$ such that $1_{B}=g\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots\right)$, where $Y_{i}=\left(1_{J_{n}^{-1} W_{1}}, \ldots, 1_{J_{n}^{-1} W_{K}}\right) \circ$ $f^{i}$. Define $B^{\prime} \in \sigma\left(\bigcup_{j \geq p+1} f^{-j}\left(J_{n}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_{0}\right)\right)$ by

$$
1_{B^{\prime}}=g\left(0, \ldots, 0, Y_{p+1}, Y_{p+2}, \ldots\right)
$$

Then $\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(1_{A}, 1_{B^{\prime}}\right)\right| \leq \mathcal{Q}_{n, p+1}$. Moreover, $\left|1_{B}-1_{B^{\prime}}\right| \leq 1_{\left\{\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right\}}$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\operatorname{Cov}\left(1_{A}, 1_{B}\right)-\operatorname{Cov}\left(1_{A}, 1_{B^{\prime}}\right)\right| & \leq \mu\left(A \cap\left\{\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right\}\right)+\mu(A) \mu\left(\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right) \\
& \leq \mu\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right\}\right)+\mu\left(A_{n}\right) \mu\left(\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The result follows.
Proof of Lemma 4.1(b). Let $p_{n}=\left[n^{\theta_{2}}\right]$ where $0<\theta_{2}<\theta_{1}-1<1$. Applying Proposition 4.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu\left(A_{n} \cap\left\{\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p_{n}\right\}\right) & =\mu\left(A_{n} \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^{p_{n}} f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{k=1}^{p_{n}} \mu\left(A_{n} \cap f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \ll p_{n} n^{-\theta_{1}}=o\left(n^{-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Proposition 4.2 and invariance of $\mu$ under $f$,

$$
\mu\left(\tau_{A_{n}} \leq p_{n}\right)=\mu\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{p_{n}} f^{-k} A_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{p_{n}} \mu\left(f^{-k} A_{n}\right)=p_{n} \mu\left(A_{n}\right) \ll p_{n} n^{-1}=o(1)
$$

Hence it follows from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.5 that $\mathcal{Q}_{n, 1} \leq \mathcal{Q}_{n, p_{n}+1}+o\left(\mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$. By Propositions 4.2 and 4.4, $\mathcal{Q}_{n, p_{n}+1}=o\left(n^{-1}\right)=o\left(\mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$, so $\mathcal{Q}_{n, 1}=o\left(\mu\left(A_{n}\right)\right)$.

### 4.2 Vanishing small values

In this subsection, we verify Condition II. It is convenient to work at the level of the tower $f_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$. Define the measure-preserving semiconjugacy $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$, $\pi(y, \ell)=f^{\ell} y$. Let $\Delta_{i}=\pi^{-1} \Sigma_{i} i \in \mathcal{I}$. Abusing notation, we denote lifted observables $R \circ \pi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \widetilde{Z} \circ \pi: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and so on simply by $R, \widetilde{Z}$ and so on.

Fix $i \in \mathcal{I}$ and set $R^{(i)}=R 1_{\Delta_{i}}$. Let

$$
\widetilde{R}_{\xi}^{(i)}=R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}-\int_{\Delta} R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}, \quad \xi>0 .
$$

The main step in the proof is:
Lemma 4.6. There is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \widetilde{R}_{\epsilon b_{n}}^{(i)} \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}| |_{L^{1}(\Delta)} \leq C \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} \quad \text { for all } \epsilon>0
$$

Most of the remainder of this subsection is concerned with proving Lemma 4.6. At the end of the subsection, we show that Condition II follows from the lemma.

We begin with the following consequence of condition (2.2).
Proposition 4.7. Let $\theta_{1}>1$ be as in condition (2.2) and let $\beta \in(0, \alpha)$. Then $\mu_{\Delta}\left(R>n\right.$ and $\left.R \circ f^{j}>n\right) \ll n^{-\beta \theta_{1}}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n^{\beta}$.
Proof. Recall that $b_{n}^{\alpha} \sim n \ell\left(b_{n}\right)$ so there exists $K>0$ such that $b_{n} \leq K n^{1 / \beta}$ for all $n$. Taking $e=1 / K$ in (2.2),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\Delta}\left(R>n^{1 / \beta}\right. & \text { and } \left.R \circ f^{j}>n^{1 / \beta}\right) \\
& \leq \mu_{\Delta}\left(R>K^{-1} b_{n} \text { and } R \circ f^{j}>K^{-1} b_{n}\right) \ll n^{-\theta_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq n$. The result follows.
Let $1 \leq \eta \leq \xi$. Define $H=H_{\xi}: Y \rightarrow[0, \infty), s=s_{\eta}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$,
$H(y)=\sum_{0 \leq j \leq \tau(y)-1}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)(y, j), \quad s(y)=\#\{0 \leq j \leq \tau(y)-1: R(y, j)>\eta\}$.
Note that $H=H^{\prime}+H^{\prime \prime}+H^{\prime \prime \prime}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\prime}(y) & =1_{\{s(y)=1\}} \sum_{j<\tau(y)}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\{R>\eta\}} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)(y, j), \\
H^{\prime \prime}(y) & =\sum_{j<\tau(y)}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)(y, j), \\
H^{\prime \prime \prime}(y) & =1_{\{s(y) \geq 2\}} \sum_{j<\tau(y)}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\{R>\eta\}} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)(y, j) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proposition 4.8. Let $\delta>0$. There exists $M>0$ and $C>0$ such that
(a) $\left|H^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq C \ell(\xi)^{1 / 2} \xi^{1-\alpha / 2}$,
(b) $\left|H^{\prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq C \eta^{1-\alpha / 2+\delta}$,
(c) $\left|H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq C \xi^{1+\delta} \eta^{\delta-\alpha \theta_{1} / 2}$,
for all $1 \leq \eta \leq \xi$ satisfying $M \log \xi \leq \eta^{\alpha / 2}$ and $\xi>|\bar{Z}|$.
Proof. We use throughout that $R^{(i)} \leq R$ for all $i$.
(a) Observe that $H^{\prime} \leq \xi_{i}$ where $\xi_{i}=\left|\omega_{i}\right|^{-1}(\xi+|\bar{Z}|)$. Also for $0 \leq n \leq \xi_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{Y}\left(H^{\prime}=n\right) & \left.\leq \mu_{Y}(y \in Y: R(y, j)=n \text { for some } 0 \leq j<\tau(y)\}\right) \\
& \leq \int_{Y} \sum_{j=0}^{\tau(y)-1} 1_{\{y \in Y: R(y, j)=n\}} d \mu_{Y}=\bar{\tau} \mu_{\Delta}(R=n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\left|H^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}^{2}=\sum_{n \leq \xi_{i}} n^{2} \mu_{Y}\left(H^{\prime}=n\right) \leq \bar{\tau} \sum_{n \leq \xi_{i}} n^{2} \mu_{\Delta}(R=n) \ll \sum_{n \leq \xi_{i}} n \mu_{\Delta}(R \geq n)
$$

Using (2.3) and applying Karamata's inequality, we conclude that $\mid H_{L^{\prime}(Y)}^{2} \ll$ $\ell\left(\xi_{i}\right) \xi_{i}^{2-\alpha} \ll \ell(\xi) \xi^{2-\alpha}$ as required.
(b) Let $q>2, \epsilon>0$ and observe that $\left|R 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}}\right|_{L^{q}(\Delta)}^{q} \ll \sum_{j \leq \eta} j^{q-1} \mu_{\Delta}(R \geq j) \ll$ $\eta^{q+\epsilon-\alpha}$. Let $g_{j}(y)=\left(R 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}}\right) \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}(y, 0)$. Since $f_{\Delta}$ is measure-preserving,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\sum_{j<k} g_{j}\right|_{L^{q}(Y)}^{q} & =\int_{Y}\left|\sum_{j<k} g_{j}\right|^{q} d \mu_{Y} \leq \int_{Y} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\tau(y)-1}\left|\sum_{j<k}\left(R 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}}\right) \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}(y, \ell)\right|^{q} d \mu_{Y}(y) \\
& =\bar{\tau} \int_{\Delta}\left|\sum_{j<k}\left(R 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}}\right) \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}\right|^{q} d \mu_{\Delta} \leq \bar{\tau} k^{q}\left|R 1_{\{R \leq \eta\}}\right|_{L^{q}(\Delta)}^{q} \ll k^{q} \eta^{q+\epsilon-\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note also that $H^{\prime \prime} \leq \sum_{j<\tau} g_{j}$. Since $\tau$ has exponential tails, there exists $c_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^{\prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}^{2} & \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|1_{\{\tau=k\}} \sum_{j<k} g_{j}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}^{2} \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-c_{0} k}\left|\sum_{j<k} g_{j}\right|_{L^{q}(Y)}^{2} \\
& \ll \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-c_{0} k}\left(k \eta^{(q+\epsilon-\alpha) / q}\right)^{2} \ll \eta^{2(q+\epsilon-\alpha) / q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The desired estimate follows for $q$ and $\epsilon$ sufficiently close to 2 and 0 .
(c) Since $\tau$ has exponential tails, there exists $c_{1}>0$ such that $k^{2} \mu_{Y}(\tau=k) \ll e^{-c_{1} k}$. Let

$$
M=\alpha \theta_{1} / c_{1}, \quad \epsilon \in(0, \alpha / 2) .
$$

Suppose that $1 \leq \eta \leq \xi$ satisfies $M \log \xi \leq \eta^{\alpha / 2}$. In particular, $M \log \xi \leq \eta^{\alpha-\epsilon}$. For any $y \in\{\tau=k, s \geq 2\}$, there exist $0 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<k$ such that $R\left(y, j_{1}\right)>\eta$ and $R\left(y, j_{2}\right)>\eta$. By Proposition 4.7,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{Y}(\tau=k, s \geq 2) & \leq \bar{\tau} \sum_{0 \leq j_{1}<j_{2}<k} \mu_{\Delta}\left(R \circ f_{\Delta}^{j_{1}}>\eta \text { and } R \circ f_{\Delta}^{j_{2}}>\eta\right) \\
& \leq k \bar{\tau} \sum_{1 \leq j<k} \mu_{\Delta}\left(R>\eta \text { and } R \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}>\eta\right\} \ll k^{2} \eta^{-(\alpha-\epsilon) \theta_{1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $k \leq \eta^{\alpha-\epsilon}$ and hence for $k \leq M \log \xi$.
Noting that $H^{\prime \prime \prime}(y) \ll 1_{\{s(y) \geq 2\}} \xi \tau(y)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}^{2} & \ll \xi^{2} \int_{Y} 1_{\{s \geq 2\}} \tau^{2} d \mu_{Y} \\
& \ll \xi^{2} \sum_{k \leq M \log \xi} k^{2} \mu_{Y}(\tau=k, s \geq 2)+\xi^{2} \sum_{k>M \log \xi} k^{2} \mu_{Y}(\tau=k) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k \leq M \log \xi} k^{2} \mu_{Y}(\tau=k, s \geq 2) & \ll \sum_{k \leq M \log \xi} k^{4} \eta^{-(\alpha-\epsilon) \theta_{1}} \ll(\log \xi)^{5} \eta^{-(\alpha-\epsilon) \theta_{1}}, \\
\sum_{k>M \log \xi} k^{2} \mu_{Y}(\tau=k) & \ll \sum_{k>M \log \xi} e^{-c_{1} k} \ll \xi^{-c_{1} M} \leq \eta^{-c_{1} M}=\eta^{-\alpha \theta_{1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\left|H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}^{2} \ll \xi^{2}(\log \xi+1)^{5} \eta^{-(\alpha-\epsilon) \theta_{1}}$ and the result follows for $\epsilon$ sufficiently small.

Corollary 4.9. There is a constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1} n^{1 / 2}\left\|H_{\epsilon b_{n}}\right\|_{L^{2}(Y)} \leq C \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} \quad \text { for all } \epsilon>0
$$

Proof. Recall that $\theta_{1}>1$. Choose $\omega \in(0,1 / \alpha)$ sufficiently close to $1 / \alpha$ that $\omega \alpha \theta_{1}>1$. We apply Proposition 4.8 with $\xi=\epsilon b_{n}, \eta=n^{\omega}$. (Note that for all $\epsilon>0$, $|\bar{Z}| \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, the constraints $1 \leq \eta \leq \xi, M \log \xi \leq \eta^{\alpha / 2}, \xi>|\bar{Z}|$ are satisfied for $n$ sufficiently large.) Then

$$
\left|H^{\prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} b_{n}\left(\frac{\ell\left(\epsilon b_{n}\right)}{\ell\left(b_{n}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\ell\left(b_{n}\right) b_{n}^{-\alpha}\right)^{1 / 2} \sim \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} b_{n} n^{-1 / 2}
$$

Also, $\left|H^{\prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll n^{\omega(1-\alpha / 2+\delta)}=o\left(b_{n} n^{-1 / 2}\right)$ for $\delta>0$ sufficiently small. Finally, $\left|H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll b_{n}^{1+\delta} n^{\delta \omega-\omega \alpha \theta_{1} / 2}$. Since $\omega \alpha \theta_{1}>1$, we can shrink $\delta$ if necessary so that $b_{n}^{\delta} n^{\delta \omega} n^{-\omega \alpha \theta_{1} / 2}=o\left(n^{-1 / 2}\right)$. Hence, $\left|H^{\prime \prime \prime}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}=o\left(b_{n} n^{-1 / 2}\right)$.

Define $\psi_{\xi}: \Delta \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $\psi_{\xi}(y, \ell)=\sum_{0 \leq j<\ell}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)(y, j)$.
Corollary 4.10. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{k \leq n} \psi_{\epsilon b_{n}} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}\right|_{L^{1}(\Delta)} \leq C \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} \quad \text { for all } \epsilon>0 .
$$

Proof. Define $h_{\xi}: \Delta \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ by $h_{\xi}(y, \ell)=H(y)$. Then $\max _{k \leq n} h_{\xi} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}(y, \ell) \leq$ $\max _{k \leq n} H_{\xi}\left(F^{k} y\right)$. Since $\tau$ has exponential tails,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\max _{k \leq n} h_{\xi} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}\right|_{L^{1}(\Delta)} & \leq \bar{\tau}^{-1} \int_{Y} \tau \max _{k \leq n} H_{\xi} \circ F^{k} d \mu_{Y} \\
& \ll\left|\max _{k \leq n} H_{\xi} \circ F^{k}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll n^{1 / 2}\left|H_{\xi}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence it follows from Corollary 4.9 that $\lim \sup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{k \leq n} h_{\epsilon b_{n}} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}\right|_{L^{1}(\Delta)} \ll$ $\epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2}$. Since $0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon b_{n}} \leq h_{\epsilon b_{n}}$, we obtain the desired estimate for $\max _{k \leq n} \psi_{\epsilon b_{n}} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}$.

Define $Q_{n, \xi}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $Q_{n, \xi}=N_{n} \int_{Y} H_{\xi} d \mu_{Y}-n \int_{\Delta} R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}$ where $N_{n}$ is the lap number (3.1).

Proposition 4.11. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{k \leq n}\right| Q_{k, \epsilon b_{n}}| |_{L^{2}(\Delta)} \leq C \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} \quad \text { for all } \epsilon>0
$$

Proof. Observe that $Q_{n, \xi}=\left(N_{n}-n \bar{\tau}^{-1}\right) \int_{Y} H_{\xi} d \mu_{Y}$. Hence

$$
\left|Q_{n, \xi}\right| \leq\left|N_{n}-n \bar{\tau}^{-1}\right| \int_{Y} H_{\xi} d \mu_{Y} \leq\left|N_{n}-n \bar{\tau}^{-1}\right|\left|H_{\xi}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} .
$$

The result follows from Proposition 3.2 and Corollary 4.9.
Proof of Lemma 4.6. Let $\widetilde{H}_{\xi}=H_{\xi}-\int_{Y} H_{\xi} d \mu_{Y}$. Define $G_{n, \xi}: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g_{n, \xi}$ : $\Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
G_{n, \xi}=\max _{k \leq n} \sum_{j<k} \widetilde{H}_{\xi} \circ F^{j}, \quad g_{n, \xi}(y, \ell)=G_{n, \xi}(y) .
$$

By Proposition 3.1 and Corollary 4.9,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|G_{n, \epsilon b_{n}}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1} n^{1 / 2}\left|H_{\epsilon b_{n}}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)} \ll \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2}
$$

Since $\tau$ has exponential tails,

$$
\int_{\Delta}\left|g_{n, \epsilon b_{n}}\right| d \mu_{\Delta}=\bar{\tau}^{-1} \int_{Y} \tau\left|G_{n, \epsilon b_{n}}\right| d \mu_{Y} \ll\left|G_{n, \epsilon b_{n}}\right|_{L^{2}(Y)}
$$

so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1} \int_{\Delta}\left|g_{n, \epsilon b_{n}}\right| d \mu_{\Delta} \ll \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, by the definition of the lap number $N_{n}$,

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}\left(R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}\right)\left(f_{\Delta}^{j}(y, \ell)\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(y, \ell)-1} H_{\xi}\left(F^{j} y\right)+\psi_{\xi}\left(f_{\Delta}^{n}(y, \ell)\right)-\psi_{\xi}(y, \ell)
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \widetilde{R}_{\xi}^{(i)}\left(f_{\Delta}^{j}(y, \ell)\right)=\sum_{j=0}^{N_{n}(y, \ell)-1} \widetilde{H}_{\xi}\left(F^{j} y\right)+\psi_{\xi}\left(f_{\Delta}^{n}(y, \ell)\right)-\psi_{\xi}(y, \ell)+Q_{n, \xi}(y, \ell)
$$

Since $N_{n} \leq n$ for all $n$,

$$
\max _{k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \widetilde{R}_{\xi}^{(i)} \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}(y, \ell)\right| \ll \max _{k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j<k} \widetilde{H}_{\xi}\left(F^{j} y\right)\right|+\max _{k \leq n} \psi_{\xi}\left(f_{\Delta}^{k}(y, \ell)\right)+\max _{k \leq n}\left|Q_{k, \xi}(y, \ell)\right| .
$$

In other words,

$$
\max _{k \leq n}\left|\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \widetilde{R}_{\xi}^{(i)} \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}\right| \ll g_{n, \xi}+\max _{k \leq n} \psi_{\xi} \circ f_{\Delta}^{k}+\max _{k \leq n}\left|Q_{k, \xi}\right|
$$

Setting $\xi=\epsilon b_{n}$, the result follows from (4.1), Corollary 4.10 and Proposition 4.11.
We can now complete the verification of Condition II. First notice that

$$
R^{(i)} 1_{\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\xi\}}=R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\left|\omega_{i} R^{(i)}-\int_{\Delta} Z d \mu\right|<\xi\right\}}=R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}
$$

Hence

$$
Z 1_{\{|\tilde{Z}|<\xi\}}=\sum_{i} \omega_{i} R^{(i)} 1_{\left\{\omega_{i} R \in B_{\xi}(\bar{Z})\right\}}
$$

and so

$$
Z 1_{\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\xi\}}-\int_{\Delta} Z 1_{\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\xi\}} d \mu_{\Delta}=\sum_{i} \omega_{i} \widetilde{R}_{\xi}^{(i)}
$$

By Lemma 4.6,

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(Z 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}}\right) \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}-k \int_{\Delta} Z 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}| |_{L^{1}(\Delta)} \ll \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2}
$$

Also, by Proposition 3.1 with $g=1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}}-\int_{\Delta} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}$,

$$
\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}} \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}-k \int_{\Delta} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}| |_{L^{2}(\Delta)} \ll n^{1 / 2} .
$$

Combining these two estimates yields

$$
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n}^{-1}\left|\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\right| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\widetilde{Z} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}}\right) \circ f_{\Delta}^{j}-k \int_{\Delta} \widetilde{Z} 1_{\left\{|\widetilde{Z}|<\epsilon b_{n}\right\}} d \mu_{\Delta}| |_{L^{1}(\Delta)} \ll \epsilon^{1-\alpha / 2} .
$$

Hence Condition II follows from Markov's inequality and the fact that $\pi: \Delta \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a measure-preserving semiconjugacy.
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