There were 93 scripts in total. As usual, marks were out of 100; before scaling (and which I will not be told about) the highest and lowest marks were 98 and 17 respectively. The median mark was 66; the mean was 65.6 with a standard deviation of 15.0.

In the comments below, when I write "many students..." usually what happened is that I gave partial marks due to the more-or-less subtle error described.

- 1a(i): "Bijective" is a necessary axiom. As an example, consider  $\mathbb{Q}(x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N})$ : that is,  $\mathbb{Q}$  adjoin a countable collection of independent transcendentals.
- 1a(iii): The proof simplifies if we recall that  $\operatorname{Aut}(L/K)$  is a subset of  $\operatorname{Aut}(L)$ .
  - 1b: Before dividing both sides of an equation by a number  $\alpha$ , we must check that  $\alpha$  is non-zero.
- 1e(ii): Many students wrote a version of "An element  $\alpha \in L$  is algebraic over K if the degree  $[K(\alpha) : K]$  is finite." This was said in class but it is not the definition (according to Samir!). Anyway it still received full marks.
- 1g(ii): Many students wrote a version of "Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  be the roots of f, taken with multiplicity. Then  $L = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$  is the splitting field of f." This is morally correct but mathematically wrong.
  - 1h: Most students forgot the hypothesis that L/K must be an algebraic extension. (For example, consider  $\mathbb{Q}(x)$ .)
  - 2b: Starting the proof by asserting that  $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 3$  is circular.
  - 2e: There is a computation-free proof.
  - 3e: Many students found this question difficult.
  - 4a: Several students wrote some version of "Since  $5 = a^2 + b^2D + 2ab\sqrt{D}$ , by equating coefficients we have 2ab = 0." This does not make sense, as  $\sqrt{D}$  is not a variable. Instead we need to appeal to the fact that 1 and  $\sqrt{D}$  are linearly independent (when thought of as vectors in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{D})/\mathbb{Q}$ ).
  - 4c: Many students wrote some verion of "Clearly  $\sqrt{5}$  does not lie in K." However, a proof is needed; there is a proof which does not require any computation.
  - 4d: Many students found this question difficult.
  - 5d: Only a few students attempted this question.