

# Approaches to computing overconvergent $p$ -adic modular forms

David Loeffler (Imperial College, London)

Computations with Modular Forms workshop, 18th August 2008

## 1 Introduction to overconvergent modular forms

### 1.1 Motivation

Let  $p$  be a prime number. It's well known that classical modular Hecke eigenforms can satisfy nontrivial congruence relations modulo powers of  $p$ ; for example the standard Eisenstein series  $E_k$  satisfies  $E_{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$ , and more generally  $E_{(p-1)p^r} = 1 \pmod{p^{r+1}}$  for all integers  $r \geq 0$ . We'd like to construct some kind of  $p$ -adic space of modular forms in which this really represents a limiting process, with  $E_{(p-1)p^r}$  being a sequence of modular forms tending towards the constant form 1 in weight 0.

An early attempt to construct such a space dates back to work of Serre in the early 1970's [Ser73]. Serre considered the space of formal  $q$ -expansions that are uniform  $p$ -adic limits of  $q$ -expansions of modular forms of fixed level  $N$  and arbitrary weight. This space, the space of *convergent  $p$ -adic modular forms*, is infinite-dimensional but nonetheless has many good properties; for example, such forms have a well-defined weight, and one can define an action of Hecke operators by the usual formulae on  $q$ -expansions and these turn out to be continuous. However, this space has too many eigenforms: a construction due to Gouvea shows that one may construct eigenfunctions for the  $U_p$  operator with arbitrary eigenvalue, so in particular these  $p$ -adic eigenfunctions contain no interesting arithmetical information. We shall see in the remainder of this section how to construct a space which remedies this, and it is the elements of this space which we will attempt to compute in the following sections.

### 1.2 Geometry of modular curves

We start by recalling some standard facts from the algebro-geometric theory of modular forms. (An excellent summary of these constructions can be found in the appendix to Katz's article [Kat73].) Let  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be a congruence subgroup. Then the quotient  $Y(\Gamma) = \mathcal{H}/\Gamma$  can be identified with the complex points of an algebraic curve. We shall mostly be interested in  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(Np)$  or  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , or the corresponding  $\Gamma_1$  versions. For these  $\Gamma$ , if  $N$  is sufficiently large ( $N \geq 5$  is sufficient), then the algebraic curve  $Y(\Gamma)$  has a well-understood canonical model over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a fine moduli space classifying elliptic curves with suitable level structure; and it possesses a canonical compactification  $X(\Gamma)$  which is a proper scheme over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . By considering differentials on the universal elliptic curve over  $Y(\Gamma)$ , one can construct a line bundle  $\omega$  on  $X(\Gamma)$  with the convenient property that  $H^0(X(\Gamma), \omega^{\otimes k}) = M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$ . (*Remark:* The requirement that the level be  $\geq 5$  can be worked around, by the usual expedient of adding in auxiliary level structure and then taking invariants under it.)

It's well known that if  $X$  is a projective curve over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then algebraic sections and holomorphic sections of line bundles over  $X$  coincide; this is Serre's "GAGA" principle. But we are interested in  $p$ -adic analysis here, so instead we consider  $X$  as a projective curve over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , to which we can associate an object known as a rigid analytic space – this is a kind of  $p$ -adic analogue of a manifold, with functions being given by  $p$ -adically convergent power series. There is a GAGA principle for rigid spaces due to Köpf ([Köp74]), and we find that  $H_{rig}^0(X(\Gamma)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \omega^{\otimes k}) = M_k(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_p$ ; so we have in a sense placed our space in a " $p$ -adic context".

### 1.3 Butchered modular curves

An insight due to Katz is that the “bad points” of  $X(\Gamma)$  are those corresponding to elliptic curves with supersingular reduction modulo  $p$ ; if the congruence of  $q$ -expansions  $E_{p-1} = 1 \pmod{p}$  is to be given a geometric interpretation, then points where  $E_{p-1}$  vanishes modulo  $p$  are troublesome.

In the rigid space associated to  $X(\Gamma)$ , these supersingular points are a well-behaved rigid subspace, isomorphic to a finite union of open  $p$ -adic discs corresponding to the supersingular  $j$ -invariants in characteristic  $p$ . Hence one can construct the complement of these discs as a rigid space, which is denoted  $X(\Gamma)^{ord}$  (the *ordinary locus*).

**Theorem (Katz).** *The space  $H_{rig}^0(X(\Gamma)^{ord}, \omega^{\otimes k})$  is isomorphic (as a Banach space and as a Hecke module) to Serre’s space of convergent  $p$ -adic modular forms.*

This shows that we are on the right track, but we have been a little too drastic in throwing away the whole discs – we have found ourselves in a space that is too large. So we try throwing away smaller sub-discs; but one must do this in some reasonably canonical manner (so that the resulting space is stable under the correspondences on  $X(\Gamma)$  that give Hecke operators). So we run in to the usual problem that discs in ultrametric spaces don’t know where their centres are.

Fortunately, there is a solution. The mod  $p$  Hasse invariant, a modular form over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  of weight  $p - 1$  which is zero precisely at supersingular points, can be lifted to a characteristic 0 form defined over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ; for example, if  $p \geq 5$  the level 1 Eisenstein series is such a lift. Katz shows that if  $A$  is such a lifting, and  $x$  is a point of  $X(\Gamma)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  such that  $\text{ord}_p A(x) < 1$ , then this number  $\text{ord}_p A(x)$  is independent of the choice of  $A$ . So if  $0 < r < 1$ , defining  $X(\Gamma)^{\leq r}$  to be the set of  $x$  such that  $\text{ord}_p A(x) \leq r$ , we obtain a well-defined rigid subspace of  $X(\Gamma)$ . Katz moreover shows that if  $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$  this space is stable under the Hecke correspondences; hence the space  $H_{rig}^0(X(\Gamma)^{\leq r}, \omega^{\otimes k})$  gives a space with a continuous Hecke action. This is the space of  $r$ -overconvergent  $p$ -adic modular forms, denoted  $M_k^\dagger(\Gamma, r)$ . Finally, on this space the Hecke operator  $U_p$  is compact – in fact this follows from the fact that it increases overconvergence, so if  $f$  is  $r$ -overconvergent  $U_p f$  will be  $pr$ -overconvergent – so we have a good spectral theory. This is the space we shall attempt to compute.

(A more detailed introduction to this theory can be found in Emerton’s survey article [Eme].)

## 2 Explicit parametrisation

The first algorithm we shall discuss is based on work of several authors including Emerton, Smithline, Buzzard and Calegari; a detailed description and some tables of results can be found in my paper [Loe07].

If  $\Gamma = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then  $X(\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . If  $p$  is sufficiently small, then there is only one supersingular  $j$ -invariant, so for any  $r$ ,  $X(\Gamma)^{\leq r}$  will be the complement in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of an open disc, which is simply a closed disc. So the space of functions on  $X(\Gamma)^{\leq r}$  can be written down explicitly. This works for  $p$  in the set  $\{2, 3, 5, 7, 13\}$ .

We shall define an explicit uniformiser as follows. Let  $f$  be the function  $\left(\frac{\Delta(pz)}{\Delta(z)}\right)^{1/(p-1)}$ . This is a function on  $X_0(p)$ ; but Katz’s theory of the canonical subgroup shows that for  $r < \frac{p}{p+1}$ , the forgetful map  $X_0(p) \rightarrow X_0(1)$  has a rigid-analytic section over  $X_0(1)^{\leq r}$ , identifying it with  $X_0(p)^{\leq r}$ . One can show explicitly that  $X_0(p)^{\leq r}$  is precisely the region of  $X_0(p)$  where  $\text{ord}_p f \geq \frac{-12r}{p-1}$ .

Hence the space of rigid functions on  $X_0(1)^{\leq r}$  is the space of power series

$$\left\{ \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n f^n \mid a_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p, \text{ord}_p(a_n) - \frac{12rn}{p-1} \rightarrow +\infty \right\}.$$

This space is, by definition,  $M_0^\dagger(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), r)$ ; and if  $E_k^*$  represents the level  $p$  Eisenstein series of weight  $k$  whose  $U_p$ -eigenvalue is 1, then (by a theorem of Coleman)  $E_k^*$  is overconvergent with no zeros on  $X_0(p)^{\leq r}$ , and hence  $M_k^\dagger(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), r)$  is simply  $E_k^* \cdot M_0^\dagger(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), r)$ . (In particular,  $M_k^\dagger$  and  $M_0^\dagger$  are isomorphic as abstract Banach spaces; but the Hecke actions on the two are different.)

So we would like to compute the matrix of the  $U_p$  operator in this basis; equivalently, we want to write  $(E_k^*)^{-1} \cdot U_p(f^j E_k^*)$  as a convergent power series in  $f$ . In principle we can calculate this directly

from the  $q$ -expansion – since the  $q$ -expansion of  $f$  has no constant term, the first  $n$  coefficients of the  $q$ -expansion of  $U_p(f^j E_k^*)$  determine the first  $n$  entries of the corresponding column of the matrix. However, computing many terms of the matrix this way is prohibitively expensive.

A more practical method relies on a cunning observation due originally to an obscure Norwegian author named Kolberg [Kol61]. In terms of  $q$ -expansions,

$$U_p(f^j E_k^*)(q) = (f^j E_k^*)(q^{1/p}) + (f^j E_k^*)(\zeta_p \cdot q^{1/p}) + \cdots + (f^j E_k^*)(\zeta_p^{p-1} \cdot q^{1/p});$$

so if  $k$  and  $q$  are fixed,  $U_p(f^j E_k^*)$  will satisfy a recurrence of degree  $p$  in  $j$ , with coefficients that are the elementary symmetric functions of the set  $\{f(q^{1/p}), \dots, f(\zeta_p^{p-1} \cdot q^{1/p})\}$ .

**Lemma** (Kolberg). *These are all polynomials in  $f$  of degree at most  $p$ .*

This motivates the choice of function  $f$ . (For a “random” uniformiser, one would expect them to be rational functions of  $f$ , but the fact that  $f$  is an  $\eta$ -product constrains the denominators to be trivial.) For example, if  $p = 2$  then we find that  $U_p(f^j E_k^*) = (48f + 2^{11} f^2)U_p(f^{j-1} E_k^*) + fU_p(f^{j-2} E_k^*)$ .

This recurrence allows the entire matrix of  $U_p$  on  $M_k^\dagger(\Gamma, r)$ , for any given  $r$  and  $k$ , to be computed very rapidly using only integer arithmetic, given only its first  $p$  rows and columns. Since this matrix represents a compact operator for  $r$  in the appropriate range, we may truncate it to an  $N \times N$  top left submatrix and calculate the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of this truncated matrix; these will converge rapidly to the eigenvectors of  $U_p$  with small slope ( $p$ -adic valuation of the eigenvalue). Converting the  $f$ -adic expansions of these back to  $q$ -expansions it is also easy to read off the action of the Hecke operators at other primes  $\ell \neq p$ , so one has an essentially complete description of  $M_k^\dagger$ .

(Remark: If  $p$  is 11, 17 or 19, then there are two supersingular  $j$ -invariants and a similar idea can be made to work: the overconvergent locus is no longer a disc but an annulus, so one is forced to use Laurent series in place of power series. However, there is no analogue of the uniformiser  $f$  and the associated recurrence formula, so calculating the Hecke action is harder, but still possible; this has been implemented by Lloyd Kilford. For primes  $\geq 23$  it is not clear how to proceed.)

### 3 The $p$ -adic trace formula

The second algorithm we shall use, which I learnt from Frank Calegari, gives less information but in a much wider range of cases.

There is a classical formula, due to Eichler, which gives the trace of the Hecke operator  $T_m$  acting on  $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$  (originally assuming  $N$  is square-free). This was subsequently generalised vastly by Selberg and others to give a whole industry of trace formulae for many different kinds of automorphic forms; but we shall use only Eichler’s original formula, and a generalisation by Hijikata to handle the case where  $N$  is not square-free.

The dependency on  $m$  and  $N$  is subtle, involving a sum over factorisations of  $m$  in all possible imaginary quadratic fields, but for a fixed  $m$  and  $N$  it depends on  $k$  only through a finite sum of terms of the form  $\rho^{k-1}$ , where  $\rho$  is an algebraic integer constant. For example,  $\text{Tr}(T_2|S_k(\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{Z}))$  is given by

$$-\frac{(1 + \sqrt{-1})^{k-1} - (1 - \sqrt{-1})^{k-1}}{4\sqrt{-1}} - \frac{\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{k-1} - \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{-7}}{2}\right)^{k-1}}{\sqrt{-7}} - \frac{\sqrt{-2}^{k-1}}{2} - 1.$$

Let  $p$  be a fixed prime, and  $N$  some arbitrary level with  $p \nmid N$ . Fix an integer  $k_\infty$  and consider a cunningly chosen sequence of integers  $k_n$  satisfying the following conditions:

- $k_n = k_\infty \pmod{p-1}$  for all  $n$  (or mod 2 if  $p = 2$ )
- $k_n \rightarrow \infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}$
- $k_n \rightarrow k_\infty$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$

In the trace formula for  $\text{Tr}(T_p|S_k(\Gamma_0(N)))$ , whenever there is a term  $\rho^{k-1}$  with the  $p$ -adic valuation of  $\rho$  positive,  $\rho^{k_n-1}$  will tend rapidly to zero  $p$ -adically, since  $k_n$  is growing large in the archimedean

sense. However, if  $\rho$  is a  $p$ -adic unit, then the first and last requirements imply that  $\rho^{k_n-1}$  converges to  $\rho^{k_\infty-1}$ . So

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(T_p | S_{k_n}(\Gamma_0(N)))$$

can be calculated by simply deleting all terms in the trace formula with  $\rho$  not a  $p$ -adic unit, and evaluating the remaining terms at  $k_\infty$ .

**Theorem.** *This limit is equal to the trace of  $U_p$  acting on  $S_{k_\infty}^\dagger(\Gamma_0(N), r)$ , for all sufficiently small  $r > 0$ .*

This is a consequence of two deep theorems of Coleman: firstly,  $U_p$ -eigenvalues vary continuously as the weight varies  $p$ -adically, so for  $n$  large the eigenvalues of  $U_p$  on  $S_{k_n}^\dagger$  are close to those on  $S_{k_\infty}^\dagger$ . Secondly, if  $f$  is a  $U_p$ -eigenform in  $S_k^\dagger(\Gamma_0(N))$  for integer  $k$  and the valuation of its  $U_p$ -eigenvalue is  $< k - 1$ , then  $f$  is in fact a classical modular form of level  $\Gamma_0(Np)$ . Hence the trace of  $U_p$  acting on overconvergent forms of level  $p$  differs from the trace on classical forms by an error term of order at most  $p^{k-1}$ . Finally, the difference between the trace of  $U_p$  in level  $\Gamma_0(N)$  and  $\Gamma_0(Np)$  is of the order of  $p^{(k-2)/2}$ . Combining all of these facts, we see that if  $k_n$  is sufficiently large in the archimedean sense, and sufficiently close to  $k_\infty$  in the  $p$ -adic sense,  $\text{Tr}(T_p | S_{k_n})$  is close to  $\text{Tr}(U_p | S_{k_\infty}^\dagger)$ .

## Extensions to the method

The proof of the above result can be generalised: one does not need to take the limit of  $T_m$  for  $m = p$ , but merely for some  $m$  with  $p|m$ . For instance, one can take  $m = p^e$  and deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tr}(T_{p^e} | S_{k_n}(\Gamma_0(N))) = \text{Tr}((U_p)^e | S_{k_\infty}(\Gamma_0(N))),$$

and computing this for  $e = 1 \dots r$  and applying a simple identity one obtains the first  $r$  coefficients of the characteristic power series  $\det(1 - tU_p)$ , from which one can derive approximations to the  $U_p$ -eigenvalues.

In principle one can even consider the limit of  $\text{Tr}(T_{p^\ell})$  where  $\ell$  is a prime not dividing  $pN$ ; this gives the trace of  $(U_p)^\ell \cdot T_\ell$ , and by linear algebra one can recover the  $T_\ell$ -eigenvalues corresponding to each  $U_p$ -eigenvalue, and hence the entire  $q$ -expansion of each eigenform (other than the coefficients at primes dividing  $N$ ). However, computing the trace of  $T_m$  grows very rapidly with  $m$ , and consequently this is not a very practical algorithm.

Moreover, there is no reason why  $k_\infty$  should be an integer; it is sufficient to take  $k_\infty$  to be an arbitrary continuous homomorphism  $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p^*$ . The space  $\mathcal{W}$  of such homomorphisms is naturally a rigid space, isomorphic to a disjoint union of  $p - 1$  open discs of radius 1, where the homomorphism  $\kappa$  corresponds to  $w = \kappa(1 + p) - 1$ . (For  $p = 2$  one takes instead 2 open discs of radius 1, with  $w = \kappa(1 + 2^2) - 1$ .) Coleman has shown how to construct a space of overconvergent forms of weight  $\kappa$  for arbitrary  $\kappa \in \mathcal{W}$ , and the trace formula method applies to these  $p$ -adic weights just as before. Indeed, one can easily obtain a formula for  $\text{Tr}(U_p | S_\kappa)$ , for  $\kappa$  in a fixed component of weight space, as a power series in  $W$ ; this is useful for studying local geometry of eigenvarieties.

## References

- [Eme] Matthew Emerton,  *$p$ -adic geometry of modular curves*, Online notes.
- [Kat73] Nicholas M. Katz,  *$p$ -adic properties of modular schemes and modular forms*, Modular functions of one variable III (Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 350, Springer, 1973, pp. 69–190. MR 0447119
- [Kol61] Oddmund Kolberg, *Congruences for the coefficients of the modular invariant  $j(\tau)$  modulo powers of 2*, Årbok Univ. Bergen Mat.-Natur. Ser. (1961), 1–9.
- [Köp74] Ursula Köpf, *Über eigentliche Familien algebraischer Varietäten über affinoiden Räumen*, Schr. Math. Inst. Univ. Münster (2nd ser.) 7 (1974), iv+72. MR 0422671
- [Loe07] David Loeffler, *Spectral expansions of overconvergent modular functions*, Int. Math. Res. Notices 2007 (2007), no. 050. MR 2353090

[Ser73] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Formes modulaires et fonctions zeta  $p$ -adiques*, Modular functions of one variable III (Antwerp, 1972), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 350, Springer, 1973, pp. 191–268. MR 0404146