

## MA 243 HOMEWORK 7

### SOLUTIONS

#### B: EXERCISES

1. **Show that if  $L = \Pi \cap \mathbb{H}^2$  is a line in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  then there are an infinite number of vectors  $\mathbf{v} \in \Pi$  with  $q_L(\mathbf{v}) = 1$ . Deduce that given a line  $L$  and a point  $P$  not on  $L$  there are an infinite number of lines  $L'$  passing through  $P$  and not intersecting  $L$ . Compare with  $\mathbb{E}^2$  and  $S^2$ .**

Let  $\mathbf{f}_0 \in L$ , so  $q_L(\mathbf{f}_0) = -1$ . We can find a Lorentz basis  $\mathbf{f}_0, \mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2$  with  $\mathbf{f}_1 \in \Pi$ . Since  $\mathbf{f}_0 \cdot_L \mathbf{f}_1 = 0$ , we have  $q_L(\mathbf{f}_1) = \lambda > 0$ . Then for  $a > \sqrt{1/\lambda}$  the vector  $\mathbf{w}_a = 1/(\lambda a^2 - 1)(\mathbf{f}_0 + a\mathbf{f}_1) \in \Pi$  satisfies  $q_L(\mathbf{w}_a) = 1$ , so there are an infinite number of vectors  $\mathbf{v}$  in  $\Pi$  with  $q_L(\mathbf{v}) = 1$ .

Let  $P$  have position vector  $\mathbf{w}$ , and let  $\Pi_a = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}, \mathbf{w}_a)$ . Then  $P \in L_a = \Pi_a \cap \mathbb{H}^2$ , and  $\Pi_a \cap \Pi = \text{span}(\mathbf{w}_a)$ , so  $L \cap L_a = \emptyset$ , and  $L_a \neq L_{a'}$  if  $a \neq a'$ . This contrasts with  $\mathbb{E}^2$ , where there is a unique line through a point  $P$  not intersecting a given line  $L$ , and  $S^2$ , where there are no lines not intersecting a given line  $L$ .

2. (a) **Show that if  $T(\mathbf{x}) = A\mathbf{x}$  is a hyperbolic motion then  $\det(A) = \pm 1$  (thus hyperbolic motions are either orientation preserving or reversing!)**

Since  $A^T J A = J$ ,  $\det(A^T J A) = -\det(A)^2 = -1$ , so  $\det(A)^2 = 1$ , and thus  $\det(A) = \pm 1$ .

- (b) **Conclude that either 1 or  $-1$  is an eigenvalue of  $A$ . (See below for a continuation of this question).**

We will make repeated use of the following fact. Let  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}$  be two vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  or  $\mathbb{C}^3$ , with  $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda\mathbf{x}$  and  $A\mathbf{y} = \mu\mathbf{y}$ , where again  $\lambda, \mu$  can be real or complex. Then either  $\lambda\mu = 1$ , or  $\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y} = 0$ . This follows from the fact that  $\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y} = (A\mathbf{x})^T J (A\mathbf{y}) = \lambda\mu \mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y}$ .

In particular if  $A\mathbf{v} = \lambda\mathbf{v}$ , where  $\lambda, \mathbf{v}$  may be complex, then either  $\lambda^2 = 1$ , so  $\lambda = \pm 1$  as required, or  $\mathbf{v}^T J \mathbf{v} = 0$ . So we may assume from now that if  $\mathbf{v}$  is an eigenvector, then  $\mathbf{v}^T J \mathbf{v} = 0$ . In fact the same is true for generalized eigenvectors: if  $(A - \lambda I)^k \mathbf{x} = 0$  for some  $k$ , and  $A\mathbf{y} = \mu\mathbf{y}$  with  $\lambda\mu \neq 1$  then

$\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y} = 0$ . This follows by induction on  $k$ , with the base case  $k = 1$  above, since if  $(A - \lambda I)^k \mathbf{x} = 0$ , then  $\mathbf{x}' = A\mathbf{x} - \lambda\mathbf{x}$  satisfies  $(A - \lambda I)^{k-1} \mathbf{x}' = 0$ , so  $\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y} = (A\mathbf{x})^T J(A\mathbf{y}) = \mu(\lambda\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{x}')^T J \mathbf{y} = \mu\lambda\mathbf{x}^T J \mathbf{y}$ .

If there is only one eigenvalue  $\lambda$  for  $A$ , then we have  $\lambda^3 = \pm 1$ , and  $\lambda$  real (since  $A$  is a  $3 \times 3$  matrix), so  $\lambda = \pm 1$  as required. Otherwise let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ , where we may have  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$ , but we may assume  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_i$  for  $i = 2, 3$ . We assume that none of the  $\lambda_i$  is  $\pm 1$ , so no product  $\lambda_i \lambda_j$  is equal to  $\pm 1$  either. Let  $\mathbf{x}_i$  be a basis of (generalized) eigenvectors for  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (or  $\mathbb{C}^3$  if  $\lambda_i, \mathbf{x}_i$  are complex), so  $(A - \lambda_i I)^3 \mathbf{x}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Then by above we have  $\mathbf{x}_1^T J \mathbf{x}_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , so  $\mathbf{x}_1^T J \mathbf{w} = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ . But this is only possible if  $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{0}$ , contradicting  $\mathbf{x}_1$  being an eigenvector. We thus conclude that some  $\lambda_i$  is  $\pm 1$  as required.

3. **Consider the line  $L = \{t = 2x\} \cap \mathbb{H}^2$  in  $\mathbb{H}^2$ . Show that in the Poincaré disk model of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ ,  $L$  is taken to an arc of the circle of radius  $\sqrt{3}$  centred at the point  $(2, 0)$  (using the identification of projecting from  $(-1, 0, 0)$  as in the diagram on p70 of Cannon, Floyd, Kenyon and Parry, which is linked on the main webpage under announcements).**

The line  $L$  consists of the points  $(2x, x, \sqrt{3x^2 - 1})$  for  $x > 0$ . The line through  $(2x, x, \sqrt{3x^2 - 1})$  and  $(-1, 0, 0)$  passes through  $(0, x/(2x + 1), \sqrt{3x^2 - 1}/(2x + 1))$ , so the line  $L$  is equal to the points  $\{(x/(2x + 1), \sqrt{3x^2 - 1}/(2x + 1)) : x > 0\}$  in the Poincaré disk model. The result now follows from the calculation

$$(x/(2x + 1) - 2)^2 + (\sqrt{3x^2 - 1}/(2x + 1))^2 = 3.$$