Number Theory

Workbook 0 (without solutions)

Fundamental Properties of the Integers (Revision of material from Foundations)

Summer 2013

(originally written and devised by Trevor Hawkes and Alyson Stibbard; revised in 2010 by John Cremona)

Aims of this workbook:

- (a) To give you the flavour of learning mathematics through directed problem solving in this workbook format. The course will be taught through five such workbooks.
- (b) To help you to revise the material covered (or hinted at) in "Foundations". Such material forms the starting point for this course in Number Theory.
- (c) To summarise the key ideas that underlie the socalled Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.
- (d) To enable you thereby to decide whether you want to take this course.

Copies of this workbook, both with and without solutions, can be found on Mathstuff.

In this course you will constantly get your hands dirty and, I hope, your brain engaged. You will be expected to calculate, to experiment, and to explore, in order to uncover some of the secrets of the counting numbers 1,2,3,.. that have fascinated our ancestors since the dawn of history.

Note: You will need a pocket calculator for some of the questions in the workbooks, and are encouraged to use one for this purpose and to experiment with results and ideas in the course. Calculators are NOT needed and are NOT allowed in tests or in the examination.

These workbooks were orginally written and devised by *Trevor Hawkes and and Alyson Stibbard. Ben Carr* designed the IATEX template and *Rob Reid* converted their drafts into elegant print. Over the years, other lecturers and students have corrected a number of typos, mistakes and other infelicities. In 2010 John Cremona made some substantial revisions.

Send corrections, ask questions or make comments at the module forum. You can join the MA246 forum by going to http://forums.warwick.ac.uk/wf/misc/welcome.jsp and signing in, clicking the *browse* tab, and then following the path: Departments > Maths > Modules > MA2xx modules > MA246 Number Theory.

1 Divisors and Units



We are very precise about the meaning of the word *divides*. If in doubt always refer back to this definition.

Notice that you get a very different answer to these questions if band c are allowed to be rational numbers.

The numbers 0 and 1 play a special role in the study of division. The numbers that divide 1 get a special name; they are called *units*.

Although zero divides zero, no meaning is given to the quotient

 $\frac{0}{0}$.

Section Targets

- (a) To explore the concept of **division** for integers.
- (b) To understand the special role of elements which divide 1.
- (c) To understand the special role of elements which divide 0.

(1.1) Definition Let a and b be integers. We say b divides a (and write b|a) if there exists an integer c such that

$$a = bc. \tag{1.a}$$

We call b = divisor or a factor of a.

(1.2) Questions about Definition 1.1 What are the possible values for the integers b and cin Equation 1.a:

(a) when a = 0?

(b) when a = 1 ?

(1.3) Questions about divisors.

- (a) Which integers divide 0?
- (b) Which integers are divisible by 0?
- (c) Which integers divide 1?
- (d) Which integers are divisible by 1 ?

Answers to (1.3)
(a)
(b)
(c)
(d)

(1.4) Definition We call an element u a unit if there exists an element v such that uv = 1. Thus a unit is simply an element which divides 1.

A non-zero element a for which another *non-zero* element b exists with ab = 0 is called a **zero divisor**. Thus $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ has zero divisors but \mathbb{Z} does not.

To show (1.5(b)) you need the fact that if x is a non-zero integer and if yx = 0, then y = 0; in other words, that in \mathbb{Z} , the product of two non-zero elements is always non-zero. This is another way of saying that \mathbb{Z} has no zero-divisors.

(1.5) Questions on units in \mathbb{Z}

- (a) In (1.3(c)) you found the units of \mathbb{Z} . Show they divide each element of \mathbb{Z} .
- (b) Let a and b be non-zero integers satisfying a|b and b|a. Show there exists a unit u such that a = ub.
- (c) Show that $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}$ contains 2 non-zero elements whose product is zero.



The absence of zero divisors allows cancellation

Suppose that $a \neq 0$ and

$$ax = ay$$
 (1.b)



in a system without zero divisors. Then a(x - y) = 0and so x - y = 0, in other words x = y. Thus the non-zero *a* in Equation 1.b can be **cancelled**. We can discuss divisors and units in algebraic systems other than Z. Make the minimal assumption that the system is closed under multiplication.

You should convince yourself that $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ is closed under multiplication and contains 1.

Hint: Use the fact that if uv = 1 then

 $|u|^2 |v|^2 = |uv|^2 = 1^2 = 1$

and work out the modulus of

m+in.

(1.6) Questions on units in other systems

- (a) The set $2\mathbb{Z}$ of **even** integers is closed under multiplication. Does the concept of unit make sense in $2\mathbb{Z}$?
- (b) What are the units in \mathbb{N} ?
- (c) What are the units in \mathbb{Q} , the set of rational numbers?

Answers to (1.6)

(a)

- (b)
- (c)

(1.7) Further questions on units in other systems

(a) Now work in the system $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ of **Gaussian integers**, which are complex numbers of the form m + in where m and n are integers. Thus in symbols

$$\mathbb{Z}[i] = \{m + in \in \mathbb{C} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Find the units of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ (there are exactly four of them).

- (b) Prove that a unit in an algebraic system divides every element of that system.
- (c) Prove that a unit can not be a zero-divisor.



2 Division with Remainder

Now we revise some ideas and results from *Foundations*. Much of Number Theory grows out of questions about the natural numbers. (Can we solve $a^3 + b^3 = c^3$ with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$? Is every even integer greater than 4 the sum of 2 odd prime numbers?) Such questions soon lead into a larger arena: to be able to subtract, move the action to \mathbb{Z} , and to divide go to \mathbb{Q} , to use methods from *Analysis* extend your realm to \mathbb{R} , and to solve equations take \mathbb{C} as your universe.

(2.1) Sort the following numbers into the sets \mathbb{N} , $\mathbb{Z}\setminus\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Q}\setminus\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbb{R}\setminus\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{-4}{4}, 0, \frac{\sqrt{8}}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{-\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{8}}, \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{8}}, 1.4141599, \pi, e^{i\pi},$$

the roots of $x^2 - 8$, the roots of $x^2 + x + 1$.

Answers to (2.1)

Notice the different kinds of ambiguity in the notation we use to describe numbers. Each rational number can be described in infinitely many ways as a rational and in two ways as a decimal.

(2.2) Write down the sequence obtained by subtracting 17 from 105 until the answer is negative.

Answer to (2.2)

(2.3) How many subtractions did you use to reach the last non-negative term in the sequence ?

Answer to (2.3)

(2.4) Work out $\frac{105}{17}$ to four decimal places.

Answer to (2.4)

(2.5) Write $\frac{105}{17}$ in the form n + x with $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \le x < 1$ and then work out 17n and 17x.

Answer to (2.5)

(2.6) Find the integers q and r such that 105 = 17q + r with $0 \le r < 17$.

Answer to (2.6)

Notice that repeated subtraction is equivalent to division with remainder.

(2.7) Find $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\frac{1066}{69} = n + x$ with $0 \le x < 1$.

Answer to (2.7)

(2.8) Compute 1066 - 69n and 69x. Compare the answers.

Answer to (2.8)

Notice from the previous examples that q = [a/b] and r = a-qb. To find q on your calculator, work out a/b and ignore everything to the right of the decimal point.

(2.9) Use your calculator to find q and $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that (i) a = qb + r and (ii) $0 \le r < b$ when (a, b) is in turn equal to: (100, 1) (-21, 2) (1001, 101) (-1001, 101) (1111, 101) (2010, your age).



(2.10) Definition If $x \in \mathbb{R}$, the notation [x] means the greatest integer less than or equal to x. It is called the **integral part** (or the **round-down** or the **floor** of x). (An alternative notation is $\lfloor x \rfloor$.) Thus $[\pi]$ and [3] are both equal to 3.

(2.11) Theorem Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \in \mathbb{N}$. Set $q = \left[\frac{a}{b}\right]$ and $r = \left(\frac{a}{b} - q\right) \times b$. Then a = qb + r

with $0 \leq r < b$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$.

(2.12) Work out [-5.2], $[\pi]$, $[-\pi]$, $\left[\frac{2010}{69}\right]$, $[\sqrt{2010}]$, [x], when x is the larger root of $x^2 + 9x - 4$.

Answer to (2.12)

(2.13) Describe the graph of the function f(x) = [x] + [-x].

Answer to (2.13)

(2.14) Write down all the primes up to $\sqrt{2011}$,

 $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_s < \sqrt{2011}.$

Calculate the successive remainders when 2011 is divided by

 $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_s.$

What can you conclude about 2011?

Answer to (2.14)

(2.15) Work out the remainders r(20), r(4), r(5) when 2011 is divided by 20, 4 and 5 respectively. Compare r(4) (resp. r(5)) with the remainder when r(20) is divided by 4 (resp. 5)



Questions and Conclusions from Section 2

- To find q and r such that a = qb + r with $0 \le r < b$, use your calculator to work out q = [a/b], the integral part of a/b, and then compute a qb to get r.
- 2.14 suggests that if p_1, \ldots, p_r are the primes up to \sqrt{n} , and if each p_i does not divide n, then n is a prime. Prove this fact.
- Suppose that c divides b, then let r(b) (resp, r(c)) be the remainder on dividing a by b (resp, by c). 2.15 suggests that r(c) is the remainder on dividing r(b) by c. Give a proof of this fact.