

MA908 Partial Differential Equations in Finance

EXERCISE SHEET 5: DETERMINISTIC OPTIMAL CONTROL

Volker Betz

February, 2012.

1. Consider the control problem of optimal consumption. The equation for the wealth $y(t)$ at time t is

$$\partial_s y(s) = ry(s) - \alpha(y(s), s), \quad y(t) = x > 0,$$

where $\alpha(y(s), s)$ is the control, in this case the rate of consumption. We have the natural constraints $\alpha \geq 0$ and $y \geq 0$. We consider a power law utility rate, which gives rise to the utility function

$$u(x, t) = \max_{\alpha} \int_t^{\tau} e^{-\rho s} \alpha(y(s), s)^{\gamma} ds, \quad (*)$$

with $0 < \gamma < 1$, and $\tau = \inf\{s \geq t_0 : y_s = 0 \text{ or } s \geq T\}$.

- (a) Show that u has the scaling property $u(\lambda x, t) = \lambda^{\gamma} u(x, t)$. (Hint: for $y(t) = x$, let $\alpha_x(t)$ be the control that achieves the maximum on the right hand side of (*). For $y(t) = \lambda x$, consider the (possibly less than optimal) control $\lambda \alpha_x(t)$. Deduce that $u(\lambda x, t) \geq \lambda^{\gamma} u(x, t)$. Now consider $1/\lambda$ instead of λ , and repeat the above steps.)

- (b) Derive the HJB-equation. Follow the steps given in the lecture, but include the discounting term $e^{-\rho s}$ that was absent there. Your resulting equation should read

$$\partial_t u + \max_{\alpha \geq 0} ((rx - \alpha) \partial_x u + e^{-\rho t} \alpha^{\gamma}) = 0,$$

with final condition $\alpha(x, T) = 0$.

- (c) By a), we know that u must be of the form $u(x, t) = g(t)x^{\gamma}$ (why?). Use this to get an equation for g and g . Your equation for g should read

$$\partial_t g + r\gamma g + (1 - \gamma)(e^{\gamma t} g)^{1/(1-\gamma)} g = 0, \quad (**)$$

with final condition $g(T) = 0$. Show that if g solves (**), then $G = e^{\rho t} g$ solves

$$\partial_t G + (r\gamma - \rho)G + (1 - \gamma)G^{\gamma/(\gamma-1)} = 0 \quad (***)$$

and $H = G^{1/(1-\gamma)}$ solves $\partial_t H - \mu H + 1 = 0$, $H(T) = 0$, with $\mu = (\rho - r\gamma)/(1 - \gamma)$.

- (d) Solve the equation for H , find the optimal $g(t)$, and the optimal $\alpha(t)$.

2. Consider (a generalized version of) the control problem of the previous section, but with discounting to time t instead of time 0. More precisely, consider the ODE

$$\partial_s y(s) = f(\mathbf{y}(s), \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)), \quad \mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{x},$$

($\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n, \boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m$), and the optimal utility

$$v(x, t) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \left(\int_t^T e^{-\rho(s-t)} h(\mathbf{y}(s), \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)) ds + e^{-\rho(T-t)} g(\mathbf{y}(T)) \right).$$

- (a) Show that v satisfies

$$\partial_t v - \rho v + H(\mathbf{x}, \nabla v) = 0, \quad \text{with Hamiltonian} \quad H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^m} (f(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha}) \cdot \mathbf{p} + h(\mathbf{x}, \boldsymbol{\alpha})),$$

and final condition $v(\mathbf{x}, T) = g(\mathbf{x})$. Note that this equation is autonomous, i.e. it does not explicitly depend on t . You can repeat the arguments in a), but there is an easier way to see it.

- (b) Now consider the infinite time horizon variant of the above problem, i.e.

$$\tilde{v}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \max_{\boldsymbol{\alpha}} \int_t^{\infty} e^{-\rho(s-t)} h(\mathbf{y}(s), \boldsymbol{\alpha}(s)) ds.$$

Show that \tilde{v} does in fact not depend on t . You will need to assume that for any allowed control $\alpha : s \mapsto \alpha(s)$, with $s \geq t$, the map $s \mapsto \alpha(s + \tau)$, with $s \geq t - \tau$ is also an allowed control. Conclude (using the first part of the present problem) that \tilde{v} solves the equation

$$-\rho \tilde{v} + H(\mathbf{x}, \nabla \tilde{v}) = 0.$$