

# TWO CONJECTURES IN RAMSEY-TURÁN THEORY

JAEHOON KIM, YOUNJIN KIM AND HONG LIU

ABSTRACT. Given graphs  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , a graph  $G$  is  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ -free if there is a  $k$ -edge-colouring  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$  with no monochromatic copy of  $H_i$  with edges of colour  $i$  for each  $i \in [k]$ . Fix a function  $f(n)$ , the Ramsey-Turán function  $\text{RT}(n, H_1, \dots, H_k, f(n))$  is the maximum number of edges in an  $n$ -vertex  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ -free graph with independence number at most  $f(n)$ . We determine  $\text{RT}(n, K_3, K_s, \delta n)$  for  $s \in \{3, 4, 5\}$  and sufficiently small  $\delta$ , confirming a conjecture of Erdős and Sós from 1979. It is known that  $\text{RT}(n, K_s, f(n))$  has a phase transition at  $f(n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$ . However, the values of  $\text{RT}(n, K_s, o(\sqrt{n \log n}))$  was not known. We determined this value by proving  $\text{RT}(n, K_s, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = \frac{n^2}{4} + o(n^2)$ , answering a question of Balogh, Hu and Simonovits. The proofs utilise, among others, dependent random choice and results from graph packings.

## 1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

Turán's theorem [26] states that among all  $n$ -vertex  $K_{s+1}$ -free graphs, the balanced complete  $s$ -partite graph, now so-called *Turán graph*  $T_s(n)$ , has the largest size, where the size of a graph is the number of edges in a graph. Notice that these Turán graphs have rigid structures, in particular, there are independent sets of size linear in  $n$ . It is then natural to ask for the size of an  $n$ -vertex  $K_{s+1}$ -free graph without these rigid structures, i.e. graphs with additional constraints on their independence number. Such problems, first introduced by Sós [11] in 1969, are the substance of the Ramsey-Turán theory. Formally, given a graph  $H$  and natural numbers  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the *Ramsey-Turán number*, denoted by  $\text{RT}(n, H, m)$  is the maximum number of edges in an  $n$ -vertex  $H$ -free graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \leq m$  can have. Motivated by above reasons, the most classical case is when  $m$  is sublinear in  $n$ , i.e.  $m = o(n)$ .

**Definition.** Given a graph  $H$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ , let

$$(1.1) \quad \varrho(H, \delta) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{RT}(n, H, \delta n)}{n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho(H) := \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \varrho(H, \delta).$$

We write

$$\text{RT}(n, H, o(n)) = \varrho(H) \cdot n^2 + o(n^2).$$

We call  $\varrho(H)$  the *Ramsey-Turán density* of  $H$ . The Ramsey-Turán density of cliques are well-understood. For odd cliques, Erdős and Sós [11] proved that  $\varrho(K_{2s+1}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{s-1}{s})$  for all  $s \geq 1$ . The problem for even cliques is much harder. Szemerédi [25] first showed that  $\varrho(K_4) \leq \frac{1}{8}$ . However no lower bound on  $\varrho(K_4)$  was known until Bollobás and Erdős [6] provided a matching lower bound using an ingenious geometric construction, showing that  $\varrho(K_4) = \frac{1}{8}$ . Finally, Erdős, Hajnal, Sós and Szemerédi [9] determined the Ramsey-Turán density for all even cliques, proving that  $\varrho(K_{2s}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3s-5}{3s-2})$  for all  $s \geq 2$ .

While  $\varrho(H)$  shows only the limit value,  $\varrho(H, \delta)$  captures the transition behaviours of Ramsey-Turán number more accurately when independence number drops to  $o(n)$ . Capturing this more subtle behaviour, Fox, Loh and Zhao [13] proved that  $\varrho(K_4, \delta) = \frac{1}{8} + \Theta(\delta)$ . Building on Fox-Loh-Zhao's work, Lüders and Reiher [20] have very recently showed that, surprisingly, there is a precise

---

*Date:* March 13, 2018.

J.K. was supported by ERC grant 306349; Y.K. was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education (2017R1A6A3A04005963); and H.L. was supported by the Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship ECF-2016-523.

formula for  $\varrho(H, \delta)$  for all cliques and sufficiently small  $\delta$ : for all  $s \geq 2$ ,  $\varrho(K_{2s-1}, \delta) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{s-2}{s-1} + \delta)$ , while  $\varrho(K_{2s}, \delta) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3s-5}{3s-2} + \delta - \delta^2)$ . Inspired by Lüders and Reiher's work, one of our results concerns the multicolour extension of this result. For more literature on Ramsey-Turán theory, we refer the readers to a survey of Simonovits and Sós [23]. See also [2, 3, 4] for more recent results on variants of Ramsey-Turán problem.

**1.1. Multicolour Ramsey-Turán problem.** Given graphs  $H_1, \dots, H_k$ , we say that a graph  $G$  is  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ -free if there exists an edge colouring  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$  such that for each  $i \in [k]$ , the spanning subgraph with all edges of colour  $i$  is  $H_i$ -free. Let  $\text{RT}(n, H_1, \dots, H_k, m)$  be the maximum size of an  $n$ -vertex  $(H_1, \dots, H_k)$ -free graph with independence number at most  $m$ , and define  $\varrho(H_1, \dots, H_k, \delta)$  and  $\varrho(H_1, \dots, H_k)$  analogous to (1.1). Erdős, Hajnal, Simonovits, Sós and Szemerédi [10] proved that the multicolour Ramsey-Turán density for cliques is determined by certain weighted Ramsey numbers (see Definition 5 and Theorem 2 in [10] for more details). Determining the actual values of  $\varrho(K_{s_1}, \dots, K_{s_k})$  turns out to be very difficult. Only sporadic cases are known [10]:  $\varrho(K_3, K_3) = \frac{1}{4}$ ,  $\varrho(K_3, K_4) = \frac{1}{3}$ ,  $\varrho(K_3, K_5) = \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\varrho(K_4, K_4) = \frac{11}{28}$ . Even 2-coloured triangle versus a clique case, i.e. determining  $\varrho(K_3, K_s)$ , remains open. Recall that the Ramsey number  $R(s, t)$  is the minimum integer  $N$  such that every blue/red colouring of  $K_N$  contains either a blue  $K_s$  or a red  $K_t$ . Erdős, Hajnal, Simonovits, Sós and Szemerédi [10] conjectured for all  $s \geq 2$ ,  $\varrho(K_3, K_{2s-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3, s)-1}\right)$ .

Capturing more subtle behaviours of multicolour Ramsey-Turán number, Erdős and Sós [12] proved in 1979 that  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta) = \frac{1}{4} + \Theta(\delta)$  and conjectured that for sufficiently small  $\delta$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta) = \frac{1}{4} + c\delta$ . In the following theorem, we determine the exact value of  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta)$  for all small  $\delta > 0$ , thus confirming the conjecture of Erdős and Sós. Furthermore, we also determine the exact values of  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$  and  $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta)$ . We remark that  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$  behaves quite differently from  $\varrho(K_3, K_s, \delta)$  with  $s \in \{3, 5\}$ . The extremal graph achieving the value of  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta)$  (resp.  $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta)$ ) comes from taking the union of  $T_2(n)$  (resp.  $T_5(n)$ ) and  $F^*$ , certain almost  $\delta n$ -regular  $K_3$ -free graph with independence number at most  $\delta n$ . It turns out that the natural lower bound from the union of  $T_3(n)$  and  $F^*$  is not optimal for  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** *For sufficiently small  $\delta > 0$ , we have*

- $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta) = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2}$ ;
- $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta) = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2}{2}$ ;
- $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta) = \frac{2}{5} + \frac{\delta}{2}$ ;

We can see that the 2-colour Ramsey-Turán number  $\varrho(K_3, K_s, \delta)$  shares some similarity with the single-colour problem  $\varrho(K_s, \delta)$  as they both have an extra quadratic term when  $s$  is even. However, the single-colour Ramsey-Turán number has the same quadratic term for all even  $s$ . This is not the case for the 2-colour Ramsey-Turán number due to its relation to Ramsey number  $R(3, \lceil s/2 \rceil)$ . Indeed, we give a construction showing that

$$\varrho(K_3, K_6, \delta) \geq \frac{5}{12} + \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\delta^2.$$

We conjecture that the equality above holds (see concluding remark for more details).

In the following theorem, we determine Ramsey-Turán numbers for  $(K_3, K_s)$  for all  $s \geq 3$  when the independence number condition is slightly more strict than sublinear, providing evidence towards the Erdős-Hajnal-Simonovits-Sós-Szemerédi conjecture. Let  $\omega(n)$  be a function growing to infinity arbitrarily slowly as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . For each integer  $s \geq 2$ , define

$$(1.2) \quad g_s^\omega(n) := \frac{n}{e^{\omega(n)} \cdot (\log n)^{1-1/s}}.$$

We omit  $\omega$  and write  $g_s(n)$  whenever the result holds for any function  $\omega(n)$  growing to infinity. Note that  $n \gg g_s(n) \gg n^{1-\varepsilon}$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For all  $s \geq 2$ , we have*

- $\text{RT}(n, K_3, K_{2s-1}, g_s(n)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)-1}\right) n^2 + o(n^2)$ ; and
- $\text{RT}(n, K_3, K_{2s}, g_s(n)) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)}\right) n^2 + o(n^2)$ .

**1.2. Phase transition.** Our next result concerns phase transitions of the single-coloured Ramsey-Turán number. A graph  $H$  has *Ramsey-Turán phase transition at  $f$*  if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{RT}(n, H, f(n)) - \text{RT}(n, H, o(f(n)))}{n^2} > 0,$$

where  $\text{RT}(n, H, o(f(n))) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \text{RT}(n, H, \delta \cdot f(n))$ . In other words, a slightly stronger upper bound on the independence number,  $o(f(n))$  instead of  $f(n)$ , would result in a drop at the maximum possible edge-density of an  $H$ -free graph (see [1] for more details).

From odd cliques, the result of Erdős-Sós [11] shows that  $K_{2s+1}$ , with  $s \geq 1$ , has its first phase transition at  $f(n) = n$ , where the density drops from  $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2s-1}{2s})$  to  $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{s-1}{s})$ . In fact,  $\text{RT}(n, K_{2s+1}, c\sqrt{n \log n}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{s-1}{s} + o(1))n^2$  for  $c > 2/\sqrt{s}$ . Balogh, Hu and Simonovits ([1], Theorem 2.7) proved that  $\text{RT}(n, K_{2s+1}, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) \leq \frac{1}{2}(\frac{2s-3}{2s} + o(1))n^2$ , showing that the second phase transition happens at  $f(n) = \sqrt{n \log n}$  (around the inverse function of  $R(3, n)$ ). Erdős and Sós [11] asked whether  $\text{RT}(n, K_5, o(\sqrt{n})) = o(n^2)$ . Sudakov [24] showed that it is true if a slightly stronger bound is imposed on the independence number:  $\text{RT}(n, K_5, g_2(\sqrt{n})) = o(n^2)$ . Later, Balogh, Hu, Simonovits [1] answered Erdős and Sós's question in a stronger form, showing that:  $\text{RT}(n, K_5, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = o(n^2)$ .

The situation for even cliques,  $K_{2s}$  with  $s \geq 2$ , is again less clear apart from the first phase transition at  $f(n) = n$  as shown by Erdős-Hajnal-Simonovits-Sós-Szemerédi [10], where the density decreases from  $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{2s-2}{2s-1})$  to  $\frac{1}{2}(\frac{3s-5}{3s-2})$ . Extending a result of Sudakov [24], Balogh, Hu, Simonovits ([1], Theorem 3.4) showed that:  $\text{RT}(n, K_{2s}, f(n)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{s-2}{s-1} + o(1))n^2$  for any  $c\sqrt{n \log n} < f(n) \leq g_s(n)$  where  $c > 2/\sqrt{s-1}$ ; while Fox, Loh and Zhao [13] showed that  $\text{RT}(n, K_{2s}, g^*(n)) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{3s-5}{3s-2} + o(1))n^2$ , where  $g^*(n) := ne^{-o(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}})}$ . Thus, the second phase transition for  $K_{2s}$  happens somewhere in the small window between  $g^*(n)$  and  $g_s(n)$ . The third phase transition for even cliques occurs at  $f(n) = \sqrt{n \log n}$ , but not a single extremal density is known except the trivial case of  $K_4$ . For example,  $\text{RT}(n, K_6, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) \leq \frac{n^2}{6} + o(n^2)$  and we do not know whether it is  $o(n^2)$ . For  $K_8$ , Balogh, Hu and Simonovits [1] showed that

- $\text{RT}(n, K_8, c\sqrt{n \log n}) = \frac{n^2}{3} + o(n^2)$  for  $c > 2/\sqrt{3}$ ;
- $\frac{2n^2}{7} + o(n^2) \geq \text{RT}(n, K_8, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) \geq \text{RT}(n, K_7, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = \frac{n^2}{4} + o(n^2)$ ;
- $\text{RT}(n, K_8, g_2(\sqrt{n})) = \frac{n^2}{4} + o(n^2)$ ,

and raised the question of whether  $\text{RT}(n, K_8, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = \text{RT}(n, K_7, o(\sqrt{n \log n}))$ . So the Ramsey-Turán density for  $K_8$  drops from  $1/3$  to at most  $2/7$  around  $\sqrt{n \log n}$ . It is not clear when in between  $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$  and  $g_2(\sqrt{n})$ , it drops to  $1/4$ . In the following theorem, we close this gap, proving that  $\text{RT}(n, K_8, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = \frac{n^2}{4} + o(n^2)$ . This answers Balogh-Hu-Simonovits's question positively and provides the first exact value of nontrivial extremal density for the third phase transition of an even clique.

**Theorem 1.3.** *For any  $\gamma > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds. Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $K_8$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta\sqrt{n \log n}$ . Then  $e(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \gamma n^2$ .*

**Organisation of the paper.** In Section 2, we give preliminaries necessary for the proofs. Then we present the proofs of Theorem 1.3 in Section 3, and the lower bounds in Theorem 1.1 in Section 4. We then prove the upper bounds in Theorem 1.1 in Sections 5 and 6. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 7. Finally in Section 8, we make some concluding remarks.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we introduce some notation, tools and lemmas. Denote  $[q] := \{1, 2, \dots, q\}$ ,  $[p, q] := \{p, p+1, \dots, q\}$ , and  $\binom{X}{i}$  (resp.  $\binom{X}{\leq i}$ ) denotes the set of all subsets of a set  $X$  of size  $i$  (resp. at most  $i$ ). We may abbreviate a singleton  $\{x\}$  (resp. a pair  $\{x, y\}$ ) as  $x$  (resp.  $xy$ ). If we claim that a result holds whenever  $0 < b \ll a \ll 1$ , this means that there are a constant  $a_0 \in (0, 1)$  and a non-decreasing function  $f : (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1)$  (that may depend on any previously defined constants or functions) such that the result holds for all  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  with  $a \leq a_0$  and  $b \leq f(a)$ . We write  $a = b \pm c$  if  $b - c \leq a \leq b + c$ . We may omit floors and ceilings when they are not essential.

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $A, B, V_1, \dots, V_p \subseteq V$ . Denote by  $\bar{A} := V \setminus A$  the complement of  $A$ . Let  $G[A] := (A, \{xy \in E : x, y \in A\})$  denote the induced subgraph of  $G$  on  $A$ , and denote by  $N(A, B)$  the common neighbourhood of  $A$  in  $B$ . Write  $N(v, B)$  instead of  $N(\{v\}, B)$ , and  $d(v, B) = |N(v, B)|$ . Denote by  $G[V_1, \dots, V_p]$  the  $p$ -partite subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $p$ -partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_p$ . We say that a partition  $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_p$  of  $V$  is a *max-cut  $p$ -partition* of  $G$  if  $e(G[U_1, \dots, U_p])$  is maximised among all  $p$ -partition of  $V$ . Denote by  $\delta^{\text{cr}}(G[V_1, \dots, V_p]) := \min_{ij \in \binom{[p]}{2}, v \in V_i} d(v, V_j)$  the *minimum crossing degree* of  $G$  with respect to the partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_p$ . For each  $n, p \in [n]$ ,  $T_p(n)$  denotes the  $n$ -vertex Turán graph, which is the  $n$ -vertex complete  $p$ -partite graph such that each partite sets has size either  $\lfloor n/p \rfloor$  or  $\lceil n/p \rceil$ . For two  $n$ -vertex graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , we define  $|G \Delta H|$  be the minimum number  $N = N_1 + N_2$  such that we can obtain a graph isomorphic to  $H$  after deleting  $N_1$  edges from  $G$  and adding  $N_2$  edges to  $G$ .

Given  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$ , throughout the paper, for each  $i \in [k]$ , we will always denote  $G_i$  the spanning subgraph of  $G$  induced by all edges of colour  $i$ . We say that  $\phi$ , and also  $G$ , is  $(K_{s_1}, \dots, K_{s_k})$ -free if  $G_i$  is  $K_{s_i}$ -free for each  $i \in [k]$ . We will write  $\phi(A, B) = i$  if  $\phi(e) = i$  for all  $e \in E(G[A, B])$ , and write  $\phi(v, B)$  instead of  $\phi(\{v\}, B)$ . If  $\phi$  is also defined on  $V(G)$ , we write  $\phi(A) = i$  if  $\phi(v) = i$  for all  $v \in A$ . The following result will be useful.

**Theorem 2.1** ([16]). *Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $K_4$ -free graph with  $e(G) \geq n^2/4 + t$ . Then  $G$  contains at least  $t$  edge-disjoint triangles.*

Given  $d, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , denote by  $F(n, d)$  an  $n$ -vertex  $d$ -regular triangle-free graph with  $\alpha(G) = d$ . Let  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq (0, 1)$  consists of all the rationals  $\delta$  for which there exists some  $F(n, d)$  with  $d/n = \delta$ . We will use a result of Brandt [7], which states that  $\mathcal{B}$  is dense in  $(0, 1/3)$ , in the following form.

**Theorem 2.2** ([7]). *For any  $0 < \eta, \delta < 1/3$ , there exists  $n_0 > 0$  such that the following holds for all  $n \geq n_0$ . For some  $d \in [(\delta - \eta)n, \delta n]$ , there exists a graph  $F(n, d)$ .*

The following is a result of Füredi proving stability of  $K_{p+1}$ -free graphs.

**Theorem 2.3** ([15]). *Suppose that  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex  $K_{p+1}$ -free with  $e(G) \geq e(T_{n,p}) - t$ . Then there exists  $v_1, \dots, v_p$  such that  $e(K_{v_1, \dots, v_p}) \geq e(T_{n,p}) - 2t$  and  $|G \Delta K_{v_1, \dots, v_p}| \leq 3t$ . Consequently,  $v_i = n/p \pm 2\sqrt{t}$  for all  $i \in [p]$  and  $|G \Delta T_p(n)| = O(\sqrt{tn})$ .*

The following theorem follows from Shearer's bound on Ramsey number  $R(3, k) \leq (1+o(1))k^2/\log k$  (see also [5, 8, 21] for more recent development on  $R(3, k)$ ).

**Theorem 2.4.** [22] *There exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $k \geq k_0$ , any graph on at least  $2k^2/\log k$  vertices contains either a triangle or an independent set of size  $k$ .*

We will make use of the multicolour version of the Szemerédi Regularity Lemma (see, for example, [18, Theorem 1.18]). We introduced the relevant definitions. Let  $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$  be disjoint non-empty sets of vertices in a graph  $G$ . The *density* of  $(X, Y)$  is  $d_G(X, Y) := \frac{e_G(X, Y)}{|X||Y|}$ . For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the pair  $(X, Y)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular in  $G$  if for every pair of subsets  $X' \subseteq X$  and  $Y' \subseteq Y$  with  $|X'| \geq \varepsilon|X|$  and  $|Y'| \geq \varepsilon|Y|$ , we have  $|d_G(X, Y) - d_G(X', Y')| \leq \varepsilon$ . Additionally, if  $d_G(X, Y) \geq \gamma$ , for some  $\gamma > 0$ , we say that  $(X, Y)$  is  $(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ -regular. A partition  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition of a  $k$ -edge-coloured graph  $G$  if

- (1) for all  $ij \in \binom{[m]}{2}$ ,  $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ ;
- (2) for each  $i \in [m]$ , all but at most  $\varepsilon m$  choices of  $j \in [m]$  satisfies that the pair  $(V_i, V_j)$  is  $\varepsilon$ -regular in  $G_\ell$  for each colour  $\ell \in [k]$ .

**Lemma 2.5** (Multicolour Regularity Lemma [18]). *Suppose  $0 < 1/M' \ll \varepsilon, 1/M \ll 1/k \leq 1$  and  $n \geq M$ . Suppose  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex  $k$ -edge-coloured graph and  $U_1 \cup U_2$  is a partition of  $V(G)$ . Then there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  with  $M \leq m \leq M'$  such that for each  $i \in [m]$  we have either  $V_i \subseteq U_1$  or  $V_i \subseteq U_2$ .*

Given  $\varepsilon, \gamma > 0$ , a graph  $G$ , a colouring  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [k]$  and a partition  $V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$ , define the *reduced graph*  $R := R(\varepsilon, \gamma, \phi, (V_i)_{i=1}^m)$  of order  $m$  as follows:  $V(R) = [m]$  and  $ij \in E(R)$  if  $(V_i, V_j)$  is (i)  $\varepsilon$ -regular with respect to  $G_p$  for every  $p \in [k]$  and (ii)  $d(G_q[V_i, V_j]) \geq \gamma$  for some  $q \in [k]$ . For brevity, we may omit  $\phi$  or  $(V_i)_{i=1}^m$  in the notation when these are clear. It is easy to see that we have

$$(2.1) \quad e(G) \leq e(R) \cdot \binom{n}{m}^2 + \frac{n^2}{m} + k\gamma n^2.$$

Given a graph  $R$  and  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R(s)$  be the graph obtained by replacing every vertex of  $R$  with an independent set of size  $s$  and replacing every edge of  $R$  with  $K_{s,s}$ . The following lemmas provide some useful properties related to regular partitions.

**Lemma 2.6** (Counting Lemma, Theorem 2.1 in [18]). *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma, 1/h \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $H$  is an  $h$ -vertex graph and  $R$  is a graph such that  $H \subseteq R(h)$ . If  $G$  is a graph obtained by replacing every vertex of  $R$  with an independent set of size  $n$  and replacing every edge of  $R$  with an  $(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ -regular pair, then  $G$  contains at least  $(\gamma/2)^{e(H)} N^{|V(H)|}$  copies of  $H$ .*

**Lemma 2.7** (Slicing Lemma, Fact 1.5 in [18]). *Let  $\varepsilon < \alpha, \gamma, 1/2$ . Suppose that  $(A, B)$  is an  $(\varepsilon, \gamma)$ -regular pair in a graph  $G$ . If  $A' \subseteq A$  and  $B' \subseteq B$  satisfies  $|A'| \geq \alpha|A|$  and  $|B'| \geq \alpha|B|$ , then  $(A', B')$  is an  $(\varepsilon', \gamma - \varepsilon)$ -regular pair in  $G$ , where  $\varepsilon' := \max\{\varepsilon/\alpha, 2\varepsilon\}$ .*

**Lemma 2.8** (Claim 7.1 in [1] with  $p = 2$ ). *For a given function  $g_s$  as in (1.2), suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll 1/m, \varepsilon \ll \gamma < 1$ . Suppose that  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq g_s(n)$  and  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition and  $R = R(\varepsilon, \gamma)$  is a corresponding reduced graph. If  $K_s \subseteq R$ , then we have  $K_{2s} \subseteq G$ .*

The following lemma will be useful to guarantee a certain minimum degree condition in a dense graph.

**Lemma 2.9.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \varepsilon \ll d \leq 1$ . Suppose that  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $e(G) \geq (d + \varepsilon)n^2/2$ . Then  $G$  contains an  $n'$ -vertex subgraph  $G'$  with  $n' \geq \varepsilon^{1/2}n/2$  such that  $e(G') \geq (dn'^2 + \varepsilon n^2 - d(n - n'))/2$  and  $\delta(G') \geq dn'$ .*

*Proof.* Obtain a sequence of graphs  $G_n := G, G_{n-1}, \dots$  as follows. For each  $i \leq n$ , if there exists a vertex  $v_i \in V(G_i)$  with  $d_{G_i}(v_i) \leq di$ , then set  $G_{i-1} := G_i \setminus \{v_i\}$ . Let  $G_{n'}$  be the final graph. Then we have

$$n'^2 \geq 2e(G_{n'}) > (d + \varepsilon)n^2 - \sum_{i=n'+1}^n 2di = \varepsilon n^2 + dn'^2 - dn + dn'.$$

This implies  $n' \geq \varepsilon^{1/2}n/2$ , thus proving the lemma.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

We need first the following variation of dependent random choice lemma. For more on the dependent random choice method, we refer the readers to a survey of Fox and Sudakov [14].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $0 < \gamma < 1$  and  $G$  be a 3-partite graph with vertex partition  $Z_1 \cup Z_2 \cup Z_3$  such that  $|Z_i| = n$  for each  $i \in [3]$ . If  $d(v, Z_i) \geq \gamma n$  holds for all  $v \in Z_1$  and  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , then there exists a set  $S \subseteq Z_1$  of size  $\frac{1}{2}n^{2/3}$  such that every pair of vertices  $P \in \binom{S}{2}$  satisfies  $|N(P, Z_i)| \geq \gamma^9 n$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $q := \frac{\log n}{6 \log(1/\gamma)}$ . For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , pick  $q$  vertices in  $Z_i$  uniformly at random with repetition and denote by  $Q_i$  the set of chosen vertices. We call a pair  $P \in \binom{Z_1}{2}$  *bad* if there exists  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  such that  $|N(P, Z_i)| < \gamma^9 n$ . Define  $S' := N(Q_2 \cup Q_3, Z_1)$ , and define a random variable  $X$  as the number of bad pairs in  $S'$ . Note that for each bad pair  $P \in \binom{Z_1}{2}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{P}[P \subseteq S'] = \mathbb{P}[Q_2 \cup Q_3 \subseteq N(P)] = \prod_{i=2}^3 \left( \frac{|N(P, Z_i)|}{|Z_i|} \right)^q \leq \gamma^{18q}.$$

Thus, by the linearity of expectation, we have that  $\mathbb{E}[X] \leq \binom{|Z_1|}{2} \cdot \gamma^{18q} \leq n^2 \gamma^{18q}$ . On the other hand,

$$\mathbb{E}|S'| = \sum_{v \in Z_1} \mathbb{P}[v \in S'] = \sum_{v \in Z_1} \mathbb{P}[Q_2 \cup Q_3 \subseteq N(v)] = \sum_{v \in Z_1} \prod_{i=2}^3 \left( \frac{d(v, Z_i)}{|Z_i|} \right)^q \geq n \gamma^{2q}.$$

So, there exists choices of  $Q_2$  and  $Q_3$  such that  $\mathbb{E}|S'| - X \geq n \gamma^{2q} - n^2 \gamma^{18q} \geq \frac{1}{2}n^{2/3}$ . Then the set  $S$  obtained from deleting one vertex from every bad pair in  $S'$  has the desired properties.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* Fix constants  $\delta, M', \varepsilon$  as follows:

$$0 < 1/n_0 \ll \delta < 1/M' \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll 1.$$

Assume that  $G$  is an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $n \geq n_0$  and  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta \sqrt{n \log n}$ . Apply the regularity lemma (Lemma 2.5 with  $k = 1$ ) with  $G, V(G), \emptyset, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}, 1$  and  $M'$  playing the roles of  $G, U_1, U_2, \varepsilon, M, k$  and  $M'$ , respectively to obtain a regularity partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  and the reduced graph  $R = R(\varepsilon, \gamma/2)$  of order  $m$  with  $\varepsilon^{-1} \leq m \leq M'$ . Note that  $R$  is  $K_4$ -free by Lemma 2.8. We say that a triangle  $ijk$  in  $R$  is *chubby* if  $d_G(V_{i'}, V_{j'}) \geq 2/3 + \gamma$  for some  $i'j' \in \binom{\{i, j, k\}}{2}$ . We first show that there is no chubby triangle in  $R$ .

**Claim 3.2.** *No triangle in  $R$  is chubby.*

*Proof.* Suppose without loss of generality that  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  induces a triangle in  $R$  with  $d(V_2, V_3) \geq 2/3 + \gamma$ . By the definition of regular pair, it is well-known that for each  $i \in [3]$ , there exists a subset  $V_i^* \subseteq V_i$  such that  $|V_i^*| = (1 - 2\varepsilon)|V_i|$  and  $\delta^{\text{cr}}(G[V_1^*, V_2^*, V_3^*]) \geq \gamma|V_i^*|/3$ . Applying Lemma 3.1 to  $G[V_1^*, V_2^*, V_3^*]$  with  $V_i^*$ 's playing the roles of  $Z_i$ 's, we obtain a set  $S \subseteq V_1^*$  of size at least  $\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2/3}$  such that every pair  $P \in \binom{S}{2}$  satisfies  $|N(P, V_i^*)| \geq (\frac{\gamma}{3})^9 n/m$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . As  $\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^{2/3} \geq \alpha(G)$ , the set  $S$  contains an edge  $uv \in E(G)$  with  $|N(uv, V_i^*)| \geq (\frac{\gamma}{3})^9 n/m$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Using Lemma 2.7 and again deleting low degree vertices, we get  $V_i' \subseteq N(uv, V_i^*)$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  such that  $|V_2'| = |V_3'| \geq \gamma^{10} n/m$ ;  $(V_2', V_3')$  is  $(\sqrt{\varepsilon}, \frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{4})$ -regular with  $\delta(G[V_2', V_3']) \geq (\frac{2}{3} + \frac{\gamma}{5})|V_3'|$ . Observe that  $V_2'$  must contain a triangle, as otherwise Theorem 2.4 implies that there exists an independent set of size at least

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|V_2'| \log |V_2'|} \geq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\gamma^{10} \frac{n}{m} \log \left( \gamma^{10} \frac{n}{m} \right)} > \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{n \log n} > \delta \sqrt{n \log n} \geq \alpha(G),$$

a contradiction. Let  $T$  be a triangle in  $V_2'$ . Then we have that

$$|N(T, V_3')| \geq 3\delta(G[V_2', V_3']) - 2|V_3'| \geq \frac{\gamma}{2}|V_3'| \geq \gamma^{12} \frac{n}{m}.$$

Almost identical calculation as (3.1) shows that  $N(T, V_3')$  contains a triangle, which together with  $u, v$  and  $T$  forms a copy of  $K_8$ , a contradiction.  $\square$




Figure 1: Constructions for  $s = 3, 4, 5$  in order. Colour 1: dotted blue. Colour 2: red.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.3. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $e(R) = m^2/4 + t$ . If  $t < 0$ , then (2.1) with the definition of  $R = R(\varepsilon, \gamma/2)$  implies that

$$e(G) \leq (m^2/4)(n/m)^2 + n^2/m + \gamma n^2/2 \leq n^2/4 + \gamma n^2$$

as  $1/m \ll \gamma$ . We may thus assume  $t \geq 0$ .

Recall that  $R$  is  $K_4$ -free, Turán's theorem implies that  $e(R) \leq m^2/3$  and  $t/m^2 \leq 1/12$ ; and by Lemma 2.1,  $E(R)$  can be decomposed into  $m^2/4 - 2t$  edges and  $t$  edge-disjoint triangles. Each triangle in  $R$ , by Claim 3.2, corresponds to at most

$$3 \cdot (2/3 + \gamma) \cdot (n/m)^2 = (2 + 3\gamma)(n/m)^2$$

edges in  $G$ . Hence

$$e(G) \leq \left( \frac{m^2}{4} - 2t + (2 + 3\gamma)t \right) \frac{n^2}{m^2} + \frac{n^2}{m} + \frac{\gamma}{2} n^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \gamma n^2,$$

as desired.  $\square$

#### 4. LOWER BOUND CONSTRUCTIONS FOR $\varrho(K_3, K_s, \delta)$

For each  $s \in \{3, 4, 5\}$  and small  $\delta > 0$ , we will construct an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_s)$ -free graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and the desired edge-density. This provides a lower bound on  $\varrho(K_3, K_s, \delta)$ . Throughout this section, we use  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  for the partite sets of  $T_k(n)$ .

**4.1. Lower bound for  $\varrho(K_3, K_s, \delta)$  when  $s \in \{3, 5\}$ .** If  $s = 3$ , let  $G$  be a graph obtained from putting a copy of  $F(\frac{n}{2}, d)$ , for some  $d \in [\delta n - o(n), \delta n]$ , in both partite sets of  $T_2(n)$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $e(G) = \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ . It is also easy to check that the following edge-colouring  $\phi$  is a  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free colouring:  $\phi(e) = 1$  for all  $e \in \cup_{i \in [2]} G[X_i]$ ; and  $\phi(X_1, X_2) = 2$ , see Figure 1.

If  $s = 5$ , let  $G$  be a graph obtained from putting a copy of  $F(\frac{n}{5}, d)$ , for some  $d \in [\delta n - o(n), \delta n]$ , in each partite set of  $T_5(n)$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $e(G) = \frac{2n^2}{5} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ . It is also easy to check that the following edge-colouring  $\phi$  is a  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free colouring:  $\phi(e) = 2$  for all  $e \in \cup_{i \in [5]} G[X_i]$ ;  $\phi(X_i, X_{i+1}) = 2$  for all  $i \in [5]$  (addition modulo 5); and all other edges are of colour 1, see Figure 1.

**4.2. Lower bound for  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$ .** We construct an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2}{2} - o(1))n^2$  edges as follows.

- By Theorem 2.2, there exist  $F_1 := F(\frac{n}{3}, d_1)$  and  $F_2 := F(\frac{n}{3} - \delta n, d_2)$  where  $d_i \in [\delta n - o(n), \delta n]$  for each  $i \in [2]$ . So  $e(F_1) = \frac{\delta n^2}{6} + o(n^2)$  and  $e(F_2) = \frac{\delta n^2}{6} - \frac{\delta^2 n^2}{2} + o(n^2)$ .

- Let  $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{d_2}\}$  be an independent set of size  $d_2$  in  $F_2$ . Let  $F$  be an  $n/3$ -vertex graph obtained from  $F_2$  by
  - first adding a clone set of  $B$ , i.e. a set of  $d_2$  new vertices  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{d_2}\}$  with  $N_F(a_i) := N_{F_2}(b_i)$  for each  $i \in [d_2]$ ;
  - adding all  $[A, B]$ -edges; and
  - adding an additional set of  $\delta n - d_2$  isolated vertices.

Note that  $F$  is *not* triangle-free, and

$$e(F) = e(F_2) + d_2^2 + d_2^2 = \frac{\delta n^2}{6} + \frac{3\delta^2 n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

- Finally, let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $T_3(n)$  on partite sets  $X_i$ ,  $i \in [3]$ , by putting a copy of  $F$  in  $X_1$  and a copy of  $F_1$  in  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ .

It is clear that  $G$  has the desired size and easy to check that the following 2-edge-colouring  $\phi$  of  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free, see Figure 1:

- let  $\phi(A, X_2) = \phi(B, X_3) = \phi(X_2, X_3) = 1$ ;
- let  $\phi(e) = 1$ , for all  $e \in E(G[X_1] \setminus [A, B])$ ;
- all other edges are of colour 2.

## 5. UPPER BOUND FOR $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$

For the convenience of the reader, we rephrase the upper bound as follows.

**Lemma 5.1.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \delta \ll 1$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$ . Then*

$$e(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{3} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2 n^2}{2}.$$

We use the stability approach. A weak stability was proven in [10]<sup>1</sup>, stating that an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  is close to  $T_3(n)$ . For the exact result for  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$ , we need a coloured stability, which roughly says that any  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free colouring of an almost extremal graph should look similar to the colouring given in the lower bound construction.

### 5.1. Coloured stability.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \delta \ll \gamma < 1$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 2n/3$ . Then for any  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free 2-edge colouring  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [2]$ , there exists a partition  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  of  $V(G)$  such that the following holds.*

- (A1) For each  $i \in [3]$ , we have  $|X_i| = n/3 \pm 2\gamma^{1/7}n$ .
- (A2)  $\alpha(G_1[X_1]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n$ .
- (A3) For each  $i \in [3]$ , we have  $\Delta(G[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/18}n$ .
- (A4) For each  $v \in X_1$ , we have  $\min\{d_{G_1}(v, X_2), d_{G_1}(v, X_3)\} < \gamma^{1/9}n$ .
- (A5)  $\delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) \geq n/3 - \gamma^{1/19}n$ .
- (A6) For all  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $v \in X_i$ , we have  $d_{G_2}(v, X_1) \geq |X_1| - \gamma^{1/20}n$ .

Furthermore, one of the following occurs:

- (P1) For all  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $v \in X_i$ , we have  $\alpha(G_2[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n$  and  $d_{G_1}(v, X_{5-i}) \geq |X_{5-i}| - \gamma^{1/20}n$ .
- (P2) For all  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $v \in X_i$ , we have  $\alpha(G_1[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n$  and  $d_{G_2}(v, X_{5-i}) \geq |X_{5-i}| - \gamma^{1/20}n$ .

We need an additional definition for the proof of Lemma 5.2.

**Definition 5.3.** *Given a weighted graph  $R$  with weight  $d : E(R) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  and  $Y \subseteq X \subseteq V(R)$ , a  $\gamma$ -generalised clique of order  $t := |X| + |Y|$ , denoted by  $Z_t$ , on  $(X, Y)$  is a clique on  $X$  with  $d(e) > 1/2 + \gamma$  for every  $e \in E(R[Y])$ .*

<sup>1</sup>Their proof missed a case, which can be easily fixed. We include it in the online arXiv version.



For brevity, we will write  $(a_1 a_2 \dots a_s, b_1 b_2 \dots b_{s'})$  for  $(\{a_1, \dots, a_s\}, \{b_1, \dots, b_{s'}\})$ .

Note that, for an  $n$ -vertex 2-edge-coloured graph  $G$  with  $\alpha(G) = o(n)$ , both  $G_1, G_2$  can have  $\Omega(n)$  independence number. We will use the following lemma combined with regularity lemma to obtain a regular partition such that each part of the partition induces a graph with small independence number in one of the two colours.

**Lemma 5.4** (Lemma 3.1 in [2] with  $r = 2$ ). *Let  $c > 0$ ,  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq c^2 n$  and  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [2]$ . Then there exists a partition  $V(G) = V_1^* \cup V_2^{*2}$  such that for every  $i \in [2]$ ,  $\alpha(G_i[V_i^*]) \leq cn$ .*

*Proof of Lemma 5.2.* We choose the constants as follows:

$$0 < 1/n \ll \delta \ll \delta^* \ll 1/m \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll 1.$$

We apply Lemma 5.4 with  $c = \delta^{1/2}$  to obtain a partition  $V_1^* \cup V_2^*$  such that  $\alpha(G_i[V_i^*]) \leq \delta^{1/2} n$ . Apply Theorem 2.5 with  $G, V_1^*, V_2^*, \phi, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}$  and  $M'$  playing the roles of  $G, U_1, U_2, \phi, \varepsilon, M$  and  $M'$  to obtain an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  with  $\varepsilon^{-1} \leq m \leq M'$  which refines the partition  $V_1^* \cup V_2^*$ . Let  $R := R(\varepsilon, \gamma, \phi, (V_i)_{i \in [m]})$  be its reduced graph. It was shown in [10] (Theorem 3(b) and (e)) that  $|G \Delta T_3(n)| \leq \delta^* n^2$ . As a consequence, the number of  $K_4$  in  $G$  is at most  $\delta^* n^4$ . It is well-known that the reduced graph  $R$  essentially inherits the structure of  $G$ :  $\delta(R) \geq (2/3 - 3\gamma)m$  and  $R$  is  $K_4$ -free. Indeed, if  $K_4 \subseteq R$ , then by Lemma 2.6,  $G$  contains at least  $(\gamma/2)^6 (n/m)^4 / 2 > \delta n^4$  copies of  $K_4$ , a contradiction. Thus, by Theorem 2.3,

$$(5.1) \quad |R \Delta T_3(m)| \leq \gamma^{1/3} m^2.$$

We define a colouring  $\phi^{\text{ind}} : V(R) \cup E(R) \rightarrow [2]$ , induced by  $\phi$ , as follows:

- (i) for each  $k \in [m]$ , we have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(k) = i$  if  $V_k \subseteq V_i^*$ ; and
- (ii) for each  $pq \in E(R)$ , we have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(pq) = 1$  if  $d_{G_1}(V_p, V_q) \geq \gamma$ , and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(pq) = 2$  if  $d_{G_1}(V_p, V_q) < \gamma$  and  $d_{G_2}(V_p, V_q) \geq \gamma$ .

We remark that colour 1 has ‘‘higher priority’’ on  $E(R)$  in  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$ , i.e. if  $(V_i, V_j)$  is dense in both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , then we have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(ij) = 1$ . This asymmetry is needed for the embedding later. For each  $pq$ , we let  $d(pq) := d_{G_{\phi^{\text{ind}}(pq)}}(V_p, V_q)$  be the weight on  $E(R)$ , and we consider  $R$  as a weighted graph. It is also well-known that for each  $p \in V(R)$ , we have

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{q \in N_R(p)} d(pq) \geq \left(\frac{m}{n}\right)^2 \left( \delta(G) \frac{n}{m} - \frac{\varepsilon n^2}{m} - \frac{2\gamma n^2}{m} - \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 \right) \geq (2/3 - 3\gamma)m.$$

Let  $R'$  be the graph obtained from  $R$  by deleting all edges of weight at most  $1/2 + \gamma$ . Then for each  $p \in V(R)$ , we have

$$(2/3 - 3\gamma)m \leq \sum_{q \in N_R(p)} d(pq) \leq d_{R'}(p) + (1/2 + \gamma)(d_R(p) - d_{R'}(p)),$$

thus, as  $\delta(R) \geq (2/3 - 3\gamma)m$ , we have

$$(5.3) \quad d_{R'}(p) \geq 4m/3 - d_R(p) - 9\gamma m.$$

Moreover, by (5.1), we know  $e(R) \leq \frac{m^2}{3} + \gamma^{1/3} m^2$ . As  $\delta(G) \geq 2n/3$ , similar to (2.1), we have

$$n^2/3 \leq e(G) \leq (e(R) - e(R')) \frac{(1/2 + \gamma)n^2}{m^2} + e(R') \frac{n^2}{m^2} + (2\gamma + \varepsilon + 1/m)n^2.$$

This implies

$$(5.4) \quad e(R) - e(R') \leq \gamma^{1/4} m^2.$$

---

<sup>2</sup>It could be that some  $V_i^*$  is empty.

We will omit  $\gamma$  in the term ‘ $\gamma$ -generalised clique’. For each  $i \in [2]$  and  $Y \subseteq X \subseteq V(R)$ , we say that a generalised clique  $Z_t$  in  $R$  on  $(X, Y)$  is *of colour  $i$*  if  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(k) = \phi^{\text{ind}}(pq) = i$ , for all  $k \in Y$  and  $pq \in \binom{X}{2}$ . We say that  $R$  is  $(Z_{t_1}, Z_{t_2})$ -free if there is no  $Z_{t_i}$  of colour  $i$  for any  $i \in [2]$ . It was implicitly proven in the proof Theorem 1.3 in [2] that a  $Z_t$  of colour  $i$  in  $R$  implies  $K_t \subseteq G_i$ . This implies the following, since  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free:

$$(5.5) \quad R \text{ is } (Z_3, Z_4)\text{-free.}$$

Let  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$  be a max-cut 3-partition of  $R$ . The desired partition of  $V(G)$  will be an adjustment of this partition. By (5.1) and the definition of max-cut and Theorem 2.3, it is easy to see that we have

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{i \in [3]} e(R[U_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/3} m^2, \quad |U_i| = m/3 \pm \gamma^{1/7} m \text{ and}$$

$$(5.7) \quad \delta^{\text{cr}}(R[U_1, U_2, U_3]) \geq (\delta(R) - \max_{i \in [3]} |U_i|)/2 \geq m/7.$$

We will obtain the colour pattern of  $R$  in  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$ . First we show that each vertex set  $U_i$  is monochromatic in  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$ .

**Claim 5.5.** *For every  $i \in [3]$ , there exist  $j \in [2]$  such that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_i) = j$ . In particular, we have*

$$\alpha(G_j[\cup_{k \in U_i} V_k]) \leq \sqrt{\delta} n.$$

*Proof.* Suppose the lemma is not true, then by symmetry, we may assume that  $\phi(U_1) \neq j$  for any  $j \in [2]$ . Let  $W := \{w \in U_1 : \phi^{\text{ind}}(w) = 2\}$ . We shall argue that one of the following two cases must happen and then derive contradictions in each case.

**Case 1.** There exists vertices  $u, w \in U_1$ ,  $v_2 \in U_2$ ,  $v_3 \in U_3$  such that  $\{v_2 v_3, u v_2, u v_3\} \subseteq E(R)$  and  $u v_2, u v_3 \subseteq E(R')$  and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(u) = 1, \phi^{\text{ind}}(w) = 2$ .

**Case 2.** There exists vertices  $u, w \in U_1$ ,  $v_2 \in U_2$ ,  $v_3 \in U_3$  such that  $R[\{u, w, v_2, v_3\}]$  induces a copy of  $K_4$  and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(u) = 1, \phi^{\text{ind}}(w) = 2$ .

Suppose that  $|W| \geq m/100$ . Fix an arbitrary  $u \in U_1$  with  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(u) = 1$ . Then, by (5.4), more than half of the vertices  $w$  in  $W$  satisfy  $d_{R'}(w) \geq d_R(w) - \gamma^{1/5} m$ , and as  $\sum_{i \in [3]} e(R[U_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/3} m^2$ , more than half of the vertices  $w$  in  $W$  satisfies  $|N_R(w, U_1)| \leq \gamma^{1/4} m$ . Hence there exists  $w \in W$  with  $|N_{R'}(w, U_i)| \geq \delta(R) - |U_{5-i}| - 2\gamma^{1/5} m \geq m/4$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . By this and (5.7), for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  we have

$$|N_R(u, U_i) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_i)| \geq m/7 + m/4 - |U_i| \geq m/30.$$

Together with (5.1) and the definition of max-cut partition, this implies that there exists an edge  $v_2 v_3$  between  $N_R(u, U_2) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_2)$  and  $N_R(u, U_3) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_3)$ , yielding Case 1.

We may then assume that  $|W| \leq m/100$ . Fix an arbitrary  $w \in W$ . If  $|N_R(w, U_1)| > m/50$ , then we have  $|N_R(w, U_1 \setminus W)| \geq m/100$ . As  $\sum_{i \in [3]} e(R[U_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/3} m^2$ , more than half of the vertices  $u$  in  $N_R(w, U_1 \setminus W)$  satisfy  $|N_R(u, U_1)| \leq \gamma^{1/4} m$ . Hence there exists  $u \in N_R(w, U_1 \setminus W)$  with  $|N_R(u, U_i)| \geq m/4$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . By this and (5.7), for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  we have

$$|N_R(u, U_i) \cap N_R(w, U_i)| \geq m/7 + m/4 - |U_i| \geq m/30.$$

Thus by (5.1) and the definition of max-cut partition, there exists an edge  $v_2 v_3$  between  $N_R(uw, U_2)$  and  $N_R(uw, U_3)$ , yielding Case 2.

Thus we may assume that  $|N_R(w, U_1)| \leq m/50$ , thus  $d_R(w) \leq |U_2| + |U_3| + m/50 \leq (2/3 + 1/40)m$ . Together with (5.3), this implies that

$$d_{R'}(w) \geq 4m/3 - (2/3 + 1/40)m - 9\gamma m \geq (2/3 - 1/30)m.$$

Hence, for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we have

$$|N_{R'}(w, U_i)| \geq d_{R'}(w) - |N_R(w, U_1)| - |U_{5-i}| \geq (1/3 - 1/30 - 1/40)m \geq m/4.$$

By (5.7), there exists a vertex  $u \in U_1 \setminus W$  such that for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we have

$$|N_R(u, U_i) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_i)| \geq m/4 + m/7 - |U_2| \geq m/30.$$

Thus by (5.1) and the definition of max-cut partition, there exists an edge  $v_2v_3$  between  $N_R(u, U_2) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_2)$  and  $N_R(u, U_3) \cap N_{R'}(w, U_3)$ , yielding again Case 1.

For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $j \in [2]$ , if  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_i) = j$ , then, by the definition of  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$ , we have  $\cup_{k \in U_i} V_k \subseteq V_j^*$ , and so  $\alpha(G_j[\cup_{k \in U_i} V_k]) \leq \alpha(G_j[V_j^*]) \leq \sqrt{\delta}n$  as desired. We shall now derive contradictions in each case to finish the proof.

Suppose **Case 1** happens. By the definition of  $R'$ , for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  we have  $d(wv_i) \geq 1/2 + \gamma$ . As  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(u) = 1$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(uv_i) = 2$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , otherwise we get a  $Z_3$  of colour 1 on  $(uv_i, u)$ , contradicting (5.5). Suppose now that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_2v_3) = 2$ . For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , it must be that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_i) = 1$ , otherwise  $(uv_2v_3, v_i)$  is a  $Z_4$  of colour 2, which in turn implies that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(wv_i) = 2$ , otherwise  $(wv_i, v_i)$  is a  $Z_3$  of colour 1. But then  $(wv_2v_3, w)$  is a  $Z_4$  of colour 2, a contradiction.

Hence, we may assume that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_2v_3) = 1$ . For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_i) = 2$ , otherwise we get a  $Z_3$  of colour 1 on  $(v_2v_3, v_i)$ , a contradiction. As  $d(wv_i) \geq 1/2 + \gamma$  and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(w) = 2$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(wv_i) = 1$ , otherwise we get a  $Z_4$  of colour 2 on  $(wv_i, wv_i)$ . However, then we have a  $Z_3$  of colour 1 on  $(wv_2v_3, \emptyset)$ , a contradiction.

Suppose **Case 2** happens. As  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(u) = 1$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(uw) = \phi^{\text{ind}}(wv_i) = 2$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , otherwise we get a  $Z_3$  of colour 1 on  $(wv_i, u)$  or  $(uw, u)$ , contradicting (5.5).

Suppose now that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_2v_3) = 2$ . Then for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_i) = 1$ , otherwise  $(wv_2v_3, v_i)$  is a  $Z_4$  of colour 2, which in turn implies that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(wv_i) = 2$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . But then  $(wv_2v_3, \emptyset)$  is a  $Z_4$  of colour 2, a contradiction.

Hence, we may assume that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_2v_3) = 1$ . Then for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(v_i) = 2$ , otherwise we get  $Z_3$  of colour 1 on  $(v_2v_3, v_i)$ . Moreover, for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(wv_i) = 1$ , otherwise  $(v_iuw, w)$  is a  $Z_4$  of colour 2. But then  $(wv_2v_3, \emptyset)$  forms a  $Z_3$  of colour 1, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Claim 5.6.** *By permuting indices of  $U_1, U_2, U_3$ , we may assume the following. We have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_1) = 1$  and for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_1, U_i) = 2$  and one of the following holds.*

- (B1)  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2) = \phi^{\text{ind}}(U_3) = 2$  and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2, U_3) = 1$ ; or
- (B2)  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2) = \phi^{\text{ind}}(U_3) = 1$  and  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2, U_3) = 2$ .

*Proof.* If  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_i) = 2$  for all  $i \in [3]$ , then it is easy to see that all crossing edges of  $R'$  are of colour 1, otherwise we obtain a generalised clique  $Z_4$  of colour 2. However, then we can easily check that  $R$  contains a copy of  $K_3$  of colour 1, which is again a contradiction.

Hence, by Claim 5.5, we may assume that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_1) = 1$ . Then as  $R$  does not have a generalised clique  $Z_3$  of colour 1, we have that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_1, U_i) = 2$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . If  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2) = 2$ , then  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2, U_3) = 1$ , otherwise we get a generalised clique  $Z_4$  of colour 2. But then we must have  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_3) = 2$ , giving (B1). Similarly if  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(U_2) = 1$ , we obtain (B2).  $\square$

Let  $V(G) = X'_1 \cup X'_2 \cup X'_3$  be the partition corresponding to  $V(R) = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ , i.e.  $X'_i := \cup_{k \in U_i} V_k$ , then (5.6) implies that for each  $i \in [3]$  we have  $|X'_i| = \frac{n}{3} \pm \frac{3}{2}\gamma^{1/7}n$ . Note that we have

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{i \in [3]} e(G[X'_i]) \leq \sum_{i \in [3]} e(R[U_i]) \cdot \left(\frac{n}{m}\right)^2 + \varepsilon n^2 + \frac{n^2}{m} + 2\gamma n^2 \stackrel{(5.6)}{\leq} 2\gamma^{1/3}n^2.$$

Note that (5.7) provides a minimum crossing degree of  $R$  with respect to the partition  $U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ . However, in  $G$ , some vertex could have low crossing degree with respect to the partition  $X'_1 \cup X'_2 \cup X'_3$ . To amend this problem, we will consider the following modified partition  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  of  $V(G)$ .

**Claim 5.7.** *There exists a partition  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  of  $V(G)$  such that the following holds.*

- (X1) *For each  $i \in [3]$ , we have  $|X_i| = n/3 \pm 2\gamma^{1/7}n$  and  $|X_i \Delta X'_i| \leq 20\gamma^{1/3}n$ .*

$$(X2) \quad \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) \geq n/10.$$

*Proof.* For all  $i \in [3]$  and  $v \in X'_i$ , if  $d(v, X'_j) \leq n/10$  for some  $j \neq i$ , then move  $v$  to  $X'_j$ . We repeat this until no such vertex exists. Let the resulting set be  $X_i$ ,  $i \in [3]$ . We first show that this process terminates and so  $X_i$ s are well-defined.

Recall that  $\delta(G) \geq 2n/3$ , so if there exist  $ij \in \binom{[3]}{2}$  and  $v \in X'_i$  with  $d(v, X'_j) \leq n/10$ , we see that for each  $k \neq j$ ,

$$d(v, X'_k) \geq \delta(G) - n/10 - \max_{i \in [3]} |X'_i| \geq n/5.$$

Thus, after moving  $v$  from  $X'_i$  to  $X'_j$ , the number of inner edges decreases by at least  $n/5 - n/10 = n/10$ . Hence, by (5.8), after moving at most  $2\gamma^{1/3}n^2/(n/10) = 20\gamma^{1/3}n$  vertices, the process stops. Hence, we obtain (X1) proving the first part and (X2) holds by definition.  $\square$

Note that (A1) holds due to (X1). By Claims 5.5, 5.6 and (X1), we have (A2) as

$$(5.9) \quad \alpha(G_1[X_1]) \leq \alpha(G_1[X'_1]) + ||X'_1| - |X_1|| \leq \sqrt{\delta}n + 20\gamma^{1/3}n \leq \gamma^{1/4}n.$$

For what follows, we assume (B1) holds, which then leads to (P1) ((B2) implying (P2) can be proven analogously). Similar to (5.9), (B1) implies that  $\alpha(G_2[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n$  for  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , proving the first part of (P1).

We now bound  $\Delta(G[X_i])$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Without loss of generality, it is enough to bound  $\Delta(G[X_2])$ . Note first that, as  $G_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, by (5.9), for each  $v \in V(G)$ , we have

$$(5.10) \quad d_{G_1}(v, X_1) \leq \alpha(G_1[X_1]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n.$$

Define

$$J := \bigcup_{i \in [3]} \{v \in X_i : d(v, \overline{X}_i) \leq |\overline{X}_i| - \gamma^{1/8}n\}$$

to be the set of vertices with large missing crossing degree. By Claim 5.7 and (5.8), we have

$$\sum_{i \in [3]} e(G[X_i]) \leq \sum_{i \in [3]} (e(G[X'_i]) + ||X'_i| - |X_i||n) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n^2,$$

and so, as  $e(G) \geq \frac{n^2}{3}$  and  $e(K_{|X_1|, |X_2|, |X_3|}) \leq n^2/3$ , we have that

$$e(\overline{G}[X_1, X_2, X_3]) \leq \frac{n^2}{3} - (e(G) - \sum_{i \in [3]} e(G[X_i])) \leq \sum_{i \in [3]} e(G[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n^2 \text{ and}$$

$$(5.11) \quad |J| \leq \frac{2e(\overline{G}[X_1, X_2, X_3])}{\gamma^{1/8}n} = 2\gamma^{1/8}n.$$

We claim that for each  $y \in X_3$ , we have

$$(5.12) \quad d_{G_2}(y, X_2) \leq 3\gamma^{1/8}n \text{ and } d_{G_1}(y, X_3) \leq |J| \leq 2\gamma^{1/8}n.$$

Indeed, suppose that  $d_{G_2}(y, X_2) > 3\gamma^{1/8}n$ . Then  $|N_{G_2}(y, X_2) \setminus J| \geq \gamma^{1/8}n > \alpha(G_2[X_2])$ , and so there exists  $uv \in E(G_2)$  with  $u, v \in N_{G_2}(y, X_2) \setminus J$ . By (X2), (5.10) and the definition of  $J$ , we have

$$|N_{G_2}(\{u, v, y\}, X_1)| \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - \sum_{x \in \{u, v, y\}} d_{G_1}(x, X_1) - 2 \cdot \gamma^{1/8}n \geq n/20,$$

showing that  $K_4 \subseteq G_2$ , a contradiction, thus the first part of (5.12) holds.

Suppose that  $d_{G_1}(y, X_3) > |J|$ . So there exists  $yy' \in E(G_1[X_3])$  with  $y' \notin J$ . But then the first part of (5.12) implies that

$$|N_{G_1}(\{y, y'\}, X_2)| \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - \sum_{x \in \{y, y'\}} d_{G_2}(x, X_2) - \gamma^{1/8}n \geq n/20,$$

contradicting  $K_3 \not\subseteq G_1$ . Thus (5.12) holds.

We now show that for each  $y \in X_3$ , we have  $d_{G_2}(y, X_3) \leq 3\gamma^{1/17}n$ , which together with (5.12) implies that  $\Delta(G[X_3]) \leq \gamma^{1/18}n$ . Fix an arbitrary  $y \in X_3$  and let  $Y := N_{G_2}(y, X_3)$ . Suppose to the contrary that  $|Y| > 3\gamma^{1/17}n$ . For  $i \in [2]$ , define

$$J_i := \{v \in X_i : d_{G_i}(v, X_3) \geq \gamma^{1/16}n\}.$$

By (5.10) and (5.12), we get, for each  $i \in [2]$ , that

$$(5.13) \quad |J_i| \leq \frac{e(G_i[X_i, X_3])}{\gamma^{1/16}n} \leq \frac{|X_3| \cdot \max\{\gamma^{1/4}n, 3\gamma^{1/8}n\}}{\gamma^{1/16}n} \leq 3\gamma^{1/16}n.$$

As  $|N_{G_2}(y, X_1)| \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - d_{G_1}(y, X_1) > |J| + |J_1|$  due to (5.10) and (5.11), we can pick  $u \in N_{G_2}(y, X_1) \setminus (J \cup J_1)$ . By the definition of  $J$  and  $J_1$ , we have

$$d_{G_2}(u, Y) \geq |Y| - d_{\overline{G}}(u, \overline{X_1}) - d_{G_1}(u, X_3) \geq |Y| - \gamma^{1/17}n.$$

Similarly, we can pick  $v \in X_2 \setminus (J \cup J_2)$  with  $d_{G_1}(v, Y) \geq |Y| - \gamma^{1/17}n$ . Thus, writing  $Y' := N_{G_1}(v, Y) \cap N_{G_2}(u, Y)$ , we have  $|Y'| \geq |Y| - 2\gamma^{1/17}n > \alpha(G)$ . So there exists  $xx' \in E(G[Y'])$ . However, if  $\phi(xx') = 1$ , then  $\{x, x', v\}$  induces a  $K_3$  in  $G_1$ ; while if  $\phi(xx') = 2$ , then  $\{x, x', u, y\}$  induces a  $K_4$  in  $G_2$ , a contradiction. This shows  $\Delta(G[X_3]) \leq \gamma^{1/18}n$ , and  $\Delta(G[X_2]) \leq \gamma^{1/18}n$ .

To bound  $\Delta(G[X_1])$ , we need to first prove (A4) that no vertex in  $X_1$  can have high  $G_1$ -degree to both  $X_2$  and  $X_3$ . Suppose that  $v \in X_1$  is such that  $d_{G_1}(v, X_i) \geq \gamma^{1/9}n > |J|$  for both  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Fix an arbitrary  $u \in N_{G_1}(v, X_3) \setminus J$ . Then by (5.12) and the fact that  $u \notin J$ , we have

$$|N_{G_1}(\{v, u\}, X_2)| \geq d_{G_1}(v, X_2) - d_{\overline{G}}(u, \overline{X_3}) - d_{G_2}(u, X_2) \geq \gamma^{1/8}n,$$

which contradicts  $K_3 \not\subseteq G_1$ , proving (A4).

Fix an arbitrary  $w \in X_1$ , suppose to the contrary that  $d(w, X_1) \geq \gamma^{1/18}n > d_{G_1}(w, X_1) + |J \cup J_1|$ , due to (5.10) and (5.11) and (5.13). Fix a vertex  $u \in N_{G_2}(w, X_1) \setminus (J \cup J_1)$ . By (A4), we may assume that  $d_{G_1}(w, X_3) < \gamma^{1/9}n$ . Then by (X2) and the fact that  $u \notin J \cup J_1$ , we have

$$|N_{G_2}(\{w, u\}, X_3)| \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - d_{G_1}(w, X_3) - d_{\overline{G}}(u, \overline{X_1}) - d_{G_1}(u, X_3) > \alpha(G_2[X_3]),$$

contradicting  $K_4 \not\subseteq G_2$ . Thus, for each  $i \in [3]$ , we have  $\Delta(G[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/18}n$ , proving (A3). Consequently,

$$\delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) \geq \delta(G) - \max_{i \in [3]}(\Delta(G[X_i]) + |X_i|) \geq n/3 - \gamma^{1/19}n,$$

proving (A5). Together with (A1), this implies that for all  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $v \in X_i$ , we have

$$d_{G_2}(v, X_1) \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - d_{G_1}(v, X_1) \stackrel{(5.10)}{\geq} |X_1| - \gamma^{1/20}n,$$

and that

$$d_{G_1}(v, X_{5-i}) \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - d_{G_2}(v, X_{5-i}) \stackrel{(5.12)}{\geq} |X_{5-i}| - \gamma^{1/20}n,$$

proving (A6) and the second part of (P1) as desired.  $\square$

**5.2. Proof of Lemma 5.1.** Suppose that  $e(G) > (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2}{2})n^2$ . By applying Lemma 2.9 with  $G, 2/3, \delta + 3\delta^2$  playing the roles of  $G, d, \varepsilon$ , respectively, to obtain an  $n'$ -vertex graph  $G'$  with  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$ . Then  $\delta(G') \geq 2n'/3$ . Let  $\delta' := \delta n/n' \in [\delta, \delta^{1/3}]$ . Since  $1 \leq \alpha(G') \leq \alpha(G) = \delta n = \delta' n'$ , we have

$$(5.14) \quad e(G') \geq \frac{n'^2}{3} + \left(\frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2}{2}\right)n^2 - \frac{n-n'}{3} \geq \left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta'}{2} + \frac{3\delta'^2}{2}\right)n'^2.$$

Note that  $\phi$  still induces an edge-colouring of  $G'$  which is  $(K_3, K_4)$ -free. As  $1/n \ll \delta \ll \gamma$  and  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$  and  $\delta' \in [\delta, \delta^{1/3}]$ , we can apply Lemma 5.2 with  $G', \delta', \gamma$  playing the roles of  $G, \delta, \gamma$

to obtain a partition  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  of  $V(G')$  satisfying (A1)–(A6). We assume that (P1) occurs.<sup>3</sup> Define

$$A := \{v \in X_1 : d_{G'_1}(v, X_2) \geq n'/5\} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \{v \in X_1 : d_{G'_1}(v, X_3) \geq n'/5\}.$$

Note that (A4) implies that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . Bounding  $e(G)$  amounts to show the following claim.

**Claim 5.8.** *The following hold:*

(G'1) *For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , the graph  $G'[X_i]$  is  $K_3$ -free.*

(G'2) *Both  $A$  and  $B$  are independent sets and so  $|A|, |B| \leq \alpha(G') \leq \delta'n'$ .*

(G'3) *Both  $G'[X_1 \setminus A]$  and  $G'[X_1 \setminus B]$  are  $K_3$ -free.*

First, we show how Claim 5.8 implies Lemma 5.1. For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , (G'1) implies that  $\Delta(G'[X_i]) \leq \alpha(G') \leq \delta'n'$ , and so  $e(G'[X_i]) \leq \delta'n'|X_i|/2$ . On the other hand, (G'2) and (G'3) imply that  $\Delta(G'[X_1 \setminus A]), \Delta(G'[X_1 \setminus B]) \leq \alpha(G') \leq \delta'n'$ , and so  $e(G'[A, X_1 \setminus (A \cup B)]) \leq \delta'n'|A|$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} e(G'[X_1]) &= e(G'[X_1 \setminus A]) + e(G'[A, B]) + e(G'[A, X_1 \setminus (A \cup B)]) \\ &\leq (|X_1| - |A|)\delta'n'/2 + |A|\delta'n' + \delta'n'|A| \leq \delta'n'|X_1|/2 + 3\delta'^2n'^2/2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$e(G') \leq e(G'[X_1, X_2, X_3]) + \sum_{i \in [3]} e(G'[X_i]) \leq n'^2/3 + \delta'n'^2/2 + 3\delta'^2n'^2/2,$$

contradicting (5.14). Thus we conclude that  $e(G) \leq (\frac{1}{3} + \frac{\delta}{2} + \frac{3\delta^2}{2})n^2$ .

*Proof of Claim 5.8.* Fix arbitrary  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ . Suppose that  $T = \{u, v, w\}$  induces a triangle in  $G'[X_i]$ . By (A1) and (P1), we see that  $|N_{G'_1}(T, X_{5-i})| \geq n/4$ . As  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, this implies that  $T$  is monochromatic in colour 2. But then, by (A6), we have  $|N_{G'_2}(T, X_1)| \geq n/4$ . This contradicts  $K_4 \not\subseteq G'_2$ , proving (G'1).

Suppose that  $uv$  is an edge in  $G'[A]$  (the proof for  $B$  is similar). By the definition of  $A$  and (A1), we have  $|N_{G'_1}(uv, X_2)| \geq 2n/5 - |X_2| \geq n/20$ , implying that  $\phi(uv) = 2$  as  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free. Also by (A4) and the fact that  $u, v \in A$ , we see that both  $d_{G'_1}(u, X_3)$  and  $d_{G'_1}(v, X_3)$  are less than  $\gamma^{1/9}n$ . Thus, by (A5), we have that

$$|N_{G'_2}(uv, X_3)| \geq 2(\delta^{\text{cr}}(G'[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - \gamma^{1/9}n) - |X_3| \geq n/4 > \alpha(G'_2[X_3]).$$

Hence, there exists an edge of colour 2 in  $N_{G'_2}(uv, X_3)$ , contradicting  $K_4 \not\subseteq G'_2$ , proving (G'2).

Suppose  $T = \{u, v, w\}$  induces a triangle in  $X_1 \setminus B$  (the proof for  $X_1 \setminus A$  is similar). Since  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, we may assume that  $\phi(uw) = 2$ . To prove (G'3), it suffices to show that  $|N_{G'_2}(uw, X_i)| \geq n/30 > \alpha(G'_2[X_i])$  for some  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , since then  $K_4 \subseteq G'_2$ , a contradiction. As  $A$  is an independent set due to (G'2), we may further assume that  $w \notin A \cup B$ . By the definition of  $A$  and  $B$ , we have

$$(5.15) \quad d_{G'_2}(w, X_i) \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G'[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - n/5 \geq n/10, \quad \forall i \in \{2, 3\}.$$

For each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , let  $W_i := N_{G'_2}(w, X_i)$ . Note that  $d_{G'_1}(u, X_2) \geq d_{G'_1}(u, W_2) \geq n/20$ , since otherwise  $|N_{G'_2}(uw, X_2)| \geq n/30$  as desired. Then (A4) implies that  $d_{G'_1}(u, X_3) < \gamma^{1/9}n$ . Together with (A1), (A5) and (5.15), we have

$$|N_{G'_2}(uw, X_3)| \geq \delta^{\text{cr}}(G'[X_1, X_2, X_3]) - d_{G'_1}(u, X_3) + d_{G'_2}(w, X_3) - |X_3| \geq n/30,$$

as desired.  $\square$

This completes the proof of Lemma 5.1, providing the second equality of Theorem 1.1.

<sup>3</sup>The (P2) case is only easier, we include its proof in the online arXiv version. In fact, graphs satisfying (P2) case can only have at most  $n'^2/3 + \delta n'^2/2$  edges, a contradiction

6. STABILITY FOR  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta)$  WITHOUT REGULARITY

In this section, we present the upper bound on  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta)$ .<sup>4</sup> For convenience, we rephrase the upper bound as follows.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \delta < 10^{-13}$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$ . Then*

$$e(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2}.$$

We will prove Lemma 6.1 using the following coloured stability.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \delta < 10^{-6}$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $\delta(G) \geq n/2$ . Then for any  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [2]$ , there exists a partition  $V(G) = A \cup B$  with  $|A|, |B| = n/2 \pm \delta^{1/3}n$  and  $\delta(G_1[A, B]) \geq 2n/5$ .*

We will present a proof of Lemma 6.2 without regularity lemma. First, we show how it implies Lemma 6.1.

*Proof of Lemma 6.1.* Suppose that  $e(G) > (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2})n^2$ . By applying Lemma 2.9 with  $G, 1/2, \delta$  playing the roles of  $G, d, \varepsilon$ , respectively, to obtain an  $n'$ -vertex graph  $G'$  with  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$  satisfying the following, where  $\delta' := \delta n/n' \in [\delta, 10^6]$ :

$$\delta(G') \geq n'/2 \text{ and } e(G') \geq \frac{n'^2}{4} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2} - \frac{n - n'}{4} \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\delta'}{2}\right)n'^2.$$

Moreover,  $\alpha(G') \leq \alpha(G) = \delta n = \delta' n'$ .

As  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free,  $G' \subseteq G$  is also  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free and there exists an  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free 2 edge-colouring  $\phi$  of  $G'$ . As  $1/n \ll \delta$  and  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$  and  $\delta' < 10^{-6}$ , we apply Lemma 6.2 to obtain a partition  $A \cup B$  of  $V(G')$  with  $|A|, |B| = n'/2 \pm \delta^{1/3}n$  and  $\delta(G'_1[A, B]) \geq 2n'/5$ .

We claim that both  $G'[A]$  and  $G'[B]$  are triangle-free. Suppose that  $T \in \binom{A}{3}$  induces a triangle in  $G'[A]$ . Since  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free and  $|N_{G'_1}(T, B)| \geq 3\delta(G'_1[A, B]) - 2|B| > n/6$ , we see that no edge in  $T$  can be of colour 1. But then  $T$  is monochromatic in colour 2, contradicting  $K_3 \not\subseteq G'_2$ . Thus,  $e(G'[A]) \leq \Delta(G'[A])|A|/2 \leq \alpha(G')|A|/2 \leq \delta' n'|A|/2$ . Similarly,  $e(G'[B]) \leq \delta' n'|B|/2$ . Hence,

$$e(G') = e(G'[A, B]) + e(G'[A]) + e(G'[B]) \leq n'^2/4 + \delta' n'^2/2,$$

a contradiction. Thus we conclude  $e(G) \leq (\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\delta}{2})n^2$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Lemma 6.2.* Assume without loss of generality that  $e(G_1) \geq e(G_2)$ , so  $e(G_1) \geq n^2/8$ . It is easy to see that the following fact follows from  $G$  being  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free and that  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$ .

**Fact 6.3.** *For all  $x, y \in V(G)$ , we have  $|N_{G_1}(x) \cap N_{G_2}(y)| \leq \alpha(G) \leq \delta n$ .*

We will sequentially choose four vertices as follows.

- Take a vertex  $x$  with maximum  $G_1$ -degree, i.e.  $d_{G_1}(x) = \Delta(G_1)$ , and set  $X := N_{G_1}(x)$ . Then  $|X| \geq \frac{2e(G_1)}{n} \geq n/4$ .
- Choose a vertex  $y \in X$  with maximum  $G_1$ -degree and set  $Y := N_{G_1}(y)$ . Note that as  $G_1$  is  $K_3$ -free,  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ . Denote  $Z := V(G) \setminus (X \cup Y)$  and  $\alpha := |Z|/n$ .
- Pick now  $x' \in Z$  with maximum  $G_2$ -degree in  $Z$ . Let  $X' := N_{G_2}(x', Z)$  and  $\beta := |X'|/n$ . By definition, we have  $0 \leq \beta \leq \alpha$ .
- Finally, take  $y' \in X'$  with maximum  $G_2$ -degree in  $Z$  and set  $Y' := N_{G_2}(y', Z)$ . Similarly, as  $G_2$  is  $K_3$ -free,  $X' \cap Y' = \emptyset$ . So  $|Y'| \leq |Z \setminus X'| = (\alpha - \beta)n$ .

**Claim 6.4.** *We have  $|X| + |Y| \geq n/3$ , consequently,  $\alpha \leq 2/3$ .*

<sup>4</sup>The upper bound on  $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta)$  can be proved by combining ideas in the proofs of the upper bounds on  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, \delta)$  and  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$ , we include its proof in the online arXiv version.

*Proof.* We may assume that  $|X| \leq n/3$  otherwise we are done. By the definition of  $x$  and  $X$ , every vertex in  $X$  (resp. not in  $X$ ) has  $G_1$ -degree at most  $|Y|$  (resp.  $|X|$ ). Thus,

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \leq 2e(G_1) \leq \sum_{u \notin X} d_{G_1}(u) + \sum_{v \in X} d_{G_1}(v) \leq (n - |X|)|X| + |X||Y|,$$

implying that  $f(a) := (1 - a)a + ab - \frac{1}{4} \geq 0$ , where  $1/4 \leq a := |X|/n \leq 1/3$  and  $b := |Y|/n$ . As  $f'(a) = 1 + b - 2a > 0$ , we have  $0 \leq f(a) \leq f(1/3)$ , implying that  $b \geq 1/12$  and then  $a + b \geq 1/3$  as desired.  $\square$

Let us show the following bound on the size of  $G_1$ :

$$(6.2) \quad e(G_1) \leq \frac{(1 - \beta)^2 n^2}{4} + \delta n^2.$$

Indeed, the first term above bounds  $e(G_1[\overline{X'}])$  as  $G_1$  is  $K_3$ -free; while the second term bounds all  $G_1$ -edges with at least one endpoints in  $X'$ . To see this, for each vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , we have  $d_{G_2}(v, X') \subseteq N_{G_1}(v) \cap N_{G_2}(x')$ , thus the desired bound follows from Fact 6.3. Similarly, we can bound all  $G_2$ -edges with at least one endpoints in  $X \cup Y$  by  $2\delta n^2$ . Thus, we have that  $e(G_2) \leq e(G_2[Z]) + 2\delta n^2$ .

**Claim 6.5.** *We have that  $e(G_2) \leq 22\delta n^2$ .*

*Proof.* As we have  $e(G_2) \leq e(G_2[Z]) + 2\delta n^2$ , it suffices to prove  $e(G_2[Z]) \leq 20\delta n^2$ . Note first that, by the definition of  $x'$ , we have that

$$(6.3) \quad e(G_2[Z]) \leq \frac{|X'| \cdot |Z|}{2} = \frac{\alpha \beta n^2}{2}.$$

On the other hand, analogous to (6.1), by the definition of  $y'$ , we have

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e(G_2[Z]) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{u \in Z \setminus X'} d_{G_2}(u, Z) + \sum_{v \in X'} d_{G_2}(v, Z) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (|Z \setminus X'| \cdot \beta n + |X'| \cdot (\alpha - \beta)n) = (\alpha - \beta)\beta n. \end{aligned}$$

We now distinguish two cases.

**Case 1 :**  $\beta \leq \alpha/2$ . By (6.2) and (6.3), we have that

$$(6.5) \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \leq e(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} ((1 - \beta)^2 + 2\alpha\beta) + 3\delta n^2 \Leftrightarrow (\beta^2 - 2\beta + 2\alpha\beta) + 3\delta \geq 0.$$

Let  $f(\beta) := \beta^2 - 2\beta + 2\alpha\beta + 3\delta \geq 0$ , then  $f'(\beta) = 2\beta - 2 + 2\alpha \leq 3\alpha - 2 \leq 0$  as  $\alpha \leq 2/3$  due to Claim 6.4. So we have  $\beta \leq 40\delta$ , as otherwise

$$f(\beta) \leq f(40\delta) = 40\delta(40\delta - 2 + 2\alpha) + 3\delta < 0,$$

contradicting (6.5). Then by (6.3), we have  $e(G_2[Z]) \leq \beta n^2/2 \leq 20\delta n^2$  as desired.

**Case 2 :**  $\beta \geq \alpha/2$ . By (6.2) and (6.4), we have that

$$(6.6) \quad \frac{n^2}{4} \leq e(G) \leq \frac{n^2}{4} ((1 - \beta)^2 + 4(\alpha - \beta)\beta) + 3\delta n^2 \Leftrightarrow (4\alpha\beta - 2\beta - 3\beta^2) + 3\delta \geq 0.$$

Let  $g(\beta) := 4\alpha\beta - 2\beta - 3\beta^2 + 3\delta \geq 0$ , then  $g'(\beta) = 4\alpha - 2 - 6\beta \leq 4\alpha - 2 - 3\alpha < 0$ . So we have  $\alpha \leq 20\delta$ , as otherwise, using that  $\alpha \leq 2/3$ ,

$$g(\beta) \leq g(\alpha/2) = \frac{\alpha}{2} \left( 4\alpha - 2 - 3 \cdot \frac{\alpha}{2} \right) + 3\delta \leq -\frac{\alpha}{6} + 3\delta < 0,$$

contradicting (6.6). Then by (6.3), we have  $e(G_2[Z]) \leq \alpha n^2/2 \leq 10\delta n^2$  as desired.  $\square$



By Claim 6.5, we have  $e(G_1) \geq n^2/4 - 22\delta n^2$ . Apply Theorem 2.3 to  $G_1$  with  $t = 22\delta n^2$  and let  $V(G) = V(G_1) = A \cup B$  be an arbitrary max-cut partition of  $G_1$ . Then we have

$$(6.7) \quad e(G[A]) + e(G[B]) \leq 3t = 66\delta n^2 \Rightarrow e(G_1[A, B]) \geq e(G_1) - 66\delta n^2 \geq \frac{n^2}{4} - 88\delta n^2,$$

and  $|A|, |B| = n/2 \pm 2\sqrt{t} = n/2 \pm 10\sqrt{\delta}n$ . Note that there exists a vertex  $v \in B$  with

$$d_{G_1}(v, A) \geq \frac{e(G_1[A, B])}{|B|} \geq \frac{n^2/4 - 88\delta n^2}{n/2 + 10\sqrt{\delta}n} \geq \frac{n}{2} - 10\sqrt{\delta}n \geq |A| - 20\sqrt{\delta}n.$$

Consequently, for any  $u \in V(G)$ , we have  $d_{G_2}(u, A) \leq 21\sqrt{\delta}n$ , as otherwise  $|N_{G_2}(u) \cap N_{G_1}(v)| \geq \sqrt{\delta}n$ , contradicting Fact 6.3. Similarly,  $d_{G_2}(u, B) \leq 21\sqrt{\delta}n$ . Thus we have  $\Delta(G_2) \leq 42\sqrt{\delta}n$ .

We claim that  $A \cup B$  is the desired partition. Suppose  $d_{G_1}(w, B) < 2n/5$  for some  $w \in A$ . Then  $d_{G_1}(w, A) \geq \delta(G) - \Delta(G_2) - d_{G_1}(w, B) \geq n/20$ . As  $A \cup B$  is a max-cut, we see that  $d_{G_1}(w, B) \geq d_{G_1}(w, A) - \Delta(G_2) \geq n/30$ . Since  $G_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, there is no edge of  $G_1$  in  $[N_{G_1}(w, A), N_{G_1}(w, B)]$ , implying that  $e(G_1[A, B]) \leq n^2/4 - (n/20) \cdot (n/30)$ , contradicting (6.7) and that  $\delta < 10^{-6}$ .  $\square$

## 7. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

**7.1. Upper bound.** Let  $s \geq 2$  and fix a function  $g_s(n)$  satisfying (1.2). Note that a function  $g'_s(n)$  satisfying  $g_s(n) = (g'_s(n)/n)^2 n$  is also a function satisfying (1.2). We choose constants such that  $0 < 1/n \ll 1/M' \ll \varepsilon \ll \gamma \ll 1$ . In particular,  $1/g'_s(n) \ll 1/M'$ .

Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq g_s(n)$  with a 2-edge-colouring  $\phi$ .

We apply Lemma 5.4 with  $c = (g'_s(n)/n)^2$ , to obtain a partition  $V_1^* \cup V_2^*$  such that  $\alpha(G_i[V_i^*]) \leq g'_s(n)$ . Apply Theorem 2.5 with  $G, V_1^*, V_2^*, \phi, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^{-1}$  and  $M'$  playing the roles of  $G, U_1, U_2, \phi, \varepsilon, M$  and  $M'$  to obtain an  $\varepsilon$ -regular partition  $V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_m$  with  $\varepsilon^{-1} \leq m \leq M'$  which refines the partition  $V_1^* \cup V_2^*$ . Let  $R := R(\varepsilon, \gamma, \phi, (V_i)_{i \in [m]})$  be its reduced graph. Let the colouring  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$  be as defined in the proof of Lemma 5.2. So if  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(i) = j$  for some  $i \in V(R)$  and  $j \in [2]$ , it means the corresponding cluster  $V_i$  in  $G$  satisfies  $\alpha(G_j[V_i]) \leq g'_s(n)$ .

By Turán's Theorem, it suffices to show the following.

- (R1)  $R$  is  $K_{R(3,s)}$ -free if  $\phi$  is  $(K_3, K_{2s-1})$ -free;
- (R2)  $R$  is  $K_{R(3,s)+1}$ -free if  $\phi$  is  $(K_3, K_{2s})$ -free.

Indeed, it is easy to see that (R1) implies  $e(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)-1}\right) n^2 + 3\gamma n^2$  and (R2) implies  $e(G) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)}\right) n^2 + 3\gamma n^2$ .

To show (R1) and (R2), without loss of generality, assume that  $[t] \subseteq V(R)$  induces a maximum size clique in  $R$ . As the case  $s = 2$  is covered in Theorem 1.1, we assume that  $s \geq 3$ .

Suppose that  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_{2s-1})$ -free (resp.  $(K_3, K_{2s})$ -free). Suppose that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(i) = 2$  for all  $i \in [t]$ , then by Lemma 2.8,  $\phi^{\text{ind}}|_{[t]}$  is  $(K_3, K_s)$ -free, and so  $t \leq R(3, s) - 1$  as desired. We may then assume that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(t) = 1$ . Then  $\phi^{\text{ind}}(it) = 2$  for all  $i \in [t-1]$ , as otherwise it is easy to see that one can embed  $K_3$  in  $G_1[V_i \cup V_t]$ . Consequently, by Lemma 2.8, we have that  $\phi^{\text{ind}}|_{[t-1]}$  is  $(K_3, K_{s-1})$ -free (resp.  $(K_3, K_s)$ -free). Hence,  $t-1 \leq R(3, s-1) - 1 \leq R(3, s) - 2$  (resp.  $t-1 \leq R(3, s) - 1$ ) as desired.

**7.2. Lower bound.** Let  $n$  be a sufficiently large number, and let  $H(n)$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $K_3$ -free graph with independence number  $O(\sqrt{n \log n})$ . The celebrated result of Kim [17] shows the existence of such graphs.




Figure 2: A graph with no blue (dotted)  $K_3$  and no red  $K_6$ . All edges incident to  $\bigcup_{i \in [5]} I_i$  and  $\bigcup_{i \in [5]} X_i$  are omitted in the picture except blue edges between  $I_5$  and  $X_5 \cup X_1$ .

7.2.1. *Lower bound for  $\text{RT}(n, K_3, K_{2s-1}, g_s(n))$ .* Let  $t = R(3, s) - 1$  and  $\phi : \binom{[t]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$  be a  $(K_3, K_s)$ -free colouring. Let  $G$  be obtained from adding a copy of  $H(n/t)$  to each partite set of  $T_t(n)$ . The following colouring witnesses  $G$  being  $(K_3, K_{2s-1})$ -free: colour all edges inside each partite set colour 2 and colour all crossing edges according to  $\phi$ , i.e. for any  $ij \in \binom{[t]}{2}$  and  $h \in [2]$ , all edges in  $[X_i, X_j]$  are of colour  $h$  if  $\phi(ij) = h$ .

7.2.2. *Lower bound for  $\text{RT}(n, K_3, K_{2s}, g_s(n))$ .* Let  $t = R(3, s)$  and  $\phi : \binom{[t-1]}{2} \rightarrow [2]$  be a  $(K_3, K_s)$ -free colouring. Let  $G$  be obtained from adding a copy of  $H(n/t)$  to each partite set of  $T_t(n)$ . The following colouring witnesses  $G$  being  $(K_3, K_{2s})$ -free: colour all edges inside  $X_t$  colour 1, and edges inside  $X_i$  colour 2 for all  $i \in [t-1]$ ; colour all crossing edges in  $[X_1, \dots, X_{t-1}]$  according to  $\phi$  and colour all  $[X_i, X_t]$ -edges colour 2 for all  $i \in [t-1]$ .

## 8. CONCLUDING REMARKS

8.1. **The value of  $\varrho(K_3, K_6, \delta)$ .** We conjecture that the following equality holds.

$$\varrho(K_3, K_6, \delta) = \frac{5}{12} + \frac{\delta}{2} + 2\delta^2.$$

The lower bound is given by the construction below, see Figure 2.

- Let  $F_1 := F(\frac{n}{6}, d_1)$  and  $F_2 := F(\frac{n}{6} - \frac{3\delta n}{2}, d_2)$  where  $d_i \in [\delta n - o(n), \delta n]$ . So  $e(F_1) = \frac{\delta n^2}{12} \pm o(n^2)$  and  $e(F_2) = \frac{\delta n^2}{12} - \frac{3\delta^2 n^2}{4} \pm o(n^2)$ .
- Let  $I = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{d_2}\}$  be an independent set of size  $d_2$  in  $F_2$ . Let  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$  be an equipartition of  $I$ . Let  $F$  be an  $n/6$ -vertex graph obtained from  $F_2$  by
  - first adding 3 clone sets of  $I_1$ , say  $I_i$  with  $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ ;
  - adding all  $[I_i, I_{i+2}]$ -edges for each  $i \in [5]$  (addition modulo 5); and
  - adding an additional set of  $\frac{3}{2}(\delta n - d_2)$  isolated vertices.

Note that  $F$  is *not* triangle-free, and

$$e(F) = e(F_2) + \frac{3d_2}{2} \cdot d_2 + 5 \left( \frac{d_2}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{\delta n^2}{12} + 2\delta^2 n^2 \pm o(n^2).$$

- Finally, let  $G$  be the graph obtained from  $T_6(n)$ , by putting a copy of  $F$  in  $X_6$  and a copy of  $F_1$  in  $X_i$  for each  $i \in [5]$ .

It is clear that  $G$  has the desired size and easy to check that the following 2-edge-colouring  $\phi$  of  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_6)$ -free:

- let  $\phi(X_i, X_{i+2}) = 1$  for each  $i \in [5]$  (addition modulo 5);
- let  $\phi(I_i, X_i \cup X_{i+1}) = 1$  for each  $i \in [5]$  (addition modulo 5);
- let  $\phi(e) = 1$ , for all  $e \in E(G[X_6] \setminus G[\cup_{i \in [5]} I_i])$ ;
- all other edges are of colour 2.

**8.2. The value of  $\varrho(K_3, K_{2s})$ .** Recall that for triangle versus odd cliques, Erdős, Hajnal, Simonovits, Sós and Szemerédi [10] conjectured that  $\varrho(K_3, K_{2s-1})$  is achieved by the  $(R(3, s) - 1)$ -partite Turán graph, i.e.  $\varrho(K_3, K_{2s-1}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)-1}\right)$ . Base on Theorem 1.2, we put forward the following conjecture for triangle versus even cliques.

**Conjecture 8.1.** For all  $s \geq 2$ ,  $\varrho(K_3, K_{2s}) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{R(3,s)}\right)$ .

**8.3. Ramsey-Turán number with more than 2 colours.** We remark that the multicolour Ramsey-Turán number for *triangles* is related to a version of Ramsey number studied by Liu, Pikhurko and Sharifzadeh [19]. They introduced  $r^*(K_{a_1}, \dots, K_{a_k})$  as the largest integer  $N$  such that there exists a colouring  $\phi : \binom{[N]}{\leq 2} \rightarrow [k]$  with the following property:

- (\*) for each  $i \in [k]$ , there is no edge-monochromatic  $K_{a_i}$  in colour  $i$ , and there is no edge incident to a vertex with the same colour, i.e.  $\phi(ij) \neq \phi(i)$  for any  $j \neq i$ .

Note that when an  $n$ -vertex graph  $G$  is  $(K_3, \dots, K_3)$ -free with  $\alpha(G) = o(n)$ , then the colouring  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$  on its reduced graph  $R$  satisfies (\*), hence

$$\varrho(K_3, \dots, K_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^*(K_3, \dots, K_3)}\right).$$

In particular, Theorems 1.8 and 1.9 in [19] imply  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, K_3) = \frac{2}{5}$  and  $\varrho(K_3, K_3, K_3, K_3) = \frac{15}{32}$ .

In general Ramsey-Turán numbers for larger cliques are *not* determined by  $r^*$ , for example  $\varrho(K_3, K_5) = \frac{2}{5} \neq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^*(K_3, K_5)}\right) = \frac{3}{8}$  and  $\varrho(K_4, K_4) = \frac{11}{28} \neq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r^*(K_4, K_4)}\right) = \frac{1}{3}$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Balogh, P. Hu, M. Simonovits, Phase transitions in the Ramsey-Turán theory, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 114, (2015), 148–169.
- [2] J. Balogh, H. Liu, M. Sharifzadeh, On two problems in Ramsey-Turán theory, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.*, 31, (2017), 1848–1866.
- [3] J. Balogh, A. McDowell, T. Molla, R. Mycroft, Triangle-tilings in graphs without large independent sets, *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, to appear.
- [4] J. Balogh, T. Molla, M. Sharifzadeh, Triangle factors of graphs without large independent sets and of weighted graphs, with an Appendix by Christian Reiher and Mathias Schacht, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 49, (2016), 669–693.
- [5] T. Bohman, P. Keevash, Dynamic concentration of the triangle-free process, *The Seventh European Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Applications*, CRM Series, 16, (2013), 489–495.
- [6] B. Bollobás, P. Erdős, On a Ramsey-Turán type problem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 21, (1976), 166–168.
- [7] S. Brandt, Triangle-free graphs whose independence number equals the degree, *Discrete Math.*, 310, (2010), 662–669.
- [8] E. Davies, M. Jenssen, W. Perkins, B. Roberts, On the average size of independent sets in triangle-free graphs, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [9] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, V. T. Sós, E. Szemerédi, More results on Ramsey-Turán type problems, *Combinatorica*, 3, (1983), 69–81.
- [10] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, M. Simonovits, V. T. Sós, E. Szemerédi, Turán-Ramsey theorems and simple asymptotically extremal structures, *Combinatorica*, 13, (1993), 31–56.
- [11] P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, Some remarks on Ramseys and Turáns theorem, *Combinatorial theory and its applications, II* (Proc. Colloq., Balatonfured, 1969), North-Holland, Amsterdam, (1970), 395–404.
- [12] P. Erdős, V. T. Sós, On Turán-Ramsey type theorems II, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 14, (1979), 27–36.

- [13] J. Fox, P. Loh, Y. Zhao, The critical window for the classical Ramsey-Turán problem, *Combinatorica*, 35, (2015), 435–476.
- [14] J. Fox, B. Sudakov, Dependent Random Choice, *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 38, (2011), 1–32.
- [15] Z. Füredi, A proof of the stability of extremal graphs, Simonovits’ stability from Szemerédi’s regularity, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 115, (2015), 66–71.
- [16] E. Györi, B. Keszegh, On the number of edge-disjoint triangles in  $K_4$ -free graphs, *Combinatorica*, to appear.
- [17] J. H. Kim, The Ramsey number  $R(3, t)$  has order of magnitude  $t^2/\log t$  *Random Structures and Algorithms*, 7, (1995), 173–207.
- [18] J. Komlós, M. Simonovits, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma and its applications to graph theory, In *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty*, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 2, (1996), 295–352.
- [19] H. Liu, O. Pikhurko, M. Sharifzadeh, Edges not in any monochromatic copy of a fixed graph, *submitted*, arXiv:1705.01997.
- [20] C. Lüders, C. Reiher, The Ramsey-Turán problem for cliques, *submitted*, arXiv:1709.03352.
- [21] G. Fiz Pontiveros, S. Griffiths, R. Morris, The triangle-free process and the Ramsey number  $R(3, k)$ , *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [22] J. Shearer, A note on the independence number of triangle-free graphs, *Discrete Math.*, 46, (1983), 83–87.
- [23] M. Simonovits, V.T. Sós, Ramsey-Turán theory, *Discrete Math.*, 229, (2001), 293–340.
- [24] B. Sudakov, A few remarks on Ramsey-Turán-type problems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 88, (2003), 99–106.
- [25] E. Szemerédi, On graphs containing no complete subgraph with 4 vertices, *Mat. Lapok*, 23, (1972), 113–116.
- [26] P. Turán, Eine Extremalaufgabe aus der Graphentheorie, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, 48, (1941), 436–452.

Jaehoon Kim	Younjin Kim	Hong Liu
School of Mathematics	Institute of Mathematical Sciences	Mathematics Institute
University of Birmingham	Ewha Womans University	University of Warwick
Birmingham	Seoul	Coventry
UK	South Korea	UK

*E-mail addresses:* j.kim.3@bham.ac.uk, younjinkim@ewha.ac.kr, h.liu.9@warwick.ac.uk.

## APPENDIX A.

**A.1. When (P2) occurs in  $(K_3, K_4)$  stability.** We bounded  $\varrho(K_3, K_4, \delta)$  from above in Section 5.2 assuming that (P1) occurs in the stability. Here we sketch a proof that if  $G'$  satisfies (P2), then we have  $e(G') \leq n'^2/3 + \delta'n'^2/2$ .

Recall that we have a partition  $X_1 \cup X_2 \cup X_3$  of  $V(G')$  satisfying (A1)–(A6). Assume that (P2) occurs. It suffices to show that for each  $i \in [3]$ , the graph  $G'[X_i]$  is triangle-free. Indeed, this would imply that for each  $i \in [3]$ , we have  $\Delta(G'[X_i]) \leq \alpha(G') \leq \delta'n'$ , and then we have

$$(A.1) \quad e(G') \leq e(G'[X_1, X_2, X_3]) + \sum_{i \in [3]} e(G'[X_i]) \leq \frac{n'^2}{3} + \frac{\delta'n'^2}{2},$$

as desired.

By symmetry, suppose to the contrary that  $\{u, v, w\} \in \binom{X_1}{3}$  induces a copy of  $K_3$ . As  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, we may assume that  $uv$  is of colour 2. As  $\alpha(G'_1[X_i]) \leq \gamma^{1/4}n'$  for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $G'_1$  is  $K_3$ -free, neither  $u$  nor  $v$  can have more than  $\gamma^{1/5}n'$  neighbours in  $X_2$  or  $X_3$  in the graph  $G'_1$ . Then by (A1), (A5) and (P2), for each  $i \in \{2, 3\}$ , we can easily find, a vertex  $x_i \in N_{G'_2}(uv, X_i)$  such that  $x_2x_3 \in E(G'_2)$ . Then  $u, v, x_2, x_3$  induces a  $K_4$  in  $G'_2$ , a contradiction.

**A.2. Upper bound for  $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta)$ .** Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$ . We first prove the following coloured stability using the approach for Lemma 5.2.

**Lemma A.1.** *Suppose  $0 < 1/n \ll \delta \ll \gamma \ll \eta \ll 1$ . Let  $G$  be an  $n$ -vertex  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free graph with  $\alpha(G) \leq \delta n$  and  $\delta(G) \geq 4n/5$ . Then for any  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free  $\phi : E(G) \rightarrow [2]$ , there exists a partition  $V(G) = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_5$  such that for each  $i \in [5]$ ,*

- (A'1)  $|X_i| = n/5 \pm \eta n$ ;  
(A'2)  $\alpha(G_2[X_i]) \leq \eta n$ ;

(A'3) for every  $v \in X_i$ , we have  $d_{G_1}(v, X_{i+2}) \geq |X_{i+2}| - \eta n$ ;

(A'4) for every  $v \in X_i$ , we have  $d_{G_2}(v, X_{i+1}) \geq |X_{i+1}| - \eta n$ .

*Sketch of proof.* Let  $G, \phi, R, R', \phi^{\text{ind}}$  be obtained analogous to that in the proof of Lemma 5.2. Then  $R$  is close to  $T_5(|R|)$  and  $(Z_3, Z_5)$ -free. Let BLUE and RED be colour 1 and colour 2 respectively. Let  $U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_5$  be a max-cut 5-partition of  $V(R)$ . As  $R$  is close to  $T_5(|R|)$ , similar to (5.6) and (5.7), we get that  $\sum_{i \in [5]} e(R[U_i]) = o(m^2)$ ,  $|U_i| = m/5 \pm o(m)$ , and  $\delta^{\text{cr}}(R[U_1, \dots, U_5]) \geq (\delta(R) - 3 \max |U_i|)/2 \geq m/11$ .

We now show that each  $U_i$  should be monochromatic in  $\phi^{\text{ind}}$  with RED colour. Suppose this is not the case. As in Claim 5.5, let  $u \in X_1$  be a BLUE vertex and  $x_2 \in X_2$  be a typical vertex such that for each  $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$  we have  $|N_R(u, X_i) \cap N_{R'}(x_2, X_i)| \geq m/20$ . As  $R$  is close to  $T_5(|R|)$ , we can find three vertices  $x_3 \in X_3, x_4 \in X_4, x_5 \in X_5$  such that  $\{u, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5\}$  forms a copy of  $K_5$  in  $R$ . Moreover, all edges incident to  $x_2$  is also in  $R'$ .

If  $w_i$  is BLUE for some  $i \in [5] \setminus \{1\}$ , then any edges in the  $K_5$  incident to  $u$  or  $w_i$  are all RED, as otherwise we obtain a BLUE generalised clique  $Z_3$ . Let  $\{j, k, \ell\} = [5] \setminus \{1, i\}$ . If all three remaining edges  $x_j x_k, x_j x_\ell, x_k x_\ell$  are RED, then we obtain a RED  $K_5$  (which is also a generalised clique  $Z_5$ ), a contradiction. If all three edges  $x_j x_k, x_j x_\ell, x_k x_\ell$  are BLUE, then we obtain a BLUE  $K_3$  (which is also a copy of  $Z_3$ ), a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $x_j x_k$  is RED while  $x_j x_\ell$  is BLUE. Then  $x_j$  is RED, as otherwise we obtain a BLUE  $Z_3$ . However, in such a case  $(u w x_j x_k, x_j)$  is a RED  $Z_5$ , a contradiction.

So, we may assume that  $x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5$  are all RED, and any edges in the  $K_5$  incident to  $u$  are all RED. If any edge incident to  $x_2$  but not  $u$ , say  $x_2 x_3$  is RED, then as  $x_2 x_3 \in R'$ , we obtain a  $(u x_2 x_3, x_2 x_3)$ , a RED generalised clique  $Z_5$ , a contradiction. Thus  $x_2 x_3, x_2 x_4, x_2 x_5$  are all BLUE. Then all three edges  $x_3 x_4, x_3 x_5, x_4 x_5$  must be all RED, otherwise we obtain a BLUE  $K_3$  together with  $x_2$ . However, then  $(u x_3 x_4 x_5, x_3)$  is a RED generalised clique  $Z_5$ , a contradiction. Thus, all vertices of  $R$  are RED.

Since each  $U_i$  is monochromatic in RED. By the stability for 2-coloured Turán problem,  $R[U_1, \dots, U_5]$  is close to the blow-up  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free 2-edge-colouring of  $K_5$ . This almost gives all we need, except that the corresponding partition could have low-degree vertices. Let  $X'_1 \cup \dots \cup X'_5$  be the corresponding partition of  $V(G)$ , i.e.  $X'_i := \cup_{k \in U_i} V_k$ . By using argument similar to the proof of Claim 5.7, we can obtain sets  $X_1, \dots, X_5$ , such that for each  $k \neq j \in [5]$ ,  $d(v, X_k) \geq \delta(G) - 3 \max |X_i| - n/20 \geq n/10$ . As  $R$  is close to  $T_5(|R|)$ ,  $X_i$  and  $X'_i$  only differ on  $o(n)$  vertices, proving (A'1). Also as each  $U_i$  is monochromatic in RED, we see that the RED graph induced on each  $X'_i$ , hence also on  $X_i$ , has  $o(n)$  independence number, proving (A'2). Both (A'3) and (A'4) follow from the fact that the colour pattern of  $R[U_1, \dots, U_5]$  is close to the blow-up  $(K_3, K_3)$ -free 2-edge-colouring of  $K_5$ .  $\square$

We now show how Lemma A.1 implies the desired upper bound on  $\varrho(K_3, K_5, \delta)$ .

Assume that  $e(G) > (\frac{2}{5} + \frac{\delta}{2})n^2$ . By applying Lemma 2.9 with  $G, 1/2, \delta$  playing the roles of  $G, d, \varepsilon$ , respectively, to obtain an  $n'$ -vertex graph  $G'$  with  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$  satisfying  $\delta(G') \geq (\frac{2}{5} + \frac{\delta'}{2})n'^2$  and  $\alpha(G') \leq \alpha(G) = \delta n = \delta' n'$ , where  $\delta' := \delta n/n' \in [\delta, \delta^{1/3}]$ .

As  $G$  is  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free,  $G' \subseteq G$  is also  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free and there exists an  $(K_3, K_5)$ -free 2 edge-colouring  $\phi$  of  $G'$ . As  $1/n \ll \delta$  and  $n' \geq \delta^{1/2}n/2$  and  $\delta' < 10^{-6}$ , we apply Lemma A.1 to obtain a partition  $X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_5$  of  $V(G')$  satisfying (A'1)–(A'4). We first observe that each  $G'[X_i]$  is monochromatic in colour 2. Indeed, if there is an edge  $uv \in E(G'_1[X_i])$ , then by (A'3), we get  $K_3 \subseteq G_1$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $T \in \binom{X_i}{3}$  induces a triangle in  $X_i$ . By the observation above,  $T$  is monochromatic in colour 2. By (A'1), (A'2) and (A'4), we have  $|N_{G'_2}(T, X_{i+1})| \geq n/6 > \alpha(G'_2[X_{i+1}])$ , implying a copy of  $K_5$  in  $G'_2$ , a contradiction.

Hence for each  $i \in [5]$ , the graph  $G'[X_i]$  is triangle-free, which would imply that  $e(G') \leq \frac{2n'^2}{5} + \frac{\delta n'^2}{2}$  using the calculation similar to (A.1), a contradiction. Therefore, we conclude  $e(G) \leq \frac{2n^2}{5} + \frac{\delta n^2}{2}$ .

**A.3. A missing case in the weak stability in [10].** We will use the notation in [10]. In their proof, they consider a coloured weighted clique  $K_t(w)$ . They assume that there is a BLUE vertex  $v$  in the weighted clique  $K_t(w)$  (BLUE=colour 1; while RED=colour 2). However, it could be that all vertices are RED. This missing case can be easily proven as follows. When all vertices are RED,  $K_t(w)$  cannot contain an edge-monochromatic  $K_3$ , implying that  $t \leq 5$ . Also as all vertices are RED, no RED edge can have weight larger than  $1/2$ . Together with the fact that BLUE edges induces a triangle-free graph, a simple optimisation shows that maximum is achieved when  $t = 3$ .