

MODULAR FORMS: EXAMPLE SHEET 3 – HINTS AND OUTLINE SOLUTIONS

DAVID LOEFFLER

Question 1. Let w_N be the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ N & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$.

- (a) Show that $w_N\Gamma_1(N)w_N^{-1} = \Gamma_1(N)$. Deduce that if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$, then $w_N(f) = f|_k w_N \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))$.
- (b) Check that $w_N(i_{1,p}f) = i_{2,p}(w_{N/p}f)$ and $w_N(i_{2,p}f) = p^{k-1}i_{1,p}(w_{N/p}f)$.
- (c) Show that w_N defines a normal operator with respect to the Petersson product. Deduce that it preserves $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{\mathrm{new}}$.
- (d) If $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi)$, show that $w_N(f) \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \bar{\chi})$.

Solution. (a) If $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N)$, then $w_N\gamma w_N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} d & -c/N \\ -Nb & a \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N)$, from which the first statement is immediate. Hence $\Gamma_1(N)w_N\Gamma_1(N) = \Gamma_1(N)w_N = w_N\Gamma_1(N)$, and the result follows.

- (b) We note that $(f|_k w_N)(z) = N^{k-1}(Nz)^{-k}f(-1/Nz)$. Meanwhile $i_{1,p}(f)(z) = f(z)$ and $i_{2,p}(f)(z) = p^{k-1}f(pz)$.

$$(i_{1,p}f)|_k w_N = N^{-1}z^{-k}f(-1/Nz)$$

and

$$i_{2,p}(f|_k w_{N/p}) = i_{2,p}((N/p)^{-1}z^{-k}f(-p/Nz)) = p^{k-1}(p/N)(pz)^{-k}f(-1/Nz)$$

so the first equality holds.

The second is actually not true: one easily checks that the constant should be p^{k-2} , not p^{k-1} . Sorry. (This is wrong in the lecture notes as well)

- (c) The Petersson adjoint of $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma$ is $\Gamma\alpha'\Gamma$ where $\alpha' = (\det \alpha)\alpha^{-1}$. For $\alpha = w_N$ we have $\alpha' = -\alpha$; thus w_N certainly commutes with its adjoint, so it is normal. We saw in lectures that w_N stabilises $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{\mathrm{old}}$, and hence (as it is normal) it also preserves $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{\mathrm{new}}$.
- (d) Since $w_N\langle d \rangle w_N^{-1} = \langle d \rangle^{-1}$, w_N sends the χ -eigenspace to the $\bar{\chi}$ -eigenspace. □

Question 2. Let $\sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}$ be the generalised divisor sum function defined by

$$\sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}(n) = \sum_{m|n} \psi(m)\chi(n/m)m^{k-1}.$$

Show that if m, n are coprime, then

$$\sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}(mn) = \sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}(m)\sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}(n).$$

Hence show that the functions $G_k^{\chi,\psi}(tz)$ occurring in Theorem 2.9.2 are eigenforms for the Hecke operators T_ℓ with $\ell \nmid N$, assuming that their q -expansions are as stated in the theorem.

Solution. If m, n are coprime, then any divisor of mn may be uniquely written in the form de with $d|m$ and $e|n$. Thus if $g, h : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are any weakly multiplicative functions, $f(n) = \sum_{d|n} g(d)h(n/d)$ is also weakly multiplicative. The sum defining $\sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}$ is clearly of this type. Similarly, one can check that $a_n = \sigma_{k-1}^{\chi,\psi}(n)$ satisfies $a_{pe} = a_p a_{p^{e-1}} - \chi(p)\psi(p)p^{k-1}a_{p^{e-2}}$, with the second term interpreted as 0 if p divides the conductor of either character; and this is sufficient to prove that the functions $G_k^{\chi,\psi}(z)$ are eigenforms (for all Hecke operators, not just those away from the level). The weaker statement for $G_k^{\chi,\psi}(tz)$ is now immediate. □

Question 3. Let N, t, d be positive integers with $d < N$ and $td \mid N$. Show that if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(d))$, then $f(tz) \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{\mathrm{old}}$.

Solution. Pick a prime p dividing N/d . Clearly at least one of $f(tz)$ and $f(t/pz)$ will be in $S_k(\Gamma_1(N/p))$, depending whether or not t/p is an integer. Applying the map $i_{1,p}$ or $i_{2,p}$ as appropriate, then we see that $f(tz)$ is in $S_k(\Gamma_1(N))^{\text{old}}$. \square

Question 4. (a) Let $\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ with p prime. Suppose $p \mid N$. Show that $\Gamma_0(Np)\alpha\Gamma_0(Np) = \Gamma_0(Np)\alpha\Gamma_0(N)$.

Deduce that if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))$, then $f|_k U_p \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$.

(b) Hence show that U_p is the zero map on $S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))^{\text{new}}$.

(c) Show similarly that if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))^{\text{new}}$ and $p \nmid N$, and f is a U_p -eigenform, then the U_p -eigenvalue of f is $\pm p^{(k-1)/2}$.

Solution. (a) From a question on the previous sheet we know that the index of $\Gamma_0(N)$ in $\Gamma_0(Np)$ is p ; and it is clear that coset representatives for $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_0(Np)$ are $\tau_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ Nj & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for $j = 0 \dots p-1$. But for all j , $\alpha\tau_j \in \Gamma_0(Np)\alpha$, and the result follows. Consequently for any $f \in \Gamma_0(Np)$,

$$f|_k U_p = f|_k (\Gamma_0(Np)\alpha\Gamma_0(Np)) = f|_k (\Gamma_0(Np)\alpha\Gamma_0(N)) \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N)).$$

(b) We know that U_p preserves $S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))^{\text{new}}$; but the above result shows that its image is contained in $S_k(\Gamma_0(Np))^{\text{old}}$. Since the intersection of the old and the new subspaces is 0, U_p must be the zero map.

(c) Let us do the special case $N = 1$ first. A set of coset representatives for $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})/\Gamma_0(p)$ is the τ_j above together with $\tau_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_0(p)\alpha\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) &= \Gamma_0(p)\alpha\Gamma_0(p) \sqcup \Gamma_0(p)\alpha\tau_p\Gamma(p) \\ &= \Gamma_0(p)\alpha\Gamma_0(p) \sqcup \Gamma_0(p)w_p\Gamma_0(p) \end{aligned}$$

where w_p is as defined above. Hence if $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(p))$, $(f|_k U_p) + (f|_k w_p) \in S_k(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$; and if f is new, we deduce as before that $f|_k U_p = -f|_k w_p$. Since w_p^2 acts as scalar multiplication by p^{k-1} , the eigenvalues of w_p must be $\pm p^{(k-1)/2}$, and consequently if f is a U_p -eigenform its U_p -eigenvalue is equal to $\mp p^{(k-1)/2}$. In the general case we can argue in exactly the same way, using the Chinese Remainder Theorem to find elements of $\Gamma_0(N)$ which are congruent to the τ_j modulo p . \square

Question 5. Let χ be the Dirichlet character $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ with $\chi(d) = 1$ when d is a square mod 5 and -1 otherwise. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$ be divisible by 5, and let

$$\Gamma_\chi(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ Nc & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \mid d \text{ is a square mod } 5 \right\}.$$

Show that for any even k ,

$$S_k(\Gamma_\chi(N)) = S_k(\Gamma_0(N)) \oplus S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi).$$

Hence verify that the dimension of $S_4(\Gamma_1(25), \chi)$ is 4, as stated in lectures.

(You may assume that $X(\Gamma_\chi(25))$ has genus 0, 12 cusps and no elliptic points, while $X_0(25)$ has genus 0, 6 cusps, 2 elliptic points of order 2 and no elliptic points of order 3.)

Solution. The first statement is clear, since χ is trivial on diagonal entries of $\Gamma_\chi(N)$, and conversely any character of $\Gamma_0(N)/\Gamma_\chi(N)$ must be either 1 or χ . We can easily compute the dimension of $S_k(\Gamma_\chi(25))$ and $S_k(\Gamma(25))$ for any k using the data given; it turns out that we have $\dim S_4(\Gamma_\chi(25)) = 9$ and $\dim S_4(\Gamma_0(25)) = 5$, and the result follows. \square

Question 6. Suppose χ is the unique character of $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^\times$ with $\chi(3) = e^{2\pi i/3}$. Use question 1(d) above to show that $S_k(\Gamma_0(7)) + 2 \dim S_k(\Gamma_1(7), \chi) = \dim S_k(\Gamma_1(7))$ for all even k . Hence find the dimension of $S_{12}(\Gamma_1(7), \chi)$.

Solution. By 1(d) we know that w_7 gives an isomorphism between $S_k(\Gamma_1(7), \chi)$ and $S_k(\Gamma_1(7), \bar{\chi})$, so these spaces have the same dimension. Since the only even characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})^\times$ are $\{1, \chi, \bar{\chi}\}$, it follows that if k is even we have the dimension formula given above.

Now $\Gamma_0(7)$ has index 8, contains -1, and has 2 cusps and 2 elliptic points both with order 3; hence its genus is 0 and $\dim S_{12}(\Gamma_0(7)) = 7$. Meanwhile $\Gamma_1(7)$ has index 48, does not contain -1, and has 6 cusps and no elliptic points; so its genus is also 0, and $\dim S_{12}(\Gamma_1(7)) = 19$. Thus $\dim S_{12}(\Gamma_1(7), \chi) = 6$. \square

Question 7. (a) Let $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi)$ be a simultaneous eigenform for the operators T_ℓ with $\ell \nmid N$. Let ℓ be any one such prime. Show that the matrix of U_ℓ on the subspace of $S_k(\Gamma_1(N\ell^r), \chi)$ spanned by $f(z), f(\ell z), \dots, f(\ell^r z)$ is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_\ell & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ -\ell^{k-1}\chi(\ell) & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) Show that this is never diagonalisable if $r \geq 3$. If $r = 1$ or $r = 2$, and the Hecke polynomial $X^2 - a_\ell X + \ell^{k-1}\chi(\ell)$ has distinct roots $\{\alpha, \beta\}$, show that it is diagonalisable and has eigenvalues $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ and $\{\alpha, \beta, 0\}$ respectively. If this quadratic has a repeated root, what happens?
- (c) Let f be the function $f(z) = q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)(1 - q^{23n})$. You may assume that this is in $S_1(\Gamma_1(23), \chi)$ where $\chi(n) = \left(\frac{n}{23}\right)$ is the quadratic residue character modulo 23. Using a computer, find a prime ℓ such that the Hecke polynomial of f at ℓ has repeated roots.

Solution. (a) It is clear that $U_\ell(f(\ell^r z)) = f(\ell^{r-1}z)$ if $r > 1$, while the first column follows from Case 5 of Prop 2.10.2.

(b) If $r \geq 3$, then consider the action of this matrix M by right multiplication on the row vector $v = (0, 0, 1, 0, \dots)$. Then we find that $v \cdot M \neq 0$ but $v \cdot M^{r-1}$ is 0, which is impossible for a diagonalisable matrix. For $r = 1, 2$ the result is a direct calculation. If we have $a_\ell = \pm 2\ell^{k-1}\chi(\ell)$ then it is easy to see that M is not diagonalisable.

(c) One finds that $a_{59} = +2$, so the matrix of U_{59} on $S_1(\Gamma_1(23 \times 59))$ is not diagonalisable.

Remark: It is conjectured that this cannot happen in weights $k \geq 2$. Coleman and Edixhoven have proved this for $k = 2$, and for $k > 2$ they have shown that it follows from an existing conjecture on the geometry of varieties over \mathbb{F}_p (Tate's conjecture). \square

Question 8. Let $(u, v) \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ and $k \geq 4$ is even. Show that the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \gcd(c, d) = 1 \\ (c, d) = (u, v) \pmod{N}}} (cz + d)^{-k}$$

is an Eisenstein series for a cusp of $\Gamma(N)$. Hence show that the sum $G_k^{\psi, \chi}$ defined in lectures is in $N_k(\Gamma(N))$.

Solution. Since $\Gamma(N)$ is normal in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$, it is easy to see that the cusps of $\Gamma(N)$ biject with the points of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})/P$ where P is the subgroup of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Thus there is a unique cusp for

each $\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ which appears as the left column of some element of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})$, and the possible left columns are exactly those vectors in $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^2$ whose additive order is exactly N .

It is easy to see that if (u, v) is not allowable in this sense, then the above sum is empty, so assume that this is not the case. Fix some element $\delta \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with $\delta = \begin{pmatrix} u & r \\ v & s \end{pmatrix}$ for some r, s . Then we see that

$$\sum_{\delta P \delta^{-1} \backslash \Gamma} 1|_k(\delta^{-1}\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \gcd(c, d) = 1 \\ (c, d) = (-v, u) \pmod{N}}} (cz + d)^{-k}.$$

So the above series is the Eisenstein series corresponding to the cusp $\frac{-v}{u}$. \square

Question 9. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ be an open set and let $\Omega_{sm}^1(U)$ denote the \mathbb{C} -vector space of expressions of the form $\lambda = pdu + qdy$ with p, q smooth functions $U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. These are the smooth 1-forms of Theorem 3.1.6.

- (a) Suppose $f = (u(x, y), v(x, y)) : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is a smooth function, with U_1, U_2 open sets in \mathbb{R}^2 as above. Define $f^* : \Omega_{sm}^1(U_2) \rightarrow \Omega_{sm}^1(U_1)$ by $f^*(du) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} dy$, and similarly for dv , extended in the obvious way to the whole space. Show that if we have a map $U_1 \xrightarrow{g} U_2 \xrightarrow{f} U_3$, then $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$. Deduce that $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$ is well-defined, for any real 2-manifold X .
- (b) Define $\star(\lambda) = -qdx + pdy$. This is the **Hodge star** operator. If f and λ are as before, calculate $\star(f^*\lambda)$ and $f^*(\star\lambda)$. Show that these are equal for all λ if and only if the map $f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann conditions, so f is a holomorphic map between subsets of \mathbb{C} . Hence show that if X is a Riemann surface, \star gives a well-defined map from $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$ to itself.
- (c) Suppose that f is a smooth function on X . In local coordinates define $df = \frac{\partial f}{\partial x} dx + \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} dy$. Show that this gives a well-defined differential (independent of the choice of coordinate chart).
- (d) We say $\lambda = pdu + qdy$ is closed if $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} = 0$. Show that df is always closed. When is $\star(df)$ closed?
- (e) Show that λ and $\star\lambda$ are both closed if and only if we may write $\lambda = rdz + sd\bar{z}$ with r holomorphic and s anti-holomorphic. (This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.6, on the assumption of Hodge's theorem.)

Solution. (a) Let $U_1 \xrightarrow{g} U_2 \xrightarrow{f} U_3$ be two smooth maps as above. Then, in the obvious bases, the matrix of $f^* : \Omega_{sm}^1(U_3) \rightarrow \Omega_{sm}^1(U_2)$ acting on $\Omega_{sm}^1(U_3)$ is the Jacobian matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence one checks that

$$g^* \circ f^* = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial y_1} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_2} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial y_2} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial y_2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial y_1} & \frac{\partial y_3}{\partial y_1} \end{pmatrix} = (f \circ g)^*.$$

We can thus define an element of $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$ to be a collection of local differentials $\omega_i \in \Omega_{sm}^1(U_i)$, where $\{\psi_i : U_i \rightarrow V_i\}_{i \in I}$ is a coordinate chart, which are compatible on overlaps; and the above result implies that this makes sense.

- (b) We check that for $f : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ a smooth map and $pdu + qdv \in \Omega_{sm}^1(U_2)$, the matrix of $(f^*) \circ \star$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and the matrix of $\star \circ f^*$ is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix}.$$

These are equal if and only if $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$, which are the Cauchy-Riemann equations. So if the overlap maps in the chart are all holomorphic, and $\omega = \{\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ is an element of $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$, then $\{\star\omega_i\}_{i \in I}$ is also an element of $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$ and we may define this to be $\star\omega$.

- (c) One checks that if $\psi : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ is a smooth map of subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 , and f is a smooth function on U_2 , then

$$\psi^*(df) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \end{pmatrix} = d(f \circ \psi).$$

Since by definition a smooth function on X is a family of smooth functions f_i on the coordinate charts U_i satisfying $f_i = f_j \circ \psi_{ij}$ where ψ_{ij} is the overlap map between charts U_i and U_j , this implies that $\{df_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies the compatibility conditions necessary to define an element of $\Omega_{sm}^1(X)$.

- (d) We know that for smooth functions f , $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y \partial x}$, and hence df is always closed. We find that $\star(df)$ is closed if and only if $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 0$, so f is a harmonic function on each coordinate chart.
- (e) The condition is local, so it is enough to prove it for X an open subset of \mathbb{C} . Since dz and $d\bar{z}$ are a basis for $\Omega^1(X)$ (as a free module over $\Omega^0(X)$), we can write any λ in the form $rdz + sd\bar{z}$ for r, s smooth.

We write $r = a + bi$, $s = c + di$ for smooth real functions a, b, c, d . Noting that $dz = dx + idy$ and $d\bar{z} = dx - idy$, and doing a tedious algebraic check, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda \text{ is closed} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + i\frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} + i\frac{\partial d}{\partial y} = i\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x} \\ \lambda \text{ is co-closed} &\Leftrightarrow \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial d}{\partial x} = -i\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + i\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial d}{\partial y}\end{aligned}$$

Taking real and imaginary parts (remembering that a and b are real functions) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial y} &= -\frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial x} &= \frac{\partial b}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial d}{\partial y} \\ \frac{\partial b}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial d}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial c}{\partial y}\end{aligned}$$

The second and third equations are equivalent to $\frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial b}{\partial y}$ and $\frac{\partial c}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial d}{\partial y}$, while the first and fourth hold if and only if $\frac{\partial a}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial c}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial d}{\partial x}$. Consequently λ is both closed and co-closed if and only if r is holomorphic and s is anti-holomorphic. □

Question 10. Find an element $x \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{SL}_2\mathbb{Z}]$ such that $x\{0, \infty\} = \{\frac{1339}{164}, -\frac{19}{28}\}$.

Solution. We find that

$$-\frac{19}{28} = -1 + \frac{1}{3 + \frac{1}{9}}$$

so the convergents are $\infty, 0, -1, -\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{19}{28}$. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}\{0, -\frac{19}{28}\} &= \{0, \infty\} + \{\infty, -1\} + \{-1, -\frac{2}{3}\} + \{-\frac{2}{3}, -\frac{19}{28}\} \\ &= \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -3 & -1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -19 & 2 \\ 28 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \right] \cdot \{0, \infty\}\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\frac{1339}{164} = 8 + \frac{1}{6 + \frac{1}{13 + \frac{1}{2}}}$$

and the convergents are $0, \infty, 8, 49/6, 645/79, 1339/164$, so one finds that

$$\{0, \frac{1339}{164}\} = \left[\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 8 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 49 & 8 \\ 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 645 & -49 \\ 79 & -6 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 1339 & 645 \\ 164 & 79 \end{pmatrix} \right] \cdot \{0, \infty\}$$

Taking the difference between these gives the required element. □

Question 11. Let Γ be a finite index subgroup of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Check the assertion made in the proof of Prop 3.2.5 that if g is an elliptic element of order h , so $g^h = \pm 1$, and α is any element of $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$, then the element $h\{\alpha, g\alpha\}$ is zero in $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})/K_2(\Gamma)$.

Solution. It is clear that the action of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{M}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ factors through $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$; hence we can assume $h = 2$ or $h = 3$. We have $\{\alpha, g\alpha\} = \{g^t\alpha, g^{t+1}\alpha\} \bmod K_2$, so

$$h\{\alpha, g\alpha\} = \{\alpha, g\alpha\} + \{g\alpha, g^2\alpha\} + \cdots + \{g^{h-1}\alpha, \alpha\}.$$

Thus $h\{\alpha, g\alpha\}$ is congruent modulo K_2 to the boundary of a (possibly degenerate) triangle, and is thus 0. \square