

# MA4L7 Algebraic curves

Miles Reid

## Part 3. Applications of RR to geometry of curves

### 7 Introduction

Part III takes on trust the theorem and some of the characterisations of  $g$ , and discusses at some length what RR means and what it can do for us. The main overall application of RR is the following: ensuring that  $C$  has enough global functions with given poles allows us to study the possible ways of embedding  $C$  into projective space. In good cases, this allows us to go from abstract notions such as a curve of genus  $g$  or a curve with a linear system  $g_d^r$  (see below) to a subvariety embedded  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^n$  in a definite space and defined by explicit equations. For example, a curve of genus 1 is isomorphic to a plane cubic  $C_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ .

A particularly important general use of RR in complex analysis is to prove that every compact Riemann surface is actually a projective algebraic curve, so an object of algebraic geometry. This idea has many applications, and opens up several branches of research.

#### 7.1 Linear systems and projective embeddings

The point of the RR spaces  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  is to provide ways of mapping  $C$  to projective space: a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  gives the rational map  $\varphi_D: C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  that does  $P \mapsto (f_1(P) : \dots : f_l(P))$ . Here I study how to establish whether  $\varphi_D$  is an embedding (an isomorphism of  $C$  to its image), and if so, what the divisor  $D$  has to do with the geometry of  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ .

First, some traditional terminology going back to antiquity. For  $C$  a nonsingular projective curve and  $D = \sum d_P P$  a divisor, write

$$|D| = \{ \operatorname{div} f + D \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(C, D) \}$$

for the *linear system* of  $D$ . By construction, the divisors  $D_f = \operatorname{div} f + D$  for  $f \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  run through the effective divisors linearly equivalent to

$D$ . The set  $|D|$  is parametrised by  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1} = (\mathcal{L}(C, D) \setminus 0)/k^\times$ , the projective space of 1-dimensional subspaces of the vector space  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ . We picture this as a bunch of points running around  $C$ , parametrised by a projective space, in much the same way as the pencil of plane conics  $\lambda Q_1 + \mu Q_2 = 0$  is parametrised by  $\mathbb{P}^1_{\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle}$ .

The effective divisors of  $D_f \in |D|$  may all have a common part  $A > 0$ . This means that each  $f \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  satisfies  $\text{div } f + D \geq A$ . In other words,  $\mathcal{L}(C, D) = \mathcal{L}(C, D - A)$ . The biggest such  $A$  is the *fixed part* of  $|D|$ . We write  $|D| = A + |D - A|$ , where  $A$  is the fixed part and  $|D - A|$  the *free part*.

We say that  $|D|$  is *free* (or *fixed-point free*) if it has no fixed part. Then for every  $P \in C$ , some  $f \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  has valuation  $v_P(f) = -d_P$ . In terms of the sheaf  $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$ , this means that the global section  $f \in \Gamma(C, \mathcal{O}_C(D)) = \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  is  $z_P^{-d_P} \times$  unit of  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ , so that  $f$  bases  $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$  as  $\mathcal{O}_C$ -module near  $P$ . Thus  $|D|$  free is synonymous with  $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$  being *generated by its global sections*.

**Remark 7.1** A free linear system  $|D|$  of degree  $d$  and  $\mathcal{L}(C, D) = r + 1$  is traditionally called a  $g_d^r$ , meaning that  $|D|$  consists of effective divisors of degree  $d$  moving in an  $r$ -dimensional family. For example, the 2-to-1 map  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  from a hyperelliptic curve to  $\mathbb{P}^1$  is given by a  $g_2^1$ ; the hyperplane linear system  $|H|$  on a curve of degree  $C_a \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  is a  $g_a^2$ .

There are two little sources of confusion here: first,  $r + 1 = l(C, D)$  is the vector space dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ , whereas  $r$  refers to its projectivisation  $\mathbb{P}^r = (\mathcal{L}(C, D) \setminus 0)/k^\times$ , the parameter space of the linear system  $|D|$ .

Next, this  $\mathbb{P}^r = |D|$  corresponds to 1-dimensional subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ , whereas the target space of  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  has  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  as its linear forms, so its points correspond to codimension 1 subspaces of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ . The divisors of  $|D|$  are given by hyperplane sections of  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ .

## 7.2 Strategy to prove embedding

How do we establish that  $\varphi_D: C \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  is an isomorphism to its image  $\varphi(C) = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ ? An algebraic variety is a set of points  $X$  with locally defined functions  $\mathcal{O}_X$  on it. Thus for  $\varphi: C \rightarrow \Gamma$  to be an isomorphism, we need (1) that it is bijective as a map of point sets, and (2) that pullback of functions on  $\Gamma$  provide all the functions on  $C$ . The main result is the following theorem.

**Theorem 7.2** *Let  $D$  be a divisor on a nonsingular projective curve  $C$ . Assume the RR spaces of  $D$  on  $C$  satisfy the conditions:*

1.  $\mathcal{L}(D - P) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(D)$  for every  $P \in C$ .

2.  $\mathcal{L}(D - P - Q) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(D - P)$  for every  $P, Q \in C$  with  $P \neq Q$ .

3.  $\mathcal{L}(D - 2P) \subsetneq \mathcal{L}(D - P)$  for every  $P \in C$ .

Then  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  is an isomorphism to its image  $\varphi_D(C) = \Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ . Moreover, the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  cut out the linear system  $|D|$  on  $C$ .

I start by relating the assumptions of the theorem to the above discussion. (1) is the statement that  $|D|$  has no fixed part. In the more general case, passing from  $D$  to the free part  $D' = D - A$  does not change the morphism  $\varphi_{D'} = \varphi_D$ . However, in that case, if the free part  $|D'|$  defines an embedding  $\varphi_{D'}$ , the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  would cut out the free  $|D'|$  and take no account of the fixed part  $A$ .

(2) is the condition that  $\mathcal{L}(D - P - Q) \subset \mathcal{L}(D)$  has codimension 2, so that there is an  $f \in \mathcal{L}(D)$  that vanishes at  $P$  and not at  $Q$ , or in other words, there is a hyperplane of  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  through  $\varphi_D(P)$  and not through  $\varphi_D(Q)$ . Thus (2) gives directly that  $\varphi_D$  is bijective on point sets.

To discuss (3), suppose that  $P \in C$  appears in  $D$  with coefficient  $d_P$ , and that  $z_P$  is a local parameter of the DVR  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ . Then by (1) we know that some  $f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(D)$  has valuation  $v_P(f_2) = -d_P$ , so is a basis of  $\mathcal{O}_C(D)$  on an affine neighbourhood  $U$  of  $P$ . Assumption (3) asserts that there is some  $f_1 \in \mathcal{L}(D)$  with  $v_P(f_1) = -(d_P - 1)$ . Then  $f_1/f_2$  is a regular function on  $U$ , and is a regular parameter of the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{C,P}$ .

In complex analysis, this would complete the proof – we have a injective regular map, and functions on the image include a local analytic parameter at each point  $P$ , so the map is an immersion by the implicit function theorem.

**Proof of the theorem** In algebraic geometry, write  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  for the Zariski closure of the image  $\Gamma_0 = \varphi_D(C)$ . It is an irreducible subvariety, and by (2), the morphism  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \Gamma$  is injective on points. I have to prove that  $\varphi_D$  is surjective, and that pullback defines an isomorphism of local rings  $\varphi_D^*: \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,Q} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  for every  $P \in C$ .

The proof consists of three parts: (1) reduction to a finite morphism  $\varphi_x: C_x \rightarrow \Gamma_x$  on affine pieces  $C_x \subset C$  and  $\Gamma_x \subset \Gamma$ , with the induced ring homomorphism  $\varphi_x^*: k[\Gamma_x] \subset k[C_x]$  making  $k[C_x]$  finite over  $k[\Gamma_x]$ ; (2) reduction to local commutative algebra with  $\varphi_Q^*: \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma,Q} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C,P}$  a finite morphism of local rings. (3) Conclusion of the argument using Nakayama's lemma.

**Remark 7.3** As with resolution of singularities in Part I, my treatment here works by fitting the morphism  $C \rightarrow \Gamma$  in diagrams  $C \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ .

**Reduction to affine** Write  $\Gamma_0 = \varphi_D(C) \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  and let  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  be its Zariski closure. Then  $\Gamma_0 = \varphi_D(C)$  is an irreducible curve, and  $\Gamma$  adds at most finitely many points  $Q \in \Gamma$  (actually none, but that is still to prove). The RR space  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  gives the linear forms on  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ , so a choice of coordinates  $t_1, \dots, t_l$  for  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  gives a basis  $f_1, \dots, f_l$  of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$  and vice-versa.

Since  $\Gamma$  is a curve, for general coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ , it is disjoint from the codimension 2 subspace  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ . For the corresponding basis of  $\mathcal{L}(C, D)$ , the first two elements  $f_1, f_2$  give effective divisors  $\text{div } f_i + D$  with disjoint support.

Given  $t_1, t_2$  chosen as above, for any  $Q \in \Gamma$ , I can replace them with appropriate linear combinations so that  $Q$  is in the hyperplane  $t_1 = 0$  and not in  $t_2 = 0$ , so that  $x = t_1/t_2$  is regular and 0 at  $Q$ , that is  $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\Gamma, Q}$ . Or, for any given point  $P \in C$ , I can replace the corresponding  $f_1, f_2$  with appropriate linear combinations so that  $f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(C, D) \setminus \mathcal{L}(C, D - P)$  and  $f_1 \in \mathcal{L}(C, D - P)$  and  $x = f_1/f_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{C, P}$ .

Now consider the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \xrightarrow{\varphi_D} & \Gamma \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array}$$

with  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  the morphism defined by the ratio  $(f_1 : f_2)$ , and  $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  the morphism induced by the linear projection  $\mathbb{P}^{l-1} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1_{\langle t_1, t_2 \rangle}$ .

I now reduce to the construction of Part 1. Set  $x = f_1/f_2 \in k(C)$ . It is a nonconstant rational function on  $C$ , so that  $k(x) \subset k(C)$  is a finite field extension. As in Part 1, write  $A_x$  for the integral closure of  $k[x]$  in  $k(C)$  and  $C_x = \text{Spec } A_x$  for the corresponding affine curve. I can do the same for  $y = x^{-1} = f_2/f_1$ , and identify  $C$  with the union  $C_x \cup C_y$ .

Since  $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$  is disjoint from  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ , it is the union of two standard affine pieces  $\Gamma_{t_1}$  and  $\Gamma_{t_2}$  (with  $t_i \neq 0$ ). The affine curve  $\Gamma_{t_2}$  having a finite morphism to  $\mathbb{A}_x^1$  with parameter  $x = t_1/t_2$  (respectively  $\Gamma_{t_1}$  to  $\mathbb{A}_y^1$  with  $y = x^{-1} = t_2/t_1$ ).

This gives affine varieties and morphisms  $C_x \rightarrow \Gamma_x \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_x^1$ , with coordinate rings  $k[x] \subset k[\Gamma_x] \subset k[C_x]$ . What I gain is that  $k[C_x]$  is finite as a module over  $k[x]$ , so a fortiori over  $k[\Gamma_x]$ .

At this point it clarifies the argument to separate the commutative algebra from the geometry.

**Proposition 7.4** *Let  $A \subset B$  be finitely generated  $k$ -algebras that are integral domains and  $m \subset A$  a maximal ideal. Assume the following:*

- (i)  $B$  is finite as  $A$ -module.
- (ii) The ideal  $I = mB$  is contained in a unique maximal ideal  $n \subset B$  and  $k = A/m = B/n$ .
- (iii)  $m \rightarrow n/n^2$  is surjective.

Then on localising, the morphism of local rings  $A_m \rightarrow B_n$  is surjective.

In the current case,  $A = k[\Gamma_x]$  and  $B = k[C_x]$ . I have arranged that  $B$  is finite over  $A$ . Next  $m = m_Q$  is the maximal ideal of a point  $Q \in \Gamma_x$ . The variety  $V(I)$  of the ideal  $I = mB$  consists of the points of  $C_x$  that map to  $Q$ . This consists of at most one point of  $C$  by (2), with  $A/m = \mathcal{O}_{C,P}/m_P = k$ . It is nonempty by the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.5**  $mB \neq B$ , so  $mB$  is contained in a maximal ideal of  $B$ .

By contradiction, assume  $B = mB$  and suppose  $b_i$  generate  $B$ . Then  $b_i = \sum a_{ij}b_j$  with  $a_{ij} \in m$ , and the usual determinant trick gives  $\Delta B = 0$  where  $\Delta = \det(\delta_{ij} - a_{ij})$ . Then  $\Delta = 0$  because  $1_A \in B$ , but  $\Delta \cong 1 \pmod{m}$ , which is a contradiction.

So  $C_x \rightarrow \Gamma_x$  is surjective, and since  $\varphi_D$  is injective then  $Q = \varphi_D(P)$  for a unique  $P$ ; this implies (b). Finally, (c) holds since (3) implies that some  $f \in \mathcal{L}(C, D - P)$  has  $v_P(f) = -(d_P - 1)$  which gives  $v_P(f/f_2) = 1$ .

**Reduction to local** Replace  $A \subset B$  by their localisations  $A_m \subset B_n$ . One checks that the following still hold.

- (i)  $B_n$  is still finite as  $A_m$  module.
- (ii) The ideal  $I_n = mB_n$  is contained in  $nB_n$  and we still have  $k = A/m = A_m/mA_m, k = B/n = B_n/nB_n$ .
- (iii)  $nB_n/n^2B_n = n/n^2$ , so that  $mA_m \rightarrow nB_n/n^2B_n$  remains surjective.

**Proof of the local statement** We have  $I_n \subset n$ , and by (3), and the image of  $I_n$  generates  $n/n^2$ . This means that  $n = I_n + n^2$ , so that Nakayama's lemma (applied to the  $B$ -module  $n$ ) implies that  $I_n = n$ .

Now  $B$  is a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra and  $n$  a maximal ideal, it follows by the weak NSS that  $B/n = k$  (the same  $k$ ). Therefore 1 generates  $B/I = B/mB$ , so that Nakayama's lemma (applied to the  $A$ -module  $B$ ) implies that 1 generatees.

## 8 Traditional applications of RR

### 8.1 Characterisation of $g = 0$

**Proposition 8.1** *Let  $C$  be a curve. Equivalent conditions*

1.  $l(D) = 1 + \deg D$  for some divisor  $D$  of degree  $\geq 1$ ;
2.  $P \sim Q$  for every  $P, Q \in C$ .
3.  $g = 0$ .
4.  $C \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ .

This is all easy. If  $l(D) = 1 + \deg D$  with  $\deg D > 1$ , the same continues to hold for  $D - P$ , and by induction we get a divisor of degree 1 with  $l(D) = 2$ . Then the linear system  $|D|$  contains every  $P \in C$  as a divisor, proving 2. The map  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  is an isomorphism by Theorem 7.2.

### 8.2 Treatment of $g = 1$

The ideas around RR provides practically the whole of the geometric theory and function theory of elliptic curves. First, to restate RR in the special case  $g = 1$ , it says that  $l(D) = \deg D$  for every divisor  $D$  of degree  $\geq 1$ . For  $D$  of degree 0, either  $D \sim 0 \sim K_C$  or  $l(D) = 0$ .

A curve of genus 1 is isomorphic to a plane cubic  $C \cong C_3 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ . Just choose any divisor  $D$  of degree 3. The  $l(D) = 3$ , whereas  $l(D - P) = 2$  and  $l(D - P - Q) = 1$  for every  $P, Q \in C$ , so that  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  is an isomorphism to its image.

Next, for the group law, the basic point is that a divisor  $D$  of degree 1 on  $C$  has  $l(D) = 1$ , so is linearly equivalent to a uniquely specified effective divisor of degree 1, necessarily a point  $P \in C$ . This makes the set of points of  $C$  into a coset of the group  $\text{Pic}^0 C$  of divisor classes of degree 0. We need to specify a point  $O \in C$  as the neutral element to get out of the coset and into the group.

This construction is important, so I spell it out: write  $\text{Div } C$  for the group of all divisors of  $C$  (that is, the free Abelian group generated by the points  $\{P \in C\}$ ), and  $\deg: \text{Div } C \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  for the degree map. Its kernel is the group  $\text{Div}^0 C$  of divisors of degree 0. The principal divisors  $\text{PDiv } C = \{\text{div } f \mid f \in k(C)^\times\}$  also form a group (isomorphic to  $k(C)^\times/k^\times$ ), which is a subgroup of  $\text{Div}^0 C$ , because by Main Proposition (I) a principal divisor has degree 0.

Now define  $\text{Pic}^0 C$  to be the quotient group  $\text{Pic}^0 C = \text{Div}^0 C / \text{PDiv} C = \text{Div}^0 C / \sim$ . The group law on this is just addition of divisors mod linear equivalence, and the zero element is the class of the zero divisor.

Along with  $\text{Pic}^0 C$ , consider its coset  $\text{Pic}^1 C$  formed by divisors of degree 1 up to linear equivalence. As we have seen, this is in bijection with  $C$  itself. Now choosing any point  $O \in C$  provides a bijective map  $\text{Pic}^0 C \rightarrow \text{Pic}^1 C \rightarrow C$  by  $[D] \mapsto [D+O]$ . That is, a divisor class  $D$  of degree 0 maps to the divisor class  $D+O$ , which is linearly equivalent to a unique  $P \in C$ ; the inverse bijection  $C \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 C$  takes  $P$  to the class of  $P-O$ . In conclusion, the group law on  $C$  is

$$(P, Q) \mapsto (P-O, Q-O) \mapsto (P+Q-2O) \mapsto (P+_C Q),$$

where the middle step is addition in  $\text{Pic}^0$ , and  $P+_C Q$  is the unique effective divisor linearly equivalent to  $P+Q-O$ .

There are a couple of exercises concerned with interpreting the geometric  $P+Q+R$  form of the group law [UAG, Chap. 2] within the current treatment.

### 8.3 $g \geq 2$ : canonical embedding versus hyperelliptic

A curve  $C$  of genus  $g$  has a canonical divisor  $K$  with  $\deg K = 2g-2$  and  $l(K) = g$ . In the main case  $g \geq 2$ , we have the following dichotomy.

**Theorem 8.2** *Consider the map  $\varphi_K: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  defined by the canonical divisor. Then either  $\varphi_K$  is an isomorphism to its image  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  and the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  cut out the canonical system  $|K|$  on  $C$ . Or  $C$  has a linear system  $g_2^1$ , and  $\varphi_K$  is obtained as the composite  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \cong \Gamma_{g-1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{g-1}$  where the first map is the double cover  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  defined by the  $g_2^1$ , and  $\Gamma_{g-1}$  is the rational normal curve of degree  $g-1$ .*

**Proof** Equality  $\mathcal{L}(K-P) = \mathcal{L}(K)$  holds only for  $g=0$  (when both spaces are zero). For RR would give  $l(P) - g = 1 - g + \deg P$ , that is,  $l(P) = 2$ . Next, if  $\mathcal{L}(K-P-Q) = g-2$  for every  $P, Q \in C$  then  $\varphi_K$  is an embedding by Theorem 7.2. The alternative possibility is that  $\mathcal{L}(K-P-Q) = g-1$  for some  $P+Q$ . Then RR gives

$$l(P+Q) - (g-1) = 1 - g + 2, \quad \text{that is, } l(P+Q) = 2.$$

Thus  $|P+Q|$  is a  $g_2^1$ . It follows again by Theorem 7.2 that it defines a 2-to-1 morphism  $\varphi_{P+Q}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ , so that  $C$  is hyperelliptic. Every divisor  $D \in |P+Q|$  is mapped to a single point by  $\varphi_K$ , so that  $\varphi_K$  factors via  $\varphi_{P+Q}$ . On the other hand, its image must span  $\mathbb{P}^{g-1}$ , so is  $\Gamma_{g-1}$ . Q.E.D.

## MA4L7 Algebraic curves

### Example sheet 4, Deadline Tue 26th Feb

**1. Function theory on a hyperelliptic curve** Assume that  $\frac{1}{2} \in k$ , and let  $C$  be a hyperelliptic curve of genus  $g \geq 2$ . It comes with a divisor  $|D|$  that gives a  $g_2^1$  and a double cover  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Write  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{L}(C, D)$  for a basis, where  $x = f_1/f_2$  is a parameter on  $\mathbb{P}^1$ .

The field extension  $k(\mathbb{P}^1) \subset k(C)$  is a quadratic extension defined by  $z^2 = F_{2g+2}(x)$ , and has a hyperelliptic involution that does  $i: z \mapsto -z$ .

The monomials  $S^n(f_1, f_2) = \{f_1^n, f_1^{n-1}f_2, \dots, f_2^n\}$  are linearly independent in  $\mathcal{L}(nD)$  for each  $n$ , because  $x$  is transcendental over  $k$ . Calculate the dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(nD)$  for  $n = 1, \dots, g$ . [Hint: Start by using the above to show that  $(g-1)D$  must be irregular, and deduce that  $K_C \sim (g-1)D$ . On the other hand,  $gD$  must be regular.]

Next, use RR to show  $\mathcal{L}((g+1)D)$  is strictly bigger than  $S^{g+1}(f_1, f_2)$ . We can choose the complementary basis element  $g$  so that  $z = g/f_2^{g+1}$  is anti-invariant under the hyperelliptic involution, giving the new generator with  $z^2 = F_{2g+2}(x)$ .

Show the monomials  $S^n(f_1, f_2)$  and  $S^{n-g-1}(f_1, f_2) \cdot g$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{L}(nD)$  for every  $n$ .

**2. Curves of genus  $g = 4$**  Let  $C$  be a curve of genus 4, assumed to be nonhyperelliptic. Write  $\varphi_K: C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^3$  for its canonical embedding and identify  $C$  with its image  $C \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ .

By construction of the canonical embedding, the hyperplanes of  $\mathbb{P}^3$  cut out  $|K|$  on  $C$ . In the same way, quadric surfaces in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  cut out divisors of  $|2K|$ . Calculate the dimension of the space of quadrics in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  and  $l(2K) = \dim \mathcal{L}(C, 2K)$ , and conclude that  $C$  is contained in a unique quadric hypersurface  $Q \subset \mathbb{P}^3$ .

As an irreducible quadric,  $Q$  necessarily has rank 3 or 4. If  $Q$  has rank 4 (so is  $x_1x_2 = x_3x_4$  in appropriate coordinates), prove that  $C$  has two different linear systems  $g_3^1$ ,  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ , with  $K_C = D_1 + D_2$ . Prove that  $C \subset Q \cong \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$  has bidegree  $(3, 3)$  in  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ , and so  $C \subset Q$  is cut out by a cubic hypersurface,  $C = Q \cap F_3$ .

If  $D_1$  is a  $g_3^1$  on  $C$ , use RR to deduce that  $D_2 = K - D_1$  is also a  $g_3^1$ . Therefore  $K = D_1 + D_2$  is the sum of two linear systems  $g_3^1$ . We distinguish two cases:  $D_1 \not\sim D_2$ , or  $D_1 \sim D_2$ . Show that the first case corresponds to the canonical image  $C$  contained in a quadric of rank 4.

In the second case, write  $K = 2D$  with  $D = D_1 = D_2$ . Write  $t_1, t_2$



for homogeneous coordinates on the target  $\mathbb{P}^1$  of  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ . Show that  $\mathcal{L}(C, K)$  is based by  $x_1, x_2, x_3 = t_1^2, t_1 t_2, t_2^2$  and a new variable  $y$ . In  $\mathcal{L}(2K)$  there is a quadratic relation between the  $x_1, x_2, x_3$ , providing the quadric of rank 3  $x_1 x_3 = x_2^2$ . Calculate the dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(3K)$  and show that there must be a cubic relations  $y^3 + A_2(x_1, x_2, x_3)y + B_3(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  (here we need  $1/3 \in k$  to do the Tschirnhausen transformation).

**3. Clifford's theorem** Prove that  $d \geq 2r$  for any irregular divisor  $D$  defining a  $g_d^r$  (here irregular means that the irregularity  $l(K - D) \neq 0$ ). In other words, the fastest growth of  $l(D)$  among all curves  $C$  and divisors  $D$  is given by the hyperelliptic curves discussed in Q1.

[Hints: (1) use the following *linear-bilinear lemma*: let  $\varphi: V_1 \times V_2 \rightarrow W$  be a bilinear map from vector spaces  $V_1, V_2$  of dimension  $l_1, l_2$ . Suppose  $\varphi(v_1, v_2) \in W$  is nonzero for every nonzero  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$ . Then the image of  $\varphi$  spans a subspace of dimension  $\geq l_1 + l_2 - 1$  in  $W$ . Proof: Tensors of rank 1  $\{v_1 \otimes v_2\}$  form a subvariety of dimension  $l_1 + l_2 - 1$  in  $V_1 \otimes V_2$ . The kernel of  $\varphi: V_1 \otimes V_2 \rightarrow W$  intersects it in 0 only.

(2) Consider the multiplication map  $\mathcal{L}(D) \times \mathcal{L}(K - D) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(K)$ , and put together the inequality of the lemma with the RR formula.]

**4. Degree 4 divisor on curve of genus 2** Let  $\Gamma_4 \subset \mathbb{P}_{\langle x, y, z \rangle}^2$  be a plane quartic curve with a node or cusp at  $(1, 0, 0)$  and no other singularities. We can assume that its equation is  $x^2 a_2 + x b_3 + c_4$ , with  $a, b, c$  forms in  $y, z$  of the stated degree. Show that projection from  $P$  defines a 2-to-1 cover from the resolution  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\langle y, z \rangle}^1$  ramified in the discriminant sextic  $b^2 - 4ac$ , so that  $C$  is a hyperelliptic curves of genus 2.

Recall that  $K_C$  is the final irregular divisor. Prove that for any curve  $C$  of genus  $\geq 2$  and any  $P, Q \in C$ , we have  $l(K + P + Q) - l(K) = 1$ , so the morphism  $\varphi_D$  corresponding to  $D = K + P + Q$  cannot distinguish the two points  $P, Q$ , that is,  $\varphi_D(P) = \varphi_D(Q)$ .

Now suppose that  $g = 2$ , and let  $D$  be any divisor of degree 4. Show that  $l(D - K_C) > 0$ , so that  $D$  is linearly equivalent to  $K + P + Q$ . Prove that  $\varphi_D: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$  either maps  $C$  to a quartic curve  $\Gamma_4 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  with a node at  $\varphi(P) = \varphi(Q)$  (resp., cusp if  $P = Q$ ), or is a double cover of a plane conic (in the case  $D - K_C = g_2^1$ , that is,  $D = 2g_2^1$ ).

**5. Genus 6** Let  $C$  be a curve of  $g = 6$ , and assume it has no  $g_2^1, g_3^1$  or  $g_5^2$ . If  $D$  is a  $g_4^1$ , show that  $K - D$  has degree 6 and  $l(K - D) = 3$ . Show that  $|K - D$  is a  $g_6^2$ , so defines a morphism  $\varphi_{K-D}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ .

Let  $\Gamma_6 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$  be a sextic having double points (nodes or cusps) at the 4 points  $(1, 0, 0), (0, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1), (1, 1, 1)$  of the standard projective frame of reference. By considering the linear system of cubics of  $\mathbb{P}^2$  passing through the 4 points, show that the resolution  $C$  has a linear system of dimension  $\geq 6$  and degree  $\leq 10$ .

Given that its resolution  $C \rightarrow \Gamma_6$  is a curve of genus 6. Show that  $C$  has 5  $g_4^1$ s and complementary  $g_6^2$ s. [Hint: Four of them are fairly obvious. The fifth comes from the pencil of conics through the 4 points.]

It is a fact that any curve of genus 6 is given either by this construction, or a different construction adapted to the case that  $C$  has a  $g_2^1, g_3^1$  or  $g_5^2$ , or is a double cover of curve of  $g = 1$ . (The  $g_5^2$  case correspond to a plane quintic  $C_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^2$ .) Unfortunately, it would be something of a detour from the main course to discuss this rigorously or comprehensibly.