There were 93 scripts in total. As usual, marks were out of 100; before scaling (and which I will not be told about) the highest and lowest marks were 98 and 17 respectively. The median mark was 66 ; the mean was 65.6 with a standard deviation of 15.0.

In the comments below, when I write "many students..." usually what happened is that I gave partial marks due to the more-or-less subtle error described.
$1 \mathrm{a}(\mathrm{i})$ : "Bijective" is a necessary axiom. As an example, consider $\mathbb{Q}\left(x_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\right)$ : that is, $\mathbb{Q}$ adjoin a countable collection of independant transcendentals.

1a(iii): The proof simplifies if we recall that $\operatorname{Aut}(L / K)$ is a subset of $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$.
1 b : Before dividing both sides of an equation by a number $\alpha$, we must check that $\alpha$ is non-zero.

1e(ii): Many students wrote a version of "An element $\alpha \in L$ is algebraic over $K$ if the degree $[K(\alpha): K]$ is finite." This was said in class but it is not the definition (according to Samir!). Anyway it still received full marks.

1 g (ii): Many students wrote a version of "Let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ be the roots of $f$, taken with multiplicity. Then $L=K\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ is the splitting field of $f$." This is morally correct but mathematically wrong.

1h: Most students forgot the hypothesis that $L / K$ must be an algebraic extension. (For example, consider $\mathbb{Q}(x)$.)

2b: Starting the proof by asserting that $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha): \mathbb{Q}]=3$ is circular.
2 e : There is a computation-free proof.
3e: Many students found this question difficult.
4a: Several students wrote some version of "Since $5=a^{2}+b^{2} D+2 a b \sqrt{D}$, by equating coefficients we have $2 a b=0$." This does not make sense, as $\sqrt{D}$ is not a variable. Instead we need to appeal to the fact that 1 and $\sqrt{D}$ are linearly independent (when thought of as vectors in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D}) / \mathbb{Q}$ ).

4c: Many students wrote some verion of "Clearly $\sqrt{5}$ does not lie in $K$." However, a proof is needed; there is a proof which does not require any computation.

4d: Many students found this question difficult.
5d: Only a few students attempted this question.

