

(M3)  
10/85  
Abstract

# Hyperbolic Structures on Three-Manifolds

Jeffrey Renwick Weeks

A DISSERTATION  
PRESENTED TO THE FACULTY  
OF PRINCETON UNIVERSITY  
IN CANDIDACY FOR THE DEGREE  
OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

RECOMMENDED FOR ACCEPTANCE  
BY THE DEPARTMENT OF  
MATHEMATICS

October 1985

FINE HALL LIBRARY  
PRINCETON UNIVERSITY

## Abstract

This thesis provides a practical means of finding hyperbolic structures for link complements and manifolds obtained by Dehn surgery on link complements. The algorithm is implemented as a pair of C programs, copies of which can be obtained free of charge from the author. Some initial applications of the programs suggest that the complexity of a knot or link is best measured by the volume of its complement, not by the number of crossings in its projection. The structure of Dehn surgery spaces is discussed, and some techniques are given for working with the nonhyperbolic manifolds which sometimes arise. One example, a manifold with one cusp which is in a certain sense a mate to figure-eight knot complement, is discussed in detail.

58-22-85  
7-22-85

## Acknowledgements

### Contents

I thank Bill Thurston for his continuing help and encouragement. I thank Craig Hodgson not only for the generous mathematical help he has for given me on an almost daily basis, but also for keeping after me to make improvements to SNAPPEA. Last but not least I thank the inhabitants of the Fine Hall computer room for making thesis writing more pleasant than it otherwise might have been, and also for putting up with my stupid questions.

Abstract	iii
Acknowledgements	iv
Introduction	v
Chapter 1. Triangulations of Link Complements	1
Chapter 2. Relative Tonic Manifolds	11
Chapter 3. An Interesting Example and a Class of Simple Manifolds	21
Appendix. Exceptional Dehn Surgery	27
Bibliography	27

Introduction

Contents

Abstract .....	ii
Acknowledgements .....	iii
Introduction .....	1
Chapter 1. Results .....	3
Chapter 2. Triangulation of Link Complements .....	18
Chapter 3. Nonhyperbolic Manifolds .....	39
Chapter 4. An Interesting Example and a Census of Simple Manifolds .....	63
Appendix. Exceptional Dehn Surgery Spaces .....	69
Bibliography .....	77

## Introduction

This thesis provides a practical way of finding hyperbolic structures for link complements and manifolds obtained by Dehn surgery on link complements. The algorithm is completely general: it applies to nonalternating links as well as alternating ones. So far it has been completely successful, too, finding hyperbolic structures in all cases where such a structure is possible. The algorithm is implemented as a pair of C programs (one does the triangulation and the other solves the resulting equations). Copies may be obtained free of charge from Jeff Weeks, Department of Math and Computer Science, Ithaca College, Ithaca, NY 14850.

The document you are now reading consists of four chapters. Chapter 1 presents results obtained using the programs. The central theme is that a knot's complexity is best measured by the volume of its complement, not by the number of crossings in its projection. Chapter 2 explains the triangulation algorithm, Chapter 3 analyzes some of the nonhyperbolic manifolds which the program finds, and Chapter 4 takes a look at a particularly interesting manifold. Chapter 1 is intended for a general mathematical audience, while Chapters 2, 3 and 4 will be of interest only to specialists.

Proper background material for this thesis could easily fill a book. I may write it some day, but in the meantime I will assume that readers venturing beyond Chapter 1 are already familiar with hyperbolic geometry, Dehn surgery, and the theory of geometric structures on three-manifolds. I can recommend some books for those wanting to learn more about these subjects. Rolfsen's *Knots and Links* is an outstanding introduction to low-dimensional topology in general, and gives a good account of Dehn surgery in particular. Rolfsen assumes no prerequisites beyond undergraduate math and the very basics of algebraic topology. Thurston's *Three-dimensional Geometry and Topology* will be the definitive source for hyperbolic geometry and the geometric theory of three-manifolds. For a very elementary account of the subject see Weeks' *The Shape of Space: How to Visualize Surfaces and Three-dimensional Manifolds*.

The notation used in this thesis is all very standard. The only exception is that what Rolfsen calls a  $5/3$  Dehn surgery is called a  $(5,3)$  Dehn surgery here (following Thurston). So in particular Rolfsen's  $0$  surgery is  $(0, 1)$  here, and his  $\infty$  surgery is  $(1, 0)$  here. The 'standard knot tables' referred to throughout this thesis can be found in the back of Rolfsen.

### Volumes of knot complements

A hyperbolic structure on a three-manifold is a complete metric of constant negative sectional curvature. The knot and link complements which admit a structure always have finite volume, but all knot and link complements admit a hyperbolic structure, but for those that do Mostow's Rigidity Theorem states that the hyperbolic structure is unique. This implies that the volume of the hyperbolic structure is a topological invariant of the knot or link.

A hyperbolic manifold is one which admits a hyperbolic structure, and a hyperbolic knot or link is one whose complement is hyperbolic.

As Thurston [Th1] has proved that every knot which is neither a torus knot, a connected sum, nor a satellite, has a structure which is hyperbolic. Since connected sums are excluded from the knot tables and satellites tend to have large numbers of crossings, one would expect most knots in the knot tables to be hyperbolic and the remaining few to be torus knots. This is in fact the case. With the exception of  $3_1$ ,  $5_2$ ,  $7_2$ ,  $8_{19}$  and  $9_{21}$  which are all torus knots, all the knots up through nine crossings are hyperbolic. The surprise is that, for a given number of crossings, the knots are more or less arranged in order of increasing volume (see Table 1). (The exceptions are that the nonalternating knots— $8_{16}$  through  $8_{21}$  and  $9_{22}$  through  $9_{25}$ —have been pushed to the end of each group, and for some reason a new series of 9 crossing knots starts at  $9_{26}$ .) This suggests that the measure of complexity originally used to order these knots within their groups is comparable with using volume as a measure of complexity. This is remarkable in that the knots were originally ordered in 1927 (or possibly earlier), 50 years before any discussion of hyperbolic volumes. On the other hand, the whole idea of grouping knots by crossing number seems to have very little to do with hyperbolic volume.

The volumes listed in Table 1 are all distinct, so volume is a complete knot invariant [L].