

10 4B Graded Rings and the Main Theorem

10.1 The graded ring $B = R(C, D)$

Recall that Chapter 1 defined the rings A_x and A_y as the integral closure of $k[x]$ and $k[y]$ in the function field K , constructed the affine pieces C_x and C_y with coordinate rings $A_x = k[C_x]$ and $A_y = k[C_y]$, and 3.3 finally glued C_x and C_y together in an ad hoc way over the identification $y = x^{-1}$ to construct the nonsingular projective curve $C = C_x \cup C_y$ over \mathbb{P}^1 .

The two affine coordinate rings A_x and A_y are naturally accommodated inside a single graded ring B . Rather than x and $y = x^{-1}$, think of the ratio $(s_1 : s_2)$, where s_1, s_2 are coordinates on the $\mathbb{A}^2 \setminus \{0, 0\}$ overlying \mathbb{P}^1 .

Given C and a transcendental generator $x \in k(C)$ defining a morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ as in Chapter 1, write $\text{div } x = D_0 - D$, with D_0 the divisor of zeroes of x and D (or D_∞) its divisor of poles. The new object I introduce is the graded ring made up of the RR spaces of nD

$$B = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n, \quad \text{where } B_n = \mathcal{L}(C, nD). \quad (10.1)$$

The multiplication comes from $\mathcal{L}(C, nD) \subset k(C)$. The elements $(x, 1)$ are in $\mathcal{L}(C, D) \subset k(C)$. Multiplying by an element of $k(C)^\times$ changes the divisor by linear equivalence, but does not change the morphism $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{(s_1, s_2)}$, given by $(s_1 : s_2) = (x : 1) = (1 : y)$.

I write $s_1 = x$ and $s_2 = 1 \in B_1$ for the two degree 1 elements that give homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^1 . The degree marks the character of $\mathbb{G}_m = k^\times$ acting by $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto (\lambda s_1, \lambda s_2)$ in the definition of \mathbb{P}^1 . The element $s_2 \in B_1$ equals $1 \in k(C)$ but has degree 1. It has divisor D , meaning that it does not spend its allowed pole D . The coordinate $x = s_1/s_2 \in k(C)$ has divisor $D_0 - D$, and the graded ring B attributes the zeros and poles separately as $\text{div } s_1 = D_0$ and $\text{div } s_2 = D$. Later in the chapter I will sometimes modify the notation, writing $B_n = \mathcal{L}(C, nD) \cdot s_2^n$ where I view $\mathcal{L}(C, nD)$ as a vector subspace of $k(C)$ and $s_2^n \in B_n$ as a basis element with unambiguous degree n and divisor nD .

The construction of C in Chapter 1, 3.3 uses the B of (10.1) implicitly: since x and the generators u_i of $A_x = k[C_x]$ become regular on C_y on multiplying by a power y^n , they are in $\mathcal{L}(C, nD)$. Each u_i is a homogeneous fraction

$$u_i = U_i/s_2^n \quad \text{with } U_i \in \mathcal{L}(C, nD) = B_n. \quad (10.2)$$

The same holds for the generators v_j of $A_y = k[C_y]$: each v_j is regular on C_x , so also $V_j = v_j x^n \in \mathcal{L}(C, nD)$, and $v_j = V_j/s_1^n$.

10.2 B is a free graded module

Write $S = k[s_1, s_2]$ for the subring of B , and view B as a module over S . One sees that it is a finite S -module.¹

The elements $s_1, s_2 \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$ base a free pencil in $|D|$, so that the map $x: C_x \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_x^1$ extends to the morphism $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ given by $(s_1 : s_2)$. The coordinates s_1, s_2 form a regular sequence for B . This follows by Chapter 3, Proposition 9.1 on coprime divisors, or by the Castelnuovo free pencil trick. As a reminder, the fact that the divisors of s_1 and s_2 have no common support implies that, under multiplication in B , the two subspaces

$$s_1 B_n \text{ and } s_2 B_n \subset B_{n+1} \quad (10.3)$$

have intersection $s_1 s_2 B_{n-1}$. In other words,

$$0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{s_1, s_2} B^{\oplus 2} \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} -s_2 \\ s_1 \end{pmatrix}} B \quad (10.4)$$

is an exact sequence of graded S -modules.

It follows that the graded ring B is a free graded module over $S = k[s_1, s_2]$, of the form

$$B = S \oplus \bigoplus_{i=2}^d S(-a_i) \quad \text{with } 0 = a_1 < a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_d. \quad (10.5)$$

The *Serre twist* notation $S(-a_i)$ means $S = k[s_1, s_2]$ as a graded S -module with grading shifted by $-a_i$: its degree n part is $k[s_1, s_2]_{n-a_i}$. The direct sum decomposition (10.5) gives B a basis $\{U_i\}_{i=1, \dots, d}$ over S with $\deg U_i = a_i$, so to get degree n we must multiply U_i by $f_{n-a_i} \in S$.

That B is a free S -module follows more-or-less trivially by Nakayama's lemma. It is an exercise to see that it is a free *graded* S -module.

Digression Let M be a finite graded module over S , and $x \in S$ a regular generator of M that is homogeneous of degree > 0 . Work with the short exact sequence of graded S -modules.

$$0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0. \quad (10.6)$$

Lemma 10.1 *Suppose that $\overline{M} = \bigoplus \overline{S}(-d_i)$ is a free graded module over $\overline{S} = S/(x)$, with homogeneous generators \overline{m}_i of degree d_i . Lift each generator*

¹Exercise: Using the results of Chapter 3, prove that $s_1 \mathcal{L}(nD) + s_2 \mathcal{L}(nD) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}((n+1)D)$ as soon as $(n-1)D$ has degree $\geq 2g-1$.

to $m_i \in M$ with $m_i \mapsto \bar{m}_i$. The m_i can be chosen homogeneous of the same degree.

Then the m_i base M , and $M = \sum S(-d_i)$ is a free graded module over S .

For a local ring, this would follow by Nakayama's lemma. The proof illustrates the general informal slogan "graded is a special case of local".

Proof of the lemma First, any lift m_i of \bar{m}_i may be a sum of homogeneous bits of different degrees, but replacing it by the degree d_i piece does not change anything.

Take any homogeneous element $m \in M$. By assumption, its image in \bar{M} can be written as $\sum a_i \bar{m}_i$. Hence $m - \sum a_i m_i$ is in the kernel of $M \rightarrow \bar{M}$, which is xM . Hence $m - \sum a_i m_i = xn$ with $n \in M$ a homogeneous element of degree $\deg m - \deg x$. Now the same argument applies to n . Since M is finitely generated, the degree of its elements is bounded below, so that induction on degree proves that the m_i generate M .

Write $\pi: \bigoplus S(-d_i) \rightarrow M$ for the surjective homomorphism taking the i th basis element to m_i and set $N = \ker \pi$. Since \bar{M} is free, the reduction of $\pi \bmod x$ is an isomorphism. The fact that $N = 0$ so that π is an isomorphism follows from the Snake Lemma applied to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & 0 & & 0 & \\
 & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & \bigoplus S(-a_i) & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & K & \rightarrow & \bigoplus S(-a_i) & \rightarrow & M \rightarrow 0 & \quad (10.7) \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & \\
 & & 0 & \rightarrow & \bigoplus \bar{S}(-a_i) & \rightarrow & \bar{M} \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

where the down arrows $M \rightarrow M$ and so on are multiplication by x . QED

10.3 Numerology of RR

10.3.1 Sharp form of Main Proposition II

Write B_n for the graded piece of B of degree n . (10.5) gives B_n as the direct sum of S_{n-a_i} for $i = 1, \dots, d$. For $n \geq a_d - 1$, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim B_n = l(nD) &= \sum_{i=1}^d (n - a_i + 1) \\
 &= 1 - g + nd \quad \text{where } g = \sum_2^d (a_i - 1).
 \end{aligned} \tag{10.8}$$

10.3.2 Book-keeping

For smaller values of n , some of the $n - a_i + d$ are negative, so I don't want to add them into the dimension of a vector space. If $m \geq -1$, the space S_m of homogeneous forms of degree m has dimension $m + 1$. The correct formula for all m is $(m + 1)_+ = \max\{m + 1, 0\}$, and for every m I have

$$m + 1 = (m + 1)_+ - (m + 1)_-, \quad (10.9)$$

where $(m + 1)_- = -m - 1$ for $m \leq -2$.

10.3.3 Irregularity of nD

For every $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the negative terms of the sum (10.8) add to the *irregularity* of nD , that is, the difference between $l(nD)$ and $1 - g + \deg(nD)$:

$$\text{irreg}(nD) = \sum_{i=1}^d (-n + a_i - 1)_+ \quad (10.10)$$

In particular, for $n = 0$, the divisor $0 = 0D$ has $\mathcal{L}(C, 0) = k$ and irregularity

$$\sum_1^d (a_i - 1)_+ = \sum_2^d (a_i - 1) = g. \quad (10.11)$$

10.4 Canonical module \mathcal{K}_B

I define the *canonical module* as $\mathcal{K}_B = \text{Hom}_S(B, S(-2))$, which is again a graded S -module. By (10.5) it is

$$\mathcal{K}_B = S(-2) + \sum_{i=2}^d S(a_i - 2). \quad (10.12)$$

10.4.1 $\mathcal{K}_{B,n}$ measures the irregularity of nD

The dimension of $\mathcal{K}_{B,n}$ in each degree n equals

$$\dim \mathcal{K}_{B,n} = \sum_2^d (-n + a_i - 1)_+, \quad (10.13)$$

which is the irregularity of nD calculated in (10.10). Thus

$$\dim \mathcal{L}(nD) - \dim \mathcal{K}_{B,n} = \sum_1^d (n - a_i + 1) = 1 - g + nd. \quad (10.14)$$

This gives the complete numerology of RR for the divisors nD and $K_C - nD$.

10.4.2 Towards the main result

The canonical module $\mathcal{K}_B = \text{Hom}_S(B, S(-2))$ is so far only defined as a graded S -module. You might reasonably complain that it does not seem to have too much to do with divisors on C .

To answer this, I show in (10.20) how to define the divisor $\text{div } \varphi$ of a nonzero homogeneous element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B,n}$ of degree n . The *canonical divisor of C associated with φ* is $K_\varphi = \text{div } \varphi - nD$. It turns out eventually that

$$\mathcal{K}_B = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{L}(C, K_\varphi + mD) \cdot \varphi. \quad (10.15)$$

The numerology of (10.14) then gives Main Proposition III with $K_C = K_\varphi$.

In (10.15), φ acts as a choice of basis of a rank 1 module: I use it to fix the canonical divisor K_φ in its divisor class, then use it to identify the vector subspace $\mathcal{L}(C, K_\varphi + mD)$ of $k(C)$ with $\text{Hom}(B, S(m-n))$ (more precisely, its rational version $\text{Hom}(B, S(m-n)) \otimes k(\mathbb{P}^1)$ as discussed below). Linear equivalence is all about change of basis in a 1-dimensional vector space.

The arguments proving (10.15) establish at the same time that the linear equivalence class of the divisor K_φ is independent of the choice of n and the nonzero $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B,n}$. This justifies writing it K_C . The class of K_C is in fact also independent of the choice of transcendental generator $x \in k(C)$.

I still have two small issues to explain before the formal statement of the Main Theorem 10.2. Section 10.5 treats *premultiplication*, a basic idea in duality theory. Here it gives the graded S -module $\mathcal{K}_B = \text{Hom}_S(B, S)$ the structure of a graded B -module. Multiplying elements of \mathcal{K}_B by functions in $k(C)$ is a prerequisite for discussing rational equivalence of their divisors.

Next, (10.20) expresses the definition of $\text{div } \varphi$ in terms of the local parameters z_{Q_i} at the different points $\{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$ of the fibre $f^{-1}P \subset C$ over $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$. For $P \in \mathbb{A}_x^1$, Section 10.6 treats² the localisation $A_x \otimes_{k[x]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, P}$ as the semilocal ring $\bigcap_{Q_i \in f^{-1}(P)} \mathcal{O}_{C, Q_i}$ and chooses the local parameters z_{Q_i} so that each z_{Q_i} is a unit at the other points $Q_{i'}$.

10.5 Premultiplication makes \mathcal{K}_B into a graded B -module

Premultiplication gives the S -module $\mathcal{K}_B = \text{Hom}_S(B, S(-2))$ the structure of a graded B -module. The formula to multiply $\varphi: B \rightarrow S$ by b is

$$(b \cdot \varphi)(x) = \varphi(bx). \quad (10.16)$$

²This will eventually move to Chapter 1.

This deceptively simple equation in abstract algebra merits some emphasis as a key issue in all work on duality: S is of course not a B -module, so it does not make sense to multiply elements of S by functions in B . However, you can make the space of homomorphisms to S into a B -module, provided you look before you leap: first do the multiplication in B , then apply φ .

10.5.1 Premultiplication and the dual of a field extension

Let $F_0 \subset F_1$ be a field extension of finite degree $d = [F_1 : F_0]$. Then F_1 is a d -dimensional vector space over F_0 , so has a vector space dual $F_1^\vee = \text{Hom}_{F_0}(F_1, F_0)$. Premultiplication makes F_1^\vee into a 1-dimensional vector space over F_1 .

Does F_1^\vee have a “natural” basis element? The trace map Tr_{F_1/F_0} is an element of F_1^\vee , and is nonzero if F_1/F_0 is separable,³ so you can use it as a basis. However, trace is zero for an inseparable extension F_1/F_0 . The dual vector space F_1^\vee is still there, but without a preferred basis.

10.6 Affine coordinates and local parameters from B

For a nonconstant morphism $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, write $f^{-1}(P) = \{Q_i\}_{i=1,\dots,k}$ for the set theoretic fibre over $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$. I use generators of B to put affine

Figure 10.1: Covering $\varphi: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with $\varphi^{-1}(P) = \{Q_1, \dots, Q_k\}$

and local coordinates on C , and treat the semilocal ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,P} = \bigcap \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i}$ systematically. In particular, I show how to choose local parameters z_{Q_i} for each \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i} such that z_{Q_i} is a unit at Q_j for all $j \neq i$.

I assume that $P \in \mathbb{A}_x^1$ is the point $x = \alpha$. (Working with $P \in \mathbb{A}_y^1$ is similar, and I ignore it here.) Then $x - \alpha$ is a local parameter for the DVR $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1,P}$, and it has valuation $v_{Q_i}(x - \alpha) = m_i \geq 1$ at each Q_i .

Write the basis of B in (10.5) as $U_1 = 1, U_2, \dots, U_d$ with U_j of degree a_j . They provide affine coordinates $u_1 = x = s_1/s_2$ and $u_j = U_j/s_2^{a_j}$ for

³TO DO: link to Appendix of Chap. 3 on separability. The trace map is nonzero for a separable extension of degree p . Exc: work this out for an Artin–Schreier extension with min poly $x^p - x - \alpha$. Hint: x itself has $\text{Tr } x = 1$ in char 2, but 0 in char > 2 . x^2 has $\text{Tr } x = 1$ in char 3, but 0 in char > 3 .

$j = 2, \dots, d$ on the affine space $\mathbb{A}_x^1 \times \mathbb{A}^{d-1}$ containing C_x . Compared to the material of Chapter 1, there is a small extra precision here. Namely, A_x and A_y have the same rank, and their generators $U_j/s_1^{a_j}$ and $U_j/s_2^{a_j}$ correspond to the summands $S(-a_j)$ of (10.5), and are related simply by the powers $(s_1/s_2)^{a_j}$.

Linear forms in these coordinates also provide parameters for the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$ at each $Q \in C$. Indeed, if $Q \in C$ is the point $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d)$, the corresponding point of $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^{d-1}$ has local coordinates $z_j = u_j - \alpha_j$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$, and since C is nonsingular, one of these cuts C transversally at Q , so generates the maximal ideal m_Q of $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q}$. For a finite set of points $\{Q_i\} \subset C$, it is clear that I can choose the local parameter z_{Q_i} at Q_i (as a k -linear combination of the above local coordinates z_j) so that it is a nonzero at the other points $Q_{i'}$, so that it is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_{C,Q_{i'}}$.

The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1,P}$ of $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ is the subring of the rational function field $k(x)$ of functions regular at P . The affine coordinate ring A_x of C_x is a free algebra over $k[x]$; write $\mathcal{O}_{C,P} = A_x \otimes_{k[x]} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1,P}$ for its localisation at P as $k[x]$ -module. This is the *semilocal ring* $\bigcap_{Q_i} \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i} \subset k(C)$.

It has finitely many maximal ideals $m_{Q_i} \cap \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$, with localisation at Q_i the local ring \mathcal{O}_{Q_i} . It is a free $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1,P}$ -algebra of rank d , an integral domain, and a principal ideal domain: if the parameters z_{Q_i} are chosen as above with z_{Q_i} a unit at Q_j for $i \neq j$ then they are the only prime elements of $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$.

10.6.1 Semilocal ring $\bigcap_{Q_i} \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i}$

More generally, if $Q_i \in C$ is a finite set of points of a nonsingular curve, the subring $\bigcap_{Q_i} \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i} \subset k(C)$ is a semilocal ring. It is an integral domain and is a principal ideal domain: choose the local parameters $z_i \in \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i}$ so that z_i is a unit at every Q_j . Then every nonzero ideal is principal, based by $\prod z_i^{m_i}$ for some $m_i \geq 0$. Also every fractional ideal is principal, based by $\prod z_i^{m_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

10.6.2 Fibre over P

This applies⁴ to $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ with $Q_i = \varphi^{-1}(P)$ the set theoretic inverse image of P . The ideal $m_P \mathcal{O}_{C,P} = (x - a) \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ is generated by $\prod z_i^{m_i}$, where $m_i = v_{Q_i}(x - a)$. The quotient $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}/(z_P \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C,P})$ is a d -dimensional algebra over k , clearly never an integral domain for $d > 1$. It decomposes as the direct sum

$$\mathcal{O}_{C,P}/(z_P \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C,P}) = \bigoplus \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i}/(z_P \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C,Q_i}) \quad (10.17)$$

⁴I will put this into Chapter 1 in the next draft, and get rid of the repetition.

corresponding to the divisor of zeros of $x - a$, with summands

$$\mathcal{O}_{C, Q_j} / (z_P \cdot \mathcal{O}_{C, Q_j}) \cong \bigoplus k[z_{Q_j}] / (z_{Q_j}^{m_j}) \quad \text{where } v_{Q_j}(z_P) = d_j. \quad (10.18)$$

The factors in (10.17) and (10.18) only depend on the local rings \mathcal{O}_{C, Q_j} at Q_j and the d_j . Thus (10.18) holds for any local parameters z_{Q_j} at Q_j , and I can choose these independently.

10.6.3 The canonical module \mathcal{K}_B has rank 1

By (10.5), B is free of rank d as an S -module. It is an integral domain, so contained in its field of fractions $L = \text{Frac } B$, an extension field of $k(s_1, s_2)$ of degree $[L : k(s_1, s_2)] = d$ (this ‘‘composite field’’ L is discussed at more length in 10.8). If U_i bases B over $S = k[s_1, s_2]$, then the same U_i base the field L over $k(s_1, s_2)$. The dual \mathcal{K}_B (10.12) also has rank d as an S -module, with the dual basis U_i^\vee . I can view it as submodule of the d -dimensional vector space L^\vee dual to L over $k(s_1, s_2)$. As just described, L^\vee is also a 1-dimensional vector space over L by premultiplication.

Rank 1 says that any two nonzero homogeneous elements of \mathcal{K}_B are proportional: multiplying by a power of s_2 reduces them to homogeneous of degree 0, after which their ratio is a function in $k(C)^\times$. This is the background to the linear equivalence result of Theorem 10.2, (1).

10.7 Divisor of $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B, m}$ and the main result

The valuation $v_Q(\varphi)$ at $Q \in C$ of a nonzero homogeneous element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B, m}$ is defined by

$$v_Q(\varphi) = \max\{\alpha \mid \varphi(z_Q^{-\alpha}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, P}\}. \quad (10.19)$$

Here $P = f(Q)$, and the local parameter z_Q at $Q = Q_i$ is chosen as in 10.6 to be a unit at the other point Q_j of the fibre $f^{-1}(P)$. The divisor $\text{div } \varphi$ is then the conventional sum

$$\text{div } \varphi = \sum_{Q \in C} v_Q(\varphi) Q. \quad (10.20)$$

Theorem 10.2 (1) *The class of the divisor $K_\varphi = \text{div } \varphi - mD$ up to linear equivalence is independent of m and the nonzero $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B, m}$.*

More precisely, given nonzero elements $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{K}_{B, m_1}$ and $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{K}_{B, m_2}$, one is a multiple of the other by a power of s_2 times a rational function:

$$\frac{\varphi_2}{s_2^{m_2}} = f \cdot \frac{\varphi_1}{s_2^{m_1}} \quad \text{with } f \in k(C) \quad (10.21)$$

so that

$$\operatorname{div} \varphi_2 - m_2 D = \operatorname{div} f + \operatorname{div} \varphi_1 - m_1 D. \quad (10.22)$$

The linear equivalence class $K_C \stackrel{\text{lin}}{\sim} K_\varphi$ is the canonical class of C .

- (2) Consider the divisor $K_\varphi = \operatorname{div} \varphi - mD \stackrel{\text{lin}}{\sim} K_C$ for a nonzero element $\varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B,m}$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}_{B,n} = \mathcal{L}(C, K_\varphi + nD) \cdot \varphi. \quad (10.23)$$

The numerical properties of K_C follow from this by 10.14:

$$l(K_C) = g \quad \text{and} \quad \deg K_C = 2g - 2. \quad (10.24)$$

This completes the proof of Main Proposition III.

- (3) The class of K_C is also independent of the choice of transcendental element x .

The set-up before the theorem missed one item needed in the proof. As discussed in 10.1, write $B_m = \mathcal{L}(C, mD) \cdot s_2^m$ with $s_2 = 1 \in B_1$. In the definition of B , I replace the finite dimensional k -vector space $B_m = \mathcal{L}(C, mD) \subset k(C)$ by the whole of $k(C)$, and define the bigger ring

$$B \subset B_{\text{rat}} = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} B_{\text{rat},m}, \quad \text{where} \quad B_{\text{rat},m} = k(C) \cdot s_2^m \quad (10.25)$$

I think of $B_{\text{rat},m}$ as the rational elements as opposed to the regular elements of $\mathcal{L}(mD)$. The element $b = g \cdot s_2^m \in B_{\text{rat},m}$ has divisor $\operatorname{div} b = \operatorname{div} g + mD$.

In the same way, I write

$$\mathcal{K}_B \subset \mathcal{K}_{B,\text{rat}} = \operatorname{Hom}_{k(s_1, s_2)}(B_{\text{rat}}, k(s_1, s_2)(-2)) \quad (10.26)$$

Since the B -module structure of \mathcal{K}_B is given by premultiplication, I can multiply a homogeneous element $\varphi: B \rightarrow S(-2)$ by $g \in k(C)$ and by powers s_2^m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ to get $g \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_{B,\text{rat}}$, and it clear from the definition in (10.19) and (10.20) that

$$\operatorname{div}(g \cdot \varphi) = \operatorname{div} g + \operatorname{div} \varphi, \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{div}(s_2^m \cdot \varphi) = \operatorname{div} \varphi + mD. \quad (10.27)$$

This proves (10.21).

By definition, an element $g \in k(C)^\times$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}(C, K_\varphi + nD)$ if and only if $\operatorname{div} g + K_\varphi + nD \geq 0$, that is $\operatorname{div} g + \operatorname{div} \varphi + (n - m)D \geq 0$. However, again by the premultiplication rule $\operatorname{div}(g \cdot \varphi) = \operatorname{div} g + \operatorname{div} \varphi$, this happens if and only if $g \in \mathcal{K}_{B,n}$.

Provisional draft from here on

10.8 Composite field L

The field of fractions $L = \text{Frac } B$ of the integral domain B is the composite field L is introduced in 10.8. One can view it as the extension $k(C)(s_2)$ of the function field of C . It allows me to relate any two nonzero homogeneous elements of \mathcal{K}_B as a ratio involving a power of s_2 (having divisor mD) times a function $k(C)$. This eventually provides the linear equivalence needed for 10.2, (2).

In algebra, the definition of L is as the composite of the two field extensions $k(x) \subset k(C)$ and $k(x) \subset k(s_1, s_2)$. It is the purely transcendental extension $L = k(C)(s_2)$ obtained by replacing the single transcendental generator x by two independent transcendental generators s_1, s_2 with $x = s_1/s_2$.

In geometry, L is the function field of an affine cone over C in a projective embedding using some $\mathcal{L}(C, nD)$ (say the construction of Chapter 1 of C as a projective curve). It is the field of fractions of $R(C, D)$ or of $A_x[s_2]$, with s_2 the coordinate on the \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle over C made up by \mathbb{G}_m orbits.

I give the elements s_1, s_2 degree 1, and $k(C)$ degree 0. This gives the rings $k[s_1, s_2]$ and B their usual gradings, and gives both fields $k(s_1, s_2)$ and L an action of $\mathbb{G}_m = k^\times$. The ring $B = R(C, D)$ has the alternative description as the integral closure of S in L .

Caution Although the fields $k(s_1, s_2)$ and L have \mathbb{G}_m -actions, *they are not graded rings*: if $K = \text{Frac } A$ is the field of fractions of a graded integral domain A , the only elements $f \in K$ that are sums of homogeneous terms are of the form $f = g/h$ with homogeneous denominator h . For example $1/(1 + s_2) \in k(s_1, s_2)$ has no such expression. Homogeneous of degree $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ means in the λ^n eigenspace of the action of $\lambda \in \mathbb{G}_m$. An element of L that is homogeneous of degree n is of the form $f \cdot s_2^n$ with $f \in k(C)$.

10.9 More results assuming separable

The arguments so far does not make any reference to the separability or otherwise of the transcendental generator x of $k(C)$.

Addendum 10.3 *Suppose in addition that x is a separable transcendence basis of $k(C)$, so that the extension $k(C)/k(x)$ is separable.*

- (4) *There are only finitely many points $Q \in C$ with $f(Q) = P \in \mathbb{A}_x^1$ and such that the local parameter $z_P = x - \alpha$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1, P}$ has valuation $v_Q(x - \alpha) > 1$, and similarly for y .*

This means that the $R = \sum_{Q \in C} (v_Q(x - \alpha) - 1)Q$ is a finite sum, and defines the ramification divisor of $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. It is effective and supported on the points Q at which the local parameter $z_P = x - \alpha$ downstairs at $P \in \mathbb{P}^1$ fails to be a local parameter at $Q \in C$.

(5) **Hurwitz' theorem:** $K_C \stackrel{\text{lin}}{\sim} -2D + R$ In particular, the genus of C is determined by $2g - 2 = -2d + \deg R$.

(6) There is a canonically defined trace homomorphism $\text{Tr}: B \rightarrow S$, which is element of $K_{B,2}$. Its divisor is $\text{div Tr} = R \stackrel{\text{lin}}{\sim} K_C + 2D$.

10.10 Relation with Kähler 1-forms $\Omega_{C/k}^1$

The traditional description of K_C is in terms of differential 1-forms.

10.10.1 Definition of the space of $\Omega_{C/k}^1$

It relates to the normal bundle to \mathbb{C} in its diagonal embedding in $\mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$.

10.10.2 The identification $\Omega_{C/k}^1 = \mathcal{O}_C(K_C)$

Relating 1-forms to the canonical module $K_{B,m}$ defined above