inetic mechanisms for the growth of drift-ballooning modes

A. B. Mikhailovskii, S. V. Nazarenko, and A. P. Churikov

I. V. Kurchatov Institute of Atomic Energy, Moscow (Submitted February 23, 1988)

Fiz. Plazmy 15, 33-38 (January 1989)

Kinetic mechanisms for the growth of drift-ballooning modes are studied theoretically. It is found that these modes may be unstable as a result of their resonant and collisional interactions with ions. The instability growth rates depend on the relation between the temperature gradient and the density gradient.

INTRODUCTION

It follows from single-fluid MHD with an oblique agnetic) viscosity that as the toroidal wave numris increased unstable ideal ballooning modes come stable drift-ballooning modes, because of a abilizing effect of the finite ion Larmor radius of 1, for example). From the standpoint of metic theory, the meaning is that only the hydrogenetic stability of the drift-ballooning modes is volved here. In this connection it is important determine whether a kinetic growth of these is possible by virtue of their resonant or llisional interaction with plasma particles. That pestion is the subject of the present paper.

Since the drift-ballooning modes are associated th Alfvén wave branches, the instabilities with high we are concerned here may be thought of as rtain versions of the class of kinetic Alfven in-abilities of a tokamak plasma. The study of the stabilities of this class was begun in Ref. 2, here an analysis was made of the resonant intertion of local Alfvén waves modified by effects of magnetic-field curvature, with untrapped ions. he analysis of Ref. 2 dealt with the case of per-rbations with $\epsilon^{1/2}v_{Ti}/qR < \omega < v_{Ti}/qR$, where ω the wave frequency, $\varepsilon = a/R$ is the inverse asct ratio, a and R are respectively the minor and for radii of the torus, $v_{Ti} = \sqrt{2T_i/M_i}$ is the ion ermal velocity, T_i , and M_i are respectively the mperature and mass of an ion, and q is the safety ctor. The condition $\omega > \epsilon^{1/2} v_{Ti}/qR$ allows us to nore the weakening of the resonant interaction nch results from the trapping of particles in reons of weak magnetic field (on the outer side of e torus). In Ref. 3, in contrast with Ref. 2, a udy was made of the resonant interaction of lydam perturbations stabilized by the effects of the hite ion Larmor radius, with untrapped ions, in opposite limit, $\omega < \epsilon^{1/2} v_{Ti}/qR$, in which this upping of particles is extremely important. interest for the problem at hand is the collisional teraction of waves with untrapped ions under the indition $\omega < v_i/\epsilon$, where v_i is the rate of ion collsions. According to Ref. 2, this process calculated in terms of a longitudinal ion viscolar calculated in the banana regime. The role ple by the process in the ideal m = 1 kink modes the index of the azimuthal harmonic) was studin Ref. 2. Waves with $\omega > \nu_i/\epsilon$ were also stathere.

In Sec. 2 we present the basic equation derive a general dispersion relation for drift-looning modes, incorporating the effects lister. This relation is analyzed in Sec. 3. Conclust are drawn in Sec. 4.

2. INITIAL EQUATIONS

We start from the equation for ideal ball modes, with drift effects and effects of the i tion with ions (cf. Ref. 4, for example):

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(t^2\frac{d\xi}{dt}\right) - U_0\xi + t^2\frac{\omega^2}{\omega_A^2}\left(1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}^*}{\omega}\right) + \sigma = 0.$$

Here $\omega_A = Sc_A/qR$ is the Alfvén frequency, q'a/q is the shear, $U_0=4\epsilon^2\beta_p\times(1-1/q^2+3\epsilon^2\beta_p/2)/S$ magnetic well, β_D is the ratio of the pressurthe pressure of the poloidal magnetic field, ω the ion drift frequency in terms of the press gradient, t=Sy, and y is the standard balk variable. The function ξ is a Fourier composof the radial displacement of the plasma. Thirty σ incorporates effects of the resonant an lisional interactions of ions with waves and is fined by

$$\sigma = -\frac{2t}{\rho_0 R \omega_A^2} (\delta \tilde{p}_k \sin \theta)^{(0)},$$

where ρ_0 is the plasma density, $\delta \tilde{p}_k$ is a Forcomponent of the oscillatory part of the perturbation

pressure, and
$$(...)^{(0)} = \int_{0}^{\pi} (...) d\theta/2\pi$$
.

We write the perturbed pressure in the

$$\delta \tilde{p} = \frac{M_i}{\Lambda} \int v^2 f \, d\mathbf{v},\tag{3}$$

where $\tilde{f} = \hat{f} \exp(im \theta)$ is the perturbed part of the distribution function, and θ is the poloidal angle. To find the function \tilde{f} we use the drift kinetic equation (Ref. 2, for example)

$$-i\omega f + \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR}\frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} + i\omega \frac{M_i}{2T}v^2 \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\omega}\right) \frac{a}{mR}F\sin\theta f' = St(f).$$
 (4)

Here v_{||} is the velocity of the particles along the magnetic field, $\hat{\omega}' = \omega_{n} \cdot [1 + \eta (M_{\nu} v^2 / 2T - ^3/_2)]$, ω_{ni}^* is the drift frequency in terms of the pressure gradient, $\eta = \partial$ In T/∂ In n_0 , F is the equilibrium Maxwellian distribution function (normalized to the particle density n_0 , as usual), St is the collision term, and the prime denotes a derivative with respect to the minor radius.

Introducing a function h, by analogy with Ref. 2,

$$f = -ihF\left(1 - \frac{\hat{\omega}}{\omega}\right) \frac{a}{mR} \hat{\xi}', \tag{5}$$

and using (4) and (5), we find an equation for h:

$$-i\omega h + \frac{v_{\parallel}}{qR}\frac{\partial h}{\partial \theta} - i\omega \frac{M_{i}}{2T}v^{2}\sin\theta = St(h).$$
 (6)

Transforming to the ballooning representation, and using (2), (3), and (5), we then find

$$\sigma = t^2 \frac{\omega^2}{\omega_{\perp}^2} \Lambda \xi, \tag{7}$$

where (cf. Ref. 2)

$$\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2\omega^2 R^2 q^2 n_0} \left(\int v^2 F h \, dv \sin \theta \right)^{(\bullet)}. \tag{8}$$

We see that the effects of the collisional and resonant interaction of ions with drift-ballooning modes lead to a renormalization of the inertial term in Eq. (1) (cf. Refs. 2 and 5). Making use of this circumstance, and also using the procedure of joining the asymptotic solutions of Eq. (1) with those of Eq. (1) in the "inertialess" region, " we find the dispersion relation [cf. Eq. (26) of Ref. 6]

$$\omega^{2}(1-\omega_{pi}^{\bullet}/\omega+\Lambda)=-\gamma_{o}^{2}, \qquad (9)$$

where γ_0 is the growth rate of the drift-ballooning modes, given explicitly in Ref. 4.

The problem has now been reduced to one of calculating the quantity λ in the various regimes from (6) and (8) and solving the dispersion relation (9).

3. SOLUTION OF THE DISPERSION

3.1. Resonant interaction. In accordance with the Introduction, we consider two cases of the resonant interaction of wave with untrapped ions. The first case deals with the region of perturbation frequencies 2 $\epsilon^{\prime\prime}v_{7i}/qR < \omega < v_{7i}/qR$; the second deals with perturbations with frequencies 3 ω < $\epsilon^{1/2}v_{Ti}/qR$.

3.1.1. The case of frequencies $e^{\frac{h}{k}v_{\pi}/qR} < \omega \le \frac{v_{\pi}/qR}{qR}$. We solve kinetic Equation (6) as in Ref. 2.

Incorporating drift effects, we find an e for Λ :

$$\Lambda = i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \frac{q v_{rs}}{R \omega} \left[1 - \frac{\omega_{ns}}{\omega} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \eta \right) \right].$$

Let us consider the very simple case γ_0 This condition means that the MHD insta pressed by the effects of the finite ion 1 us. From (9) and (10) we then find

$$\omega = \omega_{ni} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \eta\right) + i \sqrt{\pi} \frac{\omega_{ni}^{-2} R}{q v_{Ti}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{2} \cdot \eta\right) \eta.$$

An instability occurs if

$$\eta > 0$$
 and $\eta < -2/3$.

The ratio of the imaginary and real part frequency is $-\omega_{ni}^*R/qv_{Ti}$.

3.1.2. The case of frequencies $\omega <$ In this case, making use of results from find the following expression for Λ :

$$\Lambda = \frac{3q^2}{8\sqrt{2}\epsilon}\lambda,$$

where

$$\lambda = 1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}}{\omega} + i \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{18\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_b}\right)^5 \left[1 - \frac{\omega_{ni}}{\omega} \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\eta\right)\right]$$

By analogy with Ref. 3, we find two was in the case $\gamma_0 \ll \omega_{Di}^*$:

$$\omega_i = \omega_{pi} - i \frac{\eta}{1 + \eta} \frac{5 \sqrt{\pi}}{36 \sqrt{2} (1 + 8 \sqrt{2\epsilon}/3q^2)} \left(\frac{\omega_{pi}}{\omega_b}\right)^5 \omega_p$$

$$\omega_{2} = \omega_{2}^{\bullet} + i \frac{3\eta - 2}{1 + \eta} \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{36\sqrt{2}(1 + 8\sqrt{2}\epsilon/3q^{2})} \left(\frac{\omega_{2}^{\bullet}}{\omega_{b}}\right)^{\delta} \omega_{1}$$

where

$$\omega_{z}^{0} = \frac{\gamma_{0}^{2}}{\omega_{z_{1}}^{1}(1+3q^{2}/8\sqrt{2\epsilon})}.$$

The first branch is unstable if

-1<
$$\eta$$
<0,

and the second if

$$\eta > ^2/_3$$
 and $\eta < -1$.

The ratio of the growth rate to the real the frequency is $\sim (\omega_{pi}^*/\omega_b)^s$.

Expression (15) for the frequency to that given in Ref. 3 but refers to no Mercier perturbations but also ballooning turbations. In the case in which γ_0 is rate of Mercier perturbations, expression duces to that derived in Ref. 3. The b mode growth rate, can also be substituted as γ_0 .

3.2. Collisional interaction. Agair regime we will discuss two cases: that o collisions and that of frequent collisions

Ref. 2, the transition between these two cases is Ker. 2, the transition between these two cases is $\omega = v_i/\varepsilon$. The methods for solving the kinetic quation and for calculating Λ are described in tail in Ref. 2 (see also Ref. 7). We will reproduce by the results here.

The quantity A is given by

$$\Lambda = -i \frac{\sqrt{2} q^2}{\varepsilon^2 n_0 \omega} \frac{M_i}{T} \int_0^{\infty} F E^{\eta_0} \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\omega}^2}{\omega} \right) dE \int \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda} \int d\theta \{ [(1 - \lambda B)^{\eta_0} + Y] - i\omega [(1 - \lambda B)^{\eta_0} + Y] \}.$$
 (19)

ere $\lambda = \mu/E$, $\mu = v_{\perp}^2/2B$, v_{\perp} is the modulus of transverse velocity of the particles, and B is the equilibrium magnetic field. The integration over is from 0 to 1/B; the region $0 < \lambda < 1/B_{max}$ coresponds to untrapped particles, and the region $/B_{max} < \lambda < 1/B_{min}$ to trapped particles. The ingration over θ is from 0 to 2π in the case of unproduced particles and between the trapped particles and the particles are the particles and the particles and the particles are the particles ar apped particles and between the turning points in he case of the trapped particles. The function Y = (, E) is nonzero only for the untrapped particles. satisfies the relation

$$\int_{-1}^{1a} \frac{d\theta}{(1-\lambda B)^{\frac{1}{6}}} \{ \operatorname{St}[(1-\lambda B)^{\frac{1}{6}}+Y] + i\omega[(1-\lambda B)^{\frac{1}{6}}+Y] \} = 0.$$
 (20)

'he collision operator is 8

$$\operatorname{St}(f) = 2\bar{\mathbf{v}}, \left(\frac{T}{M_{*}E}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{(1-\lambda B)^{\frac{1}{N}}}{B} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \left[\lambda (1-\lambda B)^{\frac{1}{N}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda}\right], \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{v}_i = 3\sqrt{\pi}v_iH(z)/2^{4h}, \quad z = (M_iE/T)^{4h}$$

$$\tilde{v}_{i} = 3\sqrt{\pi}v_{i}H(z)/2^{4}, \quad z = (M_{i}E/T)^{4},$$

$$H(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}z} \exp(-z^{2}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2z^{2}}\right) \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{z} \exp(-t^{2}) dt.$$
(22)

3.2.1. The case of infrequent collisions, $\omega > \nu_i I$ Ignoring the collision term in Eq. (20), we find the ollowing expression for the function Y:

$$Y = Y_0 = -\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} \frac{\kappa \varepsilon^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}}{K(1/\kappa)}, \tag{23}$$

where $x=(2\varepsilon)^{-h}(1/\lambda B-1-\varepsilon)^{-h}$. In this case Λ becomes

$$\Lambda = \tau(1 - \omega_{pi} / \omega), \tag{24}$$

$$\tau = \frac{2^{3/2}q^2}{3\pi\epsilon^{3/2}} \left\{ 1 + \frac{9}{2} \int_{1}^{\infty} \kappa^2 d\kappa \left[E\left(\frac{1}{\kappa}\right) - \frac{\pi^2}{4K(1/\kappa)} \right] \right\}. \tag{25}$$

Here $K(1/\kappa)$ and $E(1/\kappa)$ are complete elliptic inlegrals of the first and second kinds, respectively. Now assuming that v_i is small but nonzero, we can incorporate collisions in Eq. (20). For this purpose we use the method of Ref. 8. We write the function Y in the form $Y = Y_0(1 + y)$; from Eq. (22) we then

$$\frac{d^{2}y}{dx^{2}}+i\frac{\varepsilon\omega_{0}}{2\bar{v}_{i}}\left(\frac{EM_{i}}{T}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}\ln\left(\frac{16}{|x|}\right)y=0. \tag{26}$$

Here ω_0 is the real part of the frequency, and the parameter x is related to λ by $\lambda = [1 + \epsilon(2x - 1)]/$ B. We seek the function y by making use of boundary conditions y = -1 at x = 0 and $y \rightarrow x \rightarrow -\infty$. From Eq. (26) we then find, in th quasiclassical approximation,

$$y = -\exp\left[\int_{-\infty}^{x} \sigma(x') dx'\right],$$

where

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1-i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\frac{\varepsilon \omega_0}{2\overline{v}_i} \left(\frac{M_i E}{T} \right)^{\frac{n}{2}} \ln \left(\frac{16}{|x|} \right) \right]^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

Using expressions (19), (23), (27) and (28),

$$\Lambda = \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1$$

where

$$\Lambda_{i} = -(1+i)\mu\left(\frac{v_{i}}{\epsilon\omega_{0}}\right)^{l_{i}}\left[1 - \frac{\omega_{pi}}{\omega} + \eta \frac{\omega_{ni}}{\omega}\left(\frac{5}{2} - \frac{I_{2}}{I_{i}}\right)\right]$$

Here

$$\mu = \frac{\sqrt{3} \pi^{4_i} q^2 I_i}{2^{4_i/4} \epsilon^{4_i} \ln^{4_i} (128 \epsilon \omega_0 / v_i)},$$

and the numbers I1, and I2 are defined by

$$I_1 = \int_1^\infty H^h(z) z^h \exp(-z^2) dz^2, \quad I_2 = \int_1^\infty H^h(z) z^{1/2} \exp(-z^2) dz^2$$

An evaluation of these integrals yields $I_1 = 1$

Assuming $\gamma_0 \ll \omega_{pi}^*$, we find from (9), (30), and (31)

$$\omega = \omega_{pi}^* + 1.97i \left(\frac{v_i}{8\omega_{pi}^*} \right)^{\frac{1}{10}} \frac{\mu\eta}{1+\tau} \omega_{ni}^*$$
.

We see that an instability occurs in the case The ratio of the growth rate of the frequency $\sim (v_i/\varepsilon \omega_{pi}^*)^{t_b}$.

Along with (33), the dispersion relation solution with $\omega < \omega_{\rm pi}^*$. In this case we have

$$\omega = \omega_0 + i(v_i\omega_0/\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\mu}{1+\tau} \frac{1-0.97\eta}{1+\eta}$$

where

$$\omega_0 = \gamma_0^2/\omega_{pi}^*(1+\tau).$$

An instability occurs if $-1 < \eta < 1.03$. The 1 of the growth rate to the frequency is $\sim (v_i/\epsilon_i)$

3.2.2. The case of frequent collisions, Ignoring the term with ω in Eq. (20), we fin

$$\frac{1}{B}\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{\pi}{2^{1/2}}\frac{1}{\kappa E(1/\kappa)\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

From (19) and (36) we then find

$$\Lambda = i \frac{q^2 \pi}{4\epsilon^6} \frac{3}{2} \frac{v_i}{\omega} \left\{ \left[\sqrt{2} - \ln\left(1 + \sqrt{2}\right) \right] - \frac{\omega_{ni}}{\omega} \left[\left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \eta \right) \right] \right\}$$

$$-\ln(1+\sqrt{2})] + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\eta \bigg] \bigg\} \bigg\{ 1 - 2 \int_{1}^{\pi} d\varkappa \bigg[\frac{1}{E(1/\varkappa)} - \frac{4}{\pi^{2}} K\bigg(\frac{1}{\varkappa}\bigg) \bigg] \bigg\}. (37)$$

From (9) and (37) we find the wave branch

$$\omega = \omega_{ni} \left[\left[1 + \eta \left(1 - k \right) \right] - i2 \frac{\varepsilon^{n} \omega_{ni}}{g^{2} \gamma_{i}} k \eta \left[1 + \eta \left(1 - k \right) \right].$$
 (38)

Here $k \approx 1.03$. We see that this branch is unstable if $\eta < 0$.

4. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

This analysis indicates the possibility of non-hydromagnetic instabilities of drift-ballooning modes as a result of resonant and collisional interactions of waves with ions. Correspondingly, these instabilities could be called "resonant" and "collisional." The resonant instabilities are characterized by (11), (15), and (16); the collisional instabilities by (33), (34), and (38). Both depend strongly on the parameter $\eta = \partial \ln T / \partial \ln n_0$ [see, e.g., conditions (12), (17) and (18)].

These instabilities may be of interest for the theory of plasma turbulence in tokamaks, in particular, because they may — by virtue of the nonlinearity of the plasma equations — influence the longer-wavelength (hydromagnetically stable) perturbations. Furthermore, hydromagnetic stability can be achieved not only by virtue of the finite-Larmor-radius effects but also by imposing an ex-

ternal agent on the plasma, e.g., by in particles into the tokamak. Under these the instabilities which we have been discussed by the most important instabilities. These arguments will of course require a tailed theory, but that would go beyond of the present paper.

¹J. W. Connor, W. M. Tang, and L. Allen, Nucl, Fus (1984).

²A. B. Mikhailovskii and V. S. Tsypin, Fiz. Plazmy [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. 2, 91 (1983)].

³A. B. Mikhailovskii and G. I. Suramlishvili, Fiz-933 (1979) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. <u>5</u>, 523 (1979)].

⁴A. B. Mikhailovskii, S. V. Novakovskii, and S. E. Fiz. Plazmy <u>13</u>, 1301 (1987) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys. (1987)]

⁵A. B. Mikhailovskii, B. N. Kuvshinov, V. P. Lakhi Preprint No. 4469/6, I. V. Kurchatov Institute of Energy, Moscow, 1987.

⁶B. N. Kuvshinov, V. P. Lakhin, A. B. Mikhailovski Fiz. Plazmy <u>14</u>, 643 (1988) [Sov. J. Plasma Phys.

⁷A. A. Galeev and R. Z. Sagdeev, in: Reviews of Pl Vol. 7, M. A. Leontovich (ed.), Consultants Bures (1978).

 8 M. N. Rosenbluth, D. W. Ross, and D. P. Kostomaro Fusion $\underline{12}$, 3 (1972).

⁹M. N. Rosenbluth, S. T. Tsai, Y. W. Van Dam, and Phys. Rev. Lett. <u>51</u>, 1987 (1983).

Translated by Dave Parsons