

## A note on diffusion limits of chaotic skew-product flows

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2011 Nonlinearity 24 1361

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0951-7715/24/4/018>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 137.205.50.42

This content was downloaded on 10/02/2016 at 15:47

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

# A note on diffusion limits of chaotic skew-product flows

I Melbourne<sup>1</sup> and A M Stuart<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Mathematics Department, University of Surrey, Guildford GU2 7XH, UK

<sup>2</sup> Mathematics Institute, Warwick University, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK

E-mail: [i.melbourne@surrey.ac.uk](mailto:i.melbourne@surrey.ac.uk) and [a.m.stuart@warwick.ac.uk](mailto:a.m.stuart@warwick.ac.uk)

Received 2 October 2010, in final form 21 February 2011

Published 17 March 2011

Online at [stacks.iop.org/Non/24/1361](http://stacks.iop.org/Non/24/1361)

Recommended by L-S Young

## Abstract

We provide an explicit rigorous derivation of a diffusion limit—a stochastic differential equation (SDE) with additive noise—from a deterministic skew-product flow. This flow is assumed to exhibit time-scale separation and has the form of a slowly evolving system driven by a fast chaotic flow. Under mild assumptions on the fast flow, we prove convergence to a SDE as the time-scale separation grows. In contrast to existing work, we do not require the flow to have good mixing properties. As a consequence, our results incorporate a large class of fast flows, including the classical Lorenz equations.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 37A50, 60H10, 34E13, 60F05, 60F10

## 1. Introduction

There is considerable interest in understanding how stochastic behaviour emerges from deterministic systems, both in the mathematics and applications literature. In this note we provide a simple explicit construction of such emergent stochastic behaviour in the setting of skew-product flows exhibiting time-scale separation. We prove a diffusion limit for the following ordinary differential equations (ODEs):

$$\dot{x}^{(\epsilon)} = \epsilon^{-1} f_0(y^{(\epsilon)}) + f(x^{(\epsilon)}, y^{(\epsilon)}), \quad x^{(\epsilon)}(0) = \xi, \quad (1.1a)$$

$$\dot{y}^{(\epsilon)} = \epsilon^{-2} g(y^{(\epsilon)}), \quad y^{(\epsilon)}(0) = \eta. \quad (1.1b)$$

Here  $x^{(\epsilon)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $y^{(\epsilon)} \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$ . Roughly speaking we assume that the equation for  $y^{(\epsilon)}$  has a compact attractor  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$  supporting an invariant measure  $\mu$  and satisfying certain ‘mild chaoticity’ assumptions. These conditions are stated precisely in assumptions 1.2. In addition, we assume that  $f_0$  should average to zero with respect to  $\mu$ .

Consider the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$X(t) = \xi + \int_0^t F(X(s)) ds + \sqrt{\Sigma} W(t). \quad (1.2)$$

where  $W$  is unit  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion,  $\Sigma$  is a  $d \times d$  covariance matrix (depending on  $f_0$  and  $g$ ) and  $F(x)$  is the average of  $f(x, \cdot)$  with respect to the aforementioned invariant measure  $\mu$ . The goal of the note is to prove the following limit theorem relating the solution  $x^{(\epsilon)}$  of (1.1) and  $X$  of (1.2). Throughout we use  $\rightarrow_w$  to denote weak convergence in the sense of probability measures [1, 2].

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let assumptions 1.2 hold and let  $\eta$  be a random variable distributed according to the measure  $\mu$  on the attractor  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^\ell$  and fix any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Then, almost surely with respect to  $\eta$  and  $W$ , there is a unique solution  $(x^{(\epsilon)}, y^{(\epsilon)}) \in C^1([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^\ell)$  of (1.1) for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , and a unique solution  $X \in C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^d)$  of (1.2). Furthermore  $x^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w X$  in  $C([0, \infty), \mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ .*

Throughout the note we make the following standing assumptions.

**Assumptions 1.2.** *The differential equations (1.1) satisfy the following:*

- (1) Equation (1.1b) with  $\epsilon = 1$  has a compact invariant set  $\Lambda$ ,  $\eta \in \Lambda$ , and there is an invariant probability measure  $\mu$  supported on  $\Lambda$ ; expectation with respect to this measure is denoted by  $\mathbb{E}$ .
- (2) The vector fields  $g : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$  and  $f_0 : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  are locally Lipschitz, and the vector field  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \times \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is bounded and Lipschitz with uniform Lipschitz constant  $L$ .
- (3) The vector field  $f_0 : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  averages to 0 under  $\mu : \mathbb{E}f_0 = 0$ .
- (4) Define  $W_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{nt} f_0(y^{(1)}(\tau)) d\tau$ , for  $t \geq 0$ . Fix any  $T > 0$ . We assume the weak invariance principle (WIP), namely that  $W_n \rightarrow_w \sqrt{\Sigma}W$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for unit  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W$  and some covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ , independent of  $T$ .
- (5) Define

$$F(x) = \mathbb{E}f(x, \cdot) = \int_{\Lambda} f(x, y) \mu(dy),$$

noting that it is globally bounded by  $\|f\|_\infty$  and globally Lipschitz with constant  $L$ , since  $\mu$  is a probability measure. Let  $T > 0$ . We assume the following large deviation principle (LDP):

$$\mu\left(\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x, y^{(1)}(\tau)) d\tau - F(x)\right| > a\right) \leq b(a, T),$$

where  $b(a, T)$  is independent of  $x$  and  $b(a, T) \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$  for all  $a > 0$ .

**Remark 1.3.**

- (a) The regularity conditions on  $f, f_0, g$  in assumption 2 guarantee global existence and uniqueness of solutions to the ODEs (1.1) and the SDE (1.2) for all positive time and all initial conditions  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d, \eta \in \Lambda$ . We note that uniformity of the Lipschitz constant for  $f$  is automatic in  $y$  since  $\Lambda$  is compact.
- (b) Assumptions 4 and 5 hold for a large class of flows. In particular, the WIP and LDP are proved in [3, 4] for flows that have a Poincaré map modelled by a Young tower [5, 6] with summable tails. This includes Anosov and Axiom A flows, nonuniformly hyperbolic flows such as Hénon-like flows (where the Poincaré map has a Hénon-like attractor), and Lorenz attractors [7] (including the case of the classical parameter values in [8]). In this class of examples, the Poincaré map has good statistical properties and limit laws such as the WIP and LDP transfer to the flow [9]. We note that LDP here refers to an explicit but

possibly subexponential convergence rate in the weak law of large numbers (see [4] and references therein).

There are two main routes leading to emergent stochastic behaviour in deterministic systems. The first is through the elimination of a large number of degrees of freedom, and the reliance on the central limit theorem to provide fluctuations and the second is through time-scale separation; see [10] for an overview. The first mechanism does not require any assumption of chaotic behaviour and may even be observed in large systems of linear oscillators; work in this area was initiated in [11] and more recent work includes [12, 13]. The second mechanism relies on the presence of some fast chaotic dynamics to induce white noise and has a long history in the applied literature; we mention, in particular, the work in [14–17]. Our work provides a very simple scenario in which the second mechanism may be used, provably, to establish emergent stochastic dynamics. We anticipate that the basic ideas would apply to a far larger class of problems as indicated, for example, by the program outlined in [18]. Moreover, the basic mechanism that underlies the work in this note was identified and studied in the seminal paper [19]. However, the conditions in that paper can be hard to verify for specific ordinary differential equations. In contrast our construction holds for explicit systems on  $\mathbb{R}^\ell$  such as the classical Lorenz equations.

An important aspect of our theory is that we require no knowledge of mixing properties of the flow. In contrast, previous rigorous results in the literature required strong assumptions on the mixing properties of the flow. See [20] for the most powerful results in this direction where it is required that the flow has stretched exponential decay of correlations. Even for Anosov flows this has been proved only in very special cases [21–23]. Superpolynomial decay has been proved for typical Anosov and Axiom A flows [24, 25] and typical nonuniformly hyperbolic flows governed by Young towers [26, 27] but only for very smooth observables; this smoothness would have to be imposed on  $f_0$ . For the Lorenz equations there are currently no results at all on rates of mixing (though superpolynomial decay holds for typical nearby flows by [27]).

## 2. Weak invariance and large deviation principles

The WIP and LDP assumed above have two useful implications which we detail in this section, and then use in the next section to prove the main theorem.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $(x^{(\epsilon)}(t), y^{(\epsilon)}(t))$  denote the solution to (1.1) with  $f \equiv 0$ ,  $\xi = 0$  and with  $\eta$  a random variable distributed according to the measure  $\mu$  on  $\Lambda$ . Let  $T > 0$ . Then  $x^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w \sqrt{\Sigma}W$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Here,  $W$  is unit  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion and the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  is independent of  $T$ .*

**Proof.** Note that  $y^{(1)}(t)$  is the solution to the IVP  $\dot{y} = g(y)$ ,  $y(0) = \eta$ . Define  $W_n(t) = n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{nt} f_0(y^{(1)}(\tau)) d\tau$ , for  $t \in [0, T]$ . By the WIP,  $W_n \rightarrow_w \sqrt{\Sigma}W$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now  $y^{(\epsilon)}(t) = y^{(1)}(t\epsilon^{-2})$ . Hence

$$x^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \epsilon^{-1} \int_0^t f_0(y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) ds = \epsilon \int_0^{t\epsilon^{-2}} f_0(y^{(1)}(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Writing  $n = \epsilon^{-2}$ , we obtain  $x^{(\epsilon)}(t) = W_n(t)$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** For any  $a, T > 0, n \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_{nT}^{(n+1)T} f(x, y^{(1)}(\tau)) \, d\tau - F(x) \right| \leq a + 2|f|_{\infty} b(a, T).$$

**Proof.** Let  $S(T, x) = \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x, y^{(1)}(\tau)) \, d\tau - F(x) \right|$ . Then, by the LDP,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} S(T, x) &= \int_{S(T, x) \leq a} S(T, x) \, d\mu + \int_{S(T, x) > a} S(T, x) \, d\mu \\ &\leq a + |S|_{\infty} b(a, T) \leq a + 2|f|_{\infty} b(a, T). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result for  $n = 0$ , and the general case follows from invariance of  $\mu$ .  $\square$

### 3. Diffusion limit

We now prove the diffusion limit contained in theorem 1.1. The method of proof generalizes that described in chapter 18 of [28] for homogenization in SDEs with additive noise and a skew-product form.

**Proof of theorem 1.1.** To prove weak convergence on  $[0, \infty)$ , it suffices to establish weak convergence on  $[0, T]$  for each fixed  $T > 0$ .

Write  $W^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} f_0(y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds$ . By integrating the  $x^{(\epsilon)}$  equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= \xi + \int_0^t \frac{1}{\epsilon} f_0(y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds + \int_0^t f(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds \\ &= \xi + W^{(\epsilon)}(t) + \int_0^t F(x^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds + Z^{(\epsilon)}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$Z^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \int_0^t (f(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) - F(x^{(\epsilon)}(s))) \, ds.$$

We show below that  $Z^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1(C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d); \mu)$ . By proposition 2.1,  $W^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w \sqrt{\Sigma} W$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . It follows that  $W^{(\epsilon)} + Z^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w \sqrt{\Sigma} W$  in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Now consider the continuous map  $\mathcal{G} : C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$  given by  $\mathcal{G}(u) = v$  where  $v$  is the unique solution to the integral equation

$$v(t) = \xi + u(t) + \int_0^t F(v(s)) \, ds.$$

Define  $v^{(\epsilon)} = \mathcal{G}(W^{(\epsilon)} + Z^{(\epsilon)})$ . Since continuous maps preserve weak convergence, it follows that  $v^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w \mathcal{G}(\sqrt{\Sigma} W) = X$ . But  $v^{(\epsilon)} = x^{(\epsilon)}$  by uniqueness of solutions, so  $x^{(\epsilon)} \rightarrow_w X$  as required.

It remains to show the  $L^1$  convergence of  $Z^{(\epsilon)}$  to 0 in  $C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ . Define  $g(x, y) = f(x, y) - F(x)$  and note that  $|g|_{\infty} \leq 2|f|_{\infty}$  and  $\text{Lip}(g) \leq 2L$ . Then  $Z^{(\epsilon)}(t) = \int_0^t g(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds$ . Let  $N = \lceil t/\delta \rceil$  and write  $Z^{(\epsilon)}(t) = Z^{(\epsilon)}(N\delta) + I_0$  where  $I_0 = \int_{N\delta}^t g(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds$ . We have

$$|I_0| \leq (t - N\delta)|g|_{\infty} \leq 2|f|_{\infty}\delta. \quad (3.1)$$

We now estimate  $Z^{(\epsilon)}(N\delta)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(\epsilon)}(N\delta) &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} g(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} (g(x^{(\epsilon)}(s), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) - g(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta), y^{(\epsilon)}(s))) \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} g(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds \\ &= I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned}$$

For  $s \in [n\delta, (n+1)\delta]$ , we have  $|x^{(\epsilon)}(s) - x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta)| \leq (|f_0|_\infty + |f|_\infty)\delta\epsilon^{-1}$ . Hence

$$|I_1| \leq N\delta \text{Lip}(g)(|f_0|_\infty + |f|_\infty)\delta\epsilon^{-1} \leq 2L(|f_0|_\infty + |f|_\infty)T\delta\epsilon^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Next,

$$I_2 = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} (f(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) - F(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta))) \, ds = \delta \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} J_n,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J_n &= \delta^{-1} \int_{n\delta}^{(n+1)\delta} f(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta), y^{(\epsilon)}(s)) \, ds - F(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta)) \\ &= \delta^{-1}\epsilon^2 \int_{n\delta\epsilon^{-2}}^{(n+1)\delta\epsilon^{-2}} f(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta), y^{(1)}(s)) \, ds - F(x^{(\epsilon)}(n\delta)). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $a > 0$ . Applying proposition 2.2,  $\mathbb{E}|J_n| \leq a + 2|f|_\infty b(a, \delta\epsilon^{-2})$ . It follows that

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2(t)| \leq T(a + 2|f|_\infty b(a, \delta\epsilon^{-2})). \tag{3.3}$$

Finally, we set  $\delta = \epsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$ . By (3.1) and (3.2),  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_0(t)| = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_1(t)| = 0$ . Moreover, by (3.3) and the assumption on  $b$  in the LDP in assumption 1.2(5), we have

$$\limsup_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2(t)| \leq Ta,$$

and, since  $a > 0$  is arbitrary,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |I_2(t)| = 0.$$

Altogether,  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} |Z^{(\epsilon)}(t)| = 0$  as required.

### 4. Conclusions

The construction in this paper shows how some new ideas in the theory of dynamical systems can be used to prove a homogenization principle in ODEs, leading to emergent stochastic behaviour. The arguments are very straightforward, and are given only in the case of additive noise. However, in the situation where the limiting SDE is one dimensional the ideas of Sussmann [29] can be used to derive a limiting SDE in which noise appears multiplicatively. Generalizing these ideas to skew-product flows where the SDE is of higher dimension will require the theory of rough paths [30] and is the subject of ongoing work.

Finally a comment on the differences between [28] *homogenization* and *averaging* in ODE systems like (1.1). There is current interest [31] in the derivation of averaging principles for systems of ODEs exhibiting three time scales of order  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-2})$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$  and  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . The motivation is the construction of efficient numerical schemes for computation of the averaged solution, which is deterministic. Theorem 1.1, which also concerns the limiting behaviour of a system containing three time-scales, corresponds to a homogenization principle with a stochastic limit, rather than an averaging principle with deterministic limit. Thus our work provides an example of a three scale system for which an effective deterministic averaged equation cannot exist.

### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Niklas Brännström and Matthew Nicol for helpful discussions. AMS is grateful to EPSRC and ERC for financial support. The research of IM was supported in part by EPSRC Grant EP/F031807/01.

### References

- [1] Billingsley P 1999 *Convergence of Probability Measures (Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics: Probability and Statistics)* (New York: Wiley)
- [2] Billingsley P 1995 *Probability and Measure (Wiley Series in Probability and Mathematical Statistics)* 3rd edn (New York: Wiley-Interscience)
- [3] Melbourne I and Nicol M 2005 Almost sure invariance principle for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems *Commun. Math. Phys.* **260** 131–46
- [4] Melbourne I and Nicol M 2008 Large deviations for nonuniformly hyperbolic systems *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **360** 6661–76
- [5] Young L-S 1998 Statistical properties of dynamical systems with some hyperbolicity *Ann. Math.* **147** 585–650
- [6] Young L-S 1999 Recurrence times and rates of mixing *Israel J. Math.* **110** 153–88
- [7] Holland M and Melbourne I 2007 Central limit theorems and invariance principles for Lorenz attractors *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **76** 345–64
- [8] Lorenz E D 1963 Deterministic nonperiodic flow *J. Atmos. Sci.* **20** 130–41
- [9] Melbourne I and Török A 2004 Statistical limit theorems for suspension flows *Israel J. Math.* **144** 191–209
- [10] Givon D, Kupferman R and Stuart A M 2004 Extracting macroscopic dynamics: model problems and algorithms *Nonlinearity* **17** R55–127
- [11] Ford G W, Kac M and Mazur P 1965 Statistical mechanics of assemblies of coupled oscillators *J. Math. Phys.* **6** 504–15
- [12] Kupferman R, Stuart A M, Terry J R and Tupper P F 2002 Long-term behaviour of large mechanical systems with random initial data *Stochastics. Dyn.* **2** 533–62
- [13] Ariel G and Vanden-Eijnden E 2009 A strong limit theorem in the kac-zwanzig model *Nonlinearity* **22** 145
- [14] Beck C 1990 Brownian motion from deterministic dynamics *Physica A* **169** 324–36
- [15] Just W, Kantz H, Rödenbeck Ch and Helm M 2001 Stochastic modelling: replacing fast degrees of freedom by noise *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **34** 3199–213
- [16] Majda A J and Timofeyev I 2000 Remarkable statistical behavior for truncated Burgers-Hopf dynamics *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **97** 12413–17 (electronic)
- [17] Majda A M, Timofeyev I and Vanden-Eijnden E 2006 Stochastic models for selected slow variables in large deterministic systems *Nonlinearity* **19** 769–94
- [18] Mackay R S 2010 Langevin equation for slow degrees of freedom of Hamiltonian systems *Nonlinear Dynamics and Chaos: Advances and Perspectives (Understanding Complex Systems)* (Berlin: Springer) pp 89–102
- [19] Papanicolaou G C and Kohler W 1974 Asymptotic theory of mixing stochastic ordinary differential equations *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* **27** 641–68
- [20] Dolgopyat D 2005 Averaging and invariant measures *Moscow Math. J.* **5** 537–76
- [21] Chernov N I 1998 Markov approximations and decay of correlations for Anosov flows *Ann. Math.* **147** 269–324
- [22] Dolgopyat D 1998 On the decay of correlations in Anosov flows *Ann. Math.* **147** 357–90
- [23] Liverani C 2004 On contact Anosov flows *Ann. Math.* **159** 1275–312
- [24] Dolgopyat D 1998 Prevalence of rapid mixing in hyperbolic flows *Ergod. Theory Dyn. Syst.* **18** 1097–114

- 
- [25] Field M J, Melbourne I and Török A 2007 Stability of mixing and rapid mixing for hyperbolic flows *Ann. Math.* **166** 269–91
  - [26] Melbourne I 2007 Rapid decay of correlations for nonuniformly hyperbolic flows *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **359** 2421–41
  - [27] Melbourne I 2009 Decay of correlations for slowly mixing flows *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* **98** 163–90
  - [28] Pavliotis G A and Stuart A M 2008 *Multiscale Methods: Homogenization and Averaging* (Berlin: Springer)
  - [29] Sussmann H 1978 On the gap between deterministic and stochastic ordinary differential equations *Ann. Probab.* **6** 19–41
  - [30] Lyons T J 1998 Differential equations driven by rough signals *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* **14** 215–310
  - [31] Ariel G, Engquist B and Tsai R 2010 Oscillatory systems with three separated time scales—analysis and computation *Technical Report, UCLA Computational Applied Mathematics Report* 10-56