

Lecture 27

2022-03-10.

(40). Finish proof of "3.27 Patching Lemma".

- Given
- (i).  $M$  connected  $n$ -mfd.
  - (ii).  $d: M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  section of homology bundle.
  - (iii).  $A \subseteq M$  compact.

Goal: Construct  $\alpha_A \in H_n(M/A)$  with  $\psi_{A,x}(\alpha_A) = d_x$

Remaining Case: (4) Suppose  $A \subseteq U \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ ; fix  $z \in Z(M/A)$  a  $k$ -cycle.

So  $z$  is a finite formal sum of singular  $k$ -simplices.

Since  $z \in Z_k(M, M-A)$ , we get  $\partial z \in C_{k-1}(M-A)$

Let  $L = \bigcup_{d_i \text{ in } \partial z} \text{image}(d_i)$ . This is compact and disjoint from  $A$ .

So there is some  $\delta$  with  $N_\delta(A) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x-a\| < \delta \text{ for some } a \in A\}$

[The  $\delta$ -neighborhood]: is disjoint from  $L$ .  $N_\delta(A) \cap L = \emptyset$

Thus we cover  $A$  by closed  $\delta/2$  balls  $[B_{\delta/2}(a) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \|x-a\| \leq \delta/2\}]$   
 Since  $A$  is compact, there is a finite subcover. Take the union to obtain  $K$ . Note

①  $K$  is finite union of convex balls.

②  $K \cap L = \emptyset$

③.  $A \subseteq \text{int}(K)$

}  $\Rightarrow z \in Z_k(\mathbb{R}^n / K)$

Now suppose  $k > n$ , WTS:  $[z] \in H_k(M/A)$  is 0.

By (3),  $H_k(\mathbb{R}^n, K) = 0$ . So there is some  $y \in C_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n / K)$  with  $\partial y = z$ .

~~$G_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n / K)$~~



$$C_{K+1}(\mathbb{R}^n | K) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_K(\mathbb{R}^n | K)$$

$$\downarrow i_{K+1} = \psi_{X,A}$$

$$i_K \downarrow = \psi_{K,A}$$

so  $[z] = 0$  in  $(C_K(\mathbb{R}^n | A))$ .

$$C_{K+1}(\mathbb{R}^n | A) \xrightarrow{\partial} C_K(\mathbb{R}^n | A)$$

Suppose  $K=n$ . Let  $N$  be a large ball around  $A, K$



By (3). We are given  $\alpha_N$  so that  $\psi_{N,x}(\alpha_N) = \alpha_x \quad \forall x \in N$

Define:  $\alpha_A = \psi_{N,A}(\alpha_N)$  and compute  $\psi_{A,x} \circ \psi_{N,A}(\alpha_N)$   
 $= \psi_{N,x}(\alpha_N) = \alpha_x$  as desired.

Uniqueness: Suppose  $\beta_A \in H_n(\mathbb{R}^n | A)$  also has the property  
 [Exercise I].  $\psi_{A,N}(\beta_A) = \alpha_N$ . Then pick a representative of  $\alpha_A - \beta_A$ .

Recap: If  $M$  connected; compact;  $n$ -mfd;  $M$  is also  $\mathbb{R}$ -orientable.

via a section  $\sigma: M \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$  Then there is a fundamental class

~~$[M]$~~   $[M] \in H_n(M, \mathbb{R})$  so that  $\forall x \in M$

$\psi_{M,x}([M])$  is a generator for  $H_n(M|x) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus  $[M]$  generates  $H_n(M; \mathbb{R})$ . So.  $H_n(M) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

Compare to 0-degree: If  $X$  is path connected,  $H_0(X, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

Prop 3.29: If  $M$  connected, not compact  $n$ -mfd. Then  $H_n(M; \mathbb{R}) \cong 0$

Pf: See Hatcher.

(41) Cap product

Fix  $X$  a top space. ;  $R$  a comm ring with  $1_R$ . Coefficients:  $\mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{R}$ .

Define:  $C_{k+l}(X) \times C^k(X) \xrightarrow{\wedge} C_l(X)$

$$(\sigma, \varphi) \mapsto \sigma \wedge \varphi = \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_k]}) \cdot \sigma|_{[v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+l}]}$$

and extend linearly to all chains in  $C_{k+l}$ .

Alt Defn:  $C_{k+l}(X) \xrightarrow{\wedge} \text{Hom}_R(C^k(X), C_l(X))$   
 $\downarrow \psi$   
 $\sigma \longmapsto (\varphi \mapsto \sigma \wedge \varphi)$

Lemma: (~~Leib~~ Leibnitz)  $\partial(\sigma \wedge \varphi) = (-1)^k (\partial\sigma) \wedge \varphi - \sigma \wedge (\partial\varphi)$

$\hookrightarrow$  Pf as exercise.

Cor: cap products induce:

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{k+l} \times Z^k &\longrightarrow Z_l \\ B_{k+l} \times Z^k &\longrightarrow B_l \\ Z_{k+l} \times B^k &\longrightarrow B_l \\ H_{k+l} \times H^k &\longrightarrow H_l \end{aligned}$$

With this Corollary, we have cap products defined on Homology

Naturality: Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is continuous, consider  $F(x) = H_{k+l}(X)$ ,  $G(x) = \text{Hom}_R(H^k(X), H_l(X))$

Then the cap product is a natural transformation from  $F$  to  $G$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{k+l}(X) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & H_{k+l}(Y) & \quad \eta: F \rightarrow G \\ \downarrow \wedge & \wr & \downarrow \wedge & \\ \text{Hom}(H^k(X), H_l(X)) & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \text{Hom}(H^k(Y), H_l(Y)) & \end{array}$$

This can be generalised to a fully relative variant of cap product.

$$H_{k+l}(X, A \cup B) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(H^k(X, A), H_l(X, B)).$$